# First Regular Session Sixty-ninth General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

# **PREAMENDED**

This Unofficial Version Includes Committee Amendments Not Yet Adopted on Second Reading

LLS NO. 13-0799.02 Kate Meyer x4348

**SENATE BILL 13-226** 

#### SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Balmer and Guzman,

### **HOUSE SPONSORSHIP**

Court and Coram,

**Senate Committees** Judiciary

101

**House Committees** 

#### A BILL FOR AN ACT

## CONCERNING THE CREATION OF THE "DOG PROTECTION ACT".

#### **Bill Summary**

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at http://www.leg.state.co.us/billsummaries.)

In order to prevent or reduce the number of dogs shot by officers of municipal police departments and sheriffs' offices (collectively, "local law enforcement officers"), the bill requires local law enforcement agencies to:

! Develop training programs to prepare local law enforcement officers for encounters with dogs in the line of duty, which training must emphasize how to recognize common dog behaviors and how to employ nonlethal methods to control or respond to dogs; and

! Adopt policies and procedures setting forth the appropriate ways to handle dog encounters, including policies and procedures that allow dog owners to remove or control their dogs whenever circumstances warrant.

The bill creates a dog protection task force to set minimum standards for qualified animal behavior experts or licensed veterinarians who provide the required training to local law enforcement officers, to develop minimum training curricula to be used by local law enforcement agencies, and to develop web- or video-based training that may be used by local law enforcement agencies.

1 Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado: 2 **SECTION 1. Legislative declaration.** (1) The general assembly 3 hereby declares that: 4 (a) Dogs are one of the most beloved and popular animals in the 5 **United States**: 6 (b) According to its 2011-2012 national survey of pet owners, the 7 American Pet Products Association estimates that there are over 8 seventy-eight million dogs in the United States, with approximately 9 forty-six million American households currently containing at least one 10 dog; 11 (c) "Dog Fancy" magazine has recognized Colorado as one of the 12 most dog-friendly states, and Colorado often is listed as one of the top 13 states in per capita dog ownership; 14 (d) Many Coloradans cherish their dogs and consider them to be 15 members of their families. 16 (2) The general assembly further finds and declares that: 17 (a) In Colorado alone, there are multiple instances every year of

dogs being shot by local law enforcement officers;

(b) Many of those dogs are beloved pet, service and companion,

18

19

-2-

1	sporting, and working dogs, most of which were docile and well-trained
2	and had no history of threatening behavior, and in many of these cases,
3	the dogs were shot despite not exhibiting any signs of aggression;
4	(c) In the last five years alone, there have been more than thirty
5	dog shootings by local law enforcement officers in Colorado;
6	<del></del>
7	(d) In its 2012 report "The Problem of Dog-Related Incidents and
8	Encounters" (available on-line at http://cops.usdoj.gov/RIC/Resource
9	Detail.aspx?RID=612 when accessed on February 26, 2013), the office
10	of community oriented policing services, a component of the United
11	States department of justice, found that, in most police departments, the
12	majority of intentional firearm discharges involve animals, and of those
13	shootings, most frequently dogs.
14	(e) Deadly force, which should be an option of last resort, is rarely
15	necessary to defuse the situations or mitigate any risk presented by dogs.
16	For example, employees of landscaping companies and delivery
17	companies routinely encounter dogs in their lines of work and are able to
18	work successfully with dog owners to handle issues presented by their
19	dogs without resorting to shooting dogs.
20	(f) These shooting tragedies cause profound grief to the dogs'
21	owners, trauma to families and neighbors witnessing the incidents, great
22	physical suffering to the dogs, and undermine the confidence that
23	communities have in their law enforcement to protect and serve in an
24	appropriate and humane manner.
25	(3) The general assembly further finds, determines, and declares
26	that:

(a) Colorado's law enforcement officers perform honorably,

27

-3-

courageously, and selflessly, and their safety remains of paramount importance;

- (b) Given the high incidence of dog ownership in the state, local law enforcement officers routinely encounter dogs while performing their myriad duties;
- (c) Some local law enforcement officers may not have much experience dealing with dogs and may thus have a fear of dogs or may be unfamiliar with typical dog behaviors;
- (d) In discharging their firearms to shoot dogs, local law enforcement officers may experience regret for causing pain to the dog or the dog's family or for not being aware of other ways the situation could have been addressed;
- (e) Increasing such officers' knowledge of, and comfort with, interactions with dogs will better protect the local law enforcement officers in the course of performing their duties; and
- (f) Although some local law enforcement officers do handle dog encounters in an appropriate manner and some local law enforcement agencies already conduct training in canine behavior, there clearly exists a need to provide training to local law enforcement officers so that they are prepared to encounter dogs. There is also a clear need for local law enforcement agencies to establish and follow local policies setting forth the appropriate methods to handle those encounters, which methods take into account a range of nonlethal alternatives and allow dogs to be controlled or removed by their owners.
- (4) The general assembly finds and declares that it is <u>necessary</u> <u>and appropriate</u> to require local law enforcement officers to receive training on differentiating between aggressive and nonthreatening dog

-4- 226

behaviors, learn to utilize alternatives to lethal force, learn how to properly utilize animal control officers, and allow dog owners an opportunity to intervene and save their dogs, as the totality of the 4 circumstances warrant. It is the intent of the general assembly, in creating this act, to eliminate or reduce the number of dogs shot in the context of law enforcement encounters. In order to prevent or reduce the shooting of dogs in the state, while maximizing local control by allowing local law enforcement agencies to most effectively and appropriately determine 9 how to comply with the requirements of this act, the general assembly further intends that local law enforcement agencies develop and implement training and written policies and procedures in accordance with this act. **SECTION 2.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, add 29-5-112 as follows: 29-5-112. Dog interactions with local law enforcement officers 16 - training to be provided by local law enforcement agencies - policies 17 and procedures - scope - task force - creation - composition immunity - definitions - short title - legislative declaration. (1) Short title. This section shall be known and may be cited as the "Dog 20 PROTECTION ACT". (2) **Legislative declaration.** THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY FINDS, DETERMINES, AND DECLARES THAT IT IS THE POLICY OF THIS STATE \_\_\_\_\_ 23 TO PREVENT, WHENEVER POSSIBLE, THE SHOOTING OF DOGS BY LOCAL LAW 24 ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS IN THE COURSE OF PERFORMING THEIR OFFICIAL

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

21

22

25

26

ADOPTING THIS SECTION TO:

27 (a) REQUIRE TRAINING FOR OFFICERS OF LOCAL LAW

DUTIES. IT IS THEREFORE THE INTENT OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY IN

-5-226

1	ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES ON DIFFERENTIATING BETWEEN CANINE
2	BEHAVIORS THAT INDICATE IMMINENT DANGER OF ATTACK TO PERSONS
3	AND BENIGN BEHAVIORS COMMONLY EXHIBITED BY DOGS, SUCH AS
4	BARKING, THAT DO NOT SUGGEST OR POSE IMMINENT DANGER OF ATTACK;
5	(b) REQUIRE LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES IN THE STATE
6	TO ADOPT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR USE OF LETHAL AND
7	NONLETHAL FORCE AGAINST DOGS, WHICH POLICIES AND PROCEDURES
8	MUST:
9	(I) EMPHASIZE ALTERNATIVE METHODS THAT MAY BE EMPLOYED
10	WHEN DOGS ARE ENCOUNTERED; AND
11	(II) ALLOW A DOG OWNER OR ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICER,
12	WHENEVER THE OWNER OR AN ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICER IS PRESENT AND
13	IT IS FEASIBLE, THE OPPORTUNITY TO CONTROL OR REMOVE A DOG FROM
14	THE IMMEDIATE AREA IN ORDER TO PERMIT A LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT
15	OFFICER TO DISCHARGE HIS OR HER DUTIES.
16	(3) <b>Definitions.</b> AS USED IN THIS SECTION:
17	(a) "Dog" means any canine animal owned for domestic,
18	COMPANIONSHIP, SERVICE, THERAPEUTIC, ASSISTANCE, SPORTING,
19	WORKING, RANCHING, OR SHEPHERDING PURPOSES.
20	(b) "Dog owner" means a person owning, possessing,
21	HARBORING, KEEPING, HAVING GUARDIANSHIP OF, HAVING FINANCIAL OR
22	PROPERTY INTEREST IN, OR HAVING CONTROL OR CUSTODY OF, A DOG.
23	(c) "LICENSED VETERINARIAN" MEANS A PERSON WHO IS LICENSED
24	PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 64 OF TITLE 12, C.R.S., TO PRACTICE VETERINARY
25	MEDICINE IN THIS STATE.
26	(d) "LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY" MEANS A MUNICIPAL
27	POLICE DEPARTMENT OR A COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE.

-6- 226

1	(e) "LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER" MEANS ANY OFFICER IN
2	A LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY. THE TERM DOES NOT INCLUDE AN
3	ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICER, CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICER, OR A DEPUTY
4	SHERIFF WHO IS ASSIGNED EXCLUSIVELY TO WORK IN JAILS, COURT
5	SECURITY, OR ADMINISTRATION.
6	(4) <b>Training required.</b> (a) (I) EACH LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT
7	AGENCY IS REQUIRED TO PROVIDE TO ITS OFFICERS TRAINING PERTAINING
8	TO ENCOUNTERS WITH DOGS IN THE COURSE OF DUTY. AT A MINIMUM, THE
9	TRAINING MUST COVER THE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ADOPTED BY THE
10	AGENCY PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (6) OF THIS SECTION AND ASSIST
11	OFFICERS IN ASSESSING WHAT DOG POSTURE, BARKING AND OTHER
12	VOCALIZATIONS, AND FACIAL EXPRESSIONS TYPICALLY SIGNIFY, THE
13	OPTIONS FOR DISTRACTING AND ESCAPING FROM A DOG, OPTIONS FOR
14	SAFELY CAPTURING A DOG, AND DEFENSIVE OPTIONS IN DEALING WITH A
15	DOG.
16	(II) EACH LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY IN THE STATE
17	SHALL:
18	(A) DEVELOP, BY SEPTEMBER 1, 2014, A TRAINING PROGRAM
19	CONSISTENT WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SECTION AND THE MINIMUM
20	TRAINING CURRICULA DEVELOPED BY THE DOG PROTECTION TASK FORCE
21	PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (5) OF THIS SECTION;
22	(B) REQUIRE ITS CURRENT LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS TO
23	${\tt COMPLETETHETRAININGPROGRAMREQUIREDBYTHISSUBSECTION(4)BY}$
24	January 1, 2015; and
25	(C) REQUIRE ALL LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS HIRED ON
26	OR AFTER JANUARY 1, 2015, TO COMPLETE THE TRAINING REQUIRED BY
27	THIS SUBSECTION (4) WITHIN EACH OFFICER'S FIRST YEAR OF EMPLOYMENT.

-7- 226

1	(b) (1) IN ESTABLISHING THE TRAINING PROGRAM REQUIRED BY
2	THIS SUBSECTION (4), A LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY SHALL ADOPT
3	OR INCORPORATE ANY MINIMUM TRAINING CURRICULA DEVELOPED BY THE
4	DOG PROTECTION TASK FORCE CREATED IN SUBSECTION (5) OF THIS
5	SECTION.
6	(II) $(\underline{A})$ The training program required by this subsection
7	(4) MUST BE WHOLLY OR PRINCIPALLY PROVIDED OR OVERSEEN BY EITHER
8	A QUALIFIED ANIMAL BEHAVIOR EXPERT OR LICENSED VETERINARIAN. THE
9	QUALIFIED ANIMAL BEHAVIOR EXPERT OR LICENSED VETERINARIAN
10	SELECTED TO PROVIDE THE TRAINING MUST POSSESS THE MINIMUM
11	QUALIFICATIONS SPECIFIED BY THE DOG PROTECTION TASK FORCE
12	CREATED IN SUBSECTION (5) OF THIS SECTION.
13	(B) NOTHING IN SUB-SUBPARAGRAPH (A) OF THIS SUBPARAGRAPH
14	(II) REQUIRES LIVE, IN-PERSON TRAINING BE PROVIDED TO LOCAL LAW
15	ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES BY QUALIFIED ANIMAL BEHAVIOR EXPERTS OR
16	LICENSED VETERINARIANS.
17	(III) IN ORDER TO REDUCE THE COSTS OF PROVIDING THE TRAINING
18	PROGRAM REQUIRED BY THIS SUBSECTION (4), A LOCAL LAW
19	ENFORCEMENT AGENCY MAY DEVELOP ITS OWN WEB- OR VIDEO-BASED
20	TRAINING OR UTILIZE SUCH TRAINING DEVELOPED BY THE DOG
21	PROTECTION TASK FORCE UNDER SUBPARAGRAPH (III) OF PARAGRAPH (d)
22	OF SUBSECTION (5) OF THIS SECTION, AND LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT
23	AGENCIES ARE ENCOURAGED TO SEEK QUALIFIED ANIMAL BEHAVIOR
24	EXPERTS OR LICENSED VETERINARIANS WHO WILL VOLUNTEER TO PROVIDE
25	OR PARTICIPATE IN THE TRAINING.
26	(IV) A LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY MAY COLLABORATE
27	WITH COUNTY SHERIFFS OF COLORADO, INCORPORATED, THE COLORADO

-8- 226

1	ASSOCIATION OF CHIEFS OF POLICE, THE COLORADO FRATERNAL ORDER OF
2	POLICE, AND THE COLORADO VETERINARY MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, AS
3	WELL AS NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS ENGAGED IN ANIMAL WELFARE, TO
4	DEVELOP THE TRAINING PROGRAM REQUIRED BY THIS SUBSECTION (4).
5	(c) (I) The training program required by this subsection (4)
6	MUST CONSIST OF A MINIMUM OF THREE HOURS OF TRAINING FOR LOCAL
7	LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS.
8	(II) NOTHING IN THIS SECTION PREVENTS A LOCAL LAW
9	ENFORCEMENT AGENCY FROM IMPLEMENTING A TRAINING PROGRAM OR
10	ADOPTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES THAT EXCEED THE MINIMUM
11	NUMBER OF HOURS OR OTHER REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH IN THIS SECTION
12	AND BY THE DOG PROTECTION TASK FORCE PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (5)
13	OF THIS SECTION.
14	(5) Task force. (a) There is hereby created the dog
15	PROTECTION TASK FORCE.
16	(b) (I) The task force consists of the following $\underline{\text{nineteen}}$
17	MEMBERS:
18	(A) THREE LICENSED VETERINARIANS APPOINTED BY THE
19	COLORADO VETERINARY MEDICAL ASSOCIATION OR ITS SUCCESSOR
20	ENTITY;
21	(B) Two representatives of the Colorado federation of
22	ANIMAL WELFARE AGENCIES OR ITS SUCCESSOR ENTITY;
23	(C) One <u>Animal Behaviorist or animal Behavior expert</u>
24	APPOINTED BY THE COLORADO FEDERATION OF DOG CLUBS OR ITS
25	SUCCESSOR ENTITY;
26	(D) Two representatives of the Colorado association of
27	ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICERS OR ITS SUCCESSOR ENTITY;

-9- 226

1	(E) THREE SHERIFFS OR DEPUTY SHERIFFS REPRESENTING COUNTY
2	SHERIFFS OF COLORADO, INCORPORATED, OR ITS SUCCESSOR ENTITY, ONE
3	OF WHOM MUST HAVE AT LEAST TWO YEARS OF EXPERIENCE WORKING IN
4	A K-9 UNIT AND ONE OF WHOM MUST WORK IN A COUNTY WITH A
5	POPULATION OF FEWER THAN ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND PERSONS;
6	(F) THREE REPRESENTATIVES OF THE COLORADO ASSOCIATION OF
7	CHIEFS OF POLICE OR ITS SUCCESSOR ENTITY, ONE OF WHOM MUST HAVE AT
8	LEAST TWO YEARS OF EXPERIENCE WORKING IN A K-9 UNIT AND ONE OF
9	WHOM MUST WORK IN A MUNICIPALITY WITH A POPULATION OF FEWER
10	THAN TWENTY-FIVE THOUSAND PERSONS;
11	(G) ONE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE COLORADO FRATERNAL ORDER
12	OF POLICE OR ITS SUCCESSOR ENTITY;
13	<del>_</del>
14	(H) THREE PERSONS APPOINTED BY THE COLORADO BAR
15	ASSOCIATION OR ITS SUCCESSOR ENTITY, TWO OF WHOM MUST BE
16	ATTORNEYS WITH EXPERTISE AND EXPERIENCE IN ANIMAL LAW AND DOG
17	SHOOTING CASES, AND ONE OF WHOM MUST BE A PERSON, WHO NEED NOT
18	BE AN ATTORNEY, WHO OWNS OR OWNED A DOG SHOT BY A LOCAL LAW
19	ENFORCEMENT OFFICER; AND
20	(I) ONE MEMBER, APPOINTED BY THE COLORADO VETERINARY
21	MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, WITH EXPERTISE IN CANINE BEHAVIOR OR
22	OTHER ANIMAL BEHAVIOR. LICENSED VETERINARIANS AND ATTORNEYS
23	ARE INELIGIBLE FOR APPOINTMENT UNDER THIS SUB-SUBPARAGRAPH (I).
24	(II) THE ENTITIES RESPONSIBLE FOR APPOINTING TASK FORCE
25	MEMBERS SHALL NOTIFY THE COLORADO VETERINARY MEDICAL
26	ASSOCIATION IN WRITING OF THE IDENTITY OF THEIR APPOINTEES PRIOR TO
27	THE FIRST MEETING OF THE TASK FORCE AND UPON ANY CHANGE IN THEIR

-10-

1	APPOINTEES.
2	(III) MEMBERS OF THE TASK FORCE SHALL NOT BE COMPENSATED
3	FOR, OR REIMBURSED FOR EXPENSES INCURRED IN, ATTENDING MEETINGS
4	OF THE TASK FORCE.
5	(IV) THE FOLLOWING TWO MEMBERS ARE CO-CHAIRS OF THE TASK
6	FORCE:
7	(A) One of the veterinarians appointed pursuant to
8	SUB-SUBPARAGRAPH (A) OF SUBPARAGRAPH (I) OF THIS PARAGRAPH (b),
9	WHICH CO-CHAIR SHALL BE NAMED BY THE COLORADO VETERINARY
10	MEDICAL ASSOCIATION; AND
11	(B) One of the members appointed pursuant to either
12	$\hbox{\it SUB-SUBPARAGRAPH$(E)$ or $(F)$ of $\hbox{\it SUBPARAGRAPH$(I)$} of this paragraph$
13	(b), AS MUTUALLY AGREED TO BY THE APPOINTING AUTHORITIES.
14	(c) (I) The task force shall hold its first meeting no later
15	THAN SEPTEMBER 1, 2013.
16	(II) (A) THE TASK FORCE SHALL MEET AS OFTEN AS NECESSARY TO
17	COMPLETE THE TASKS DESCRIBED UNDER PARAGRAPH (d) OF THIS
18	SUBSECTION (5) ON OR BEFORE JULY 1, 2014.
19	(B) After July 1, 2014, and prior to January 31, 2015, the
20	TASK FORCE SHALL MEET AS OFTEN AS IT DEEMS NECESSARY, BUT NO LESS
21	FREQUENTLY THAN ONCE, TO ENSURE THAT THE CURRICULUM,
22	GUIDELINES, AND WEB- OR VIDEO-BASED TRAINING ARE IMPLEMENTED
23	AND EFFECTIVE.
24	$(III)\ The\ task\ force\ shall\ hold\ its\ meetings\ and\ staff\ those$
25	MEETINGS IN A LOCATION OFFERED FOR THOSE PURPOSES BY ONE OF THE
26	ENTITIES REPRESENTED WITH TASK FORCE MEMBERSHIP, WITH PREFERENCE
27	ACCORDED FOR THE PRINCIPAL OFFICE OF THE COLORADO VETERINARY

-11- 226

1	MEDICAL ASSOCIATION.
2	(d) By July 1, 2014, the task force shall:
3	(I) DEVELOP MINIMUM TRAINING CURRICULA THAT A LOCAL LAW
4	ENFORCEMENT AGENCY MUST USE TO FULFILL THE TRAINING
5	REQUIREMENT OF SUBPARAGRAPH (I) OF PARAGRAPH (a) OF SUBSECTION
6	(4) OF THIS SECTION;
7	(II) SPECIFY THE APPROPRIATE MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS,
8	INCLUDING EDUCATION, EXPERIENCE, OR SKILLS, THAT AN ANIMAL
9	BEHAVIOR EXPERT OR LICENSED VETERINARIAN PROVIDING THE TRAINING
10	PURSUANT TO SUBPARAGRAPH (I) OF PARAGRAPH (b) OF SUBSECTION (4)
11	OF THIS SECTION MUST POSSESS; AND
12	(III) <u>Develop</u> , <u>using volunteered and donated resources</u>
13	TO THE GREATEST EXTENT POSSIBLE, WEB- OR VIDEO-BASED TRAINING
14	THAT MAY BE UTILIZED BY A LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY TO
15	eq:full-full-full-full-full-full-full-full
16	(e) The task force shall not recommend that the training
17	REQUIRED UNDER THIS SECTION BE CONDUCTED BY THE PEACE OFFICERS
18	STANDARDS AND TRAINING BOARD CREATED IN PART 3 OF ARTICLE 31 OF
19	TITLE 24, C.R.S.
20	$\underline{\text{(f)}}$ The curricula, qualifications, and web- or video-based
21	INSTRUCTION DESCRIBED IN PARAGRAPH (d) OF THIS SUBSECTION (5) MUST
22	BE READILY ACCESSIBLE BY COLORADO'S LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT
23	AGENCIES ON ONE OR MORE INTERNET WEB SITES DESIGNATED BY THE
24	TASK FORCE.
25	(g) The task force created by paragraph (a) of this
26	SUBSECTION (5) IS DISSOLVED, EFFECTIVE JANUARY 31, 2015.
27	(6) <b>Policies and procedures.</b> (a) (I) IN ADDITION TO THE

-12- 226

I TRAINING PROGRAM DEVELOPED UNDER SUBSECTION (4) OF THIS SEC	CTION,
---	--------

- 2 NOT LATER THAN SEPTEMBER 1, 2014, EACH LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT
- 3 AGENCY IN THE STATE SHALL ADOPT WRITTEN POLICIES AND PROCEDURES
- 4 THAT ARE SPECIFICALLY DESIGNED TO ADDRESS ENCOUNTERS WITH DOGS
- 5 OCCURRING IN THE COURSE OF DUTY AND THE USE OF FORCE AGAINST
- 6 SUCH DOGS.

SUCH BEHAVIOR;

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 7 AT A MINIMUM, THE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES MUST 8 ADDRESS THE FOLLOWING:
- 9 (A) THE IDENTIFICATION AND MEANING OF COMMON CANINE 10 BEHAVIORS, AND DIFFERENTIATING BETWEEN DOGS THAT ARE EXHIBITING 11 BEHAVIOR THAT PUTS LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS OR OTHER 12 PERSONS IN IMMINENT DANGER AND DOGS WHO ARE NOT ENGAGING IN 13
- 14 (B) THE ALTERNATIVES TO LETHAL USE OF FORCE AGAINST DOGS;
  - (C) THE REASONABLE OPPORTUNITY FOR A DOG OWNER TO CONTROL OR REMOVE HIS OR HER DOG FROM THE IMMEDIATE AREA. THE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ADOPTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS SUB-SUBPARAGRAPH (C) MUST ALLOW A LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE OFFICER'S OWN SAFETY AND THE SAFETY OF OTHER PERSONS IN THE AREA, THE AVAILABILITY OF NONLETHAL EQUIPMENT, THE FEASIBILITY OF SO ALLOWING A DOG OWNER TO ACT CONSIDERING THE TOTALITY OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES, INCLUDING THE PRESENCE OF AN ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICER OR WHETHER THE CALL IS A LOCATION THAT IS LISTED IN THE DANGEROUS DOG REGISTRY CREATED IN SECTION 35-42-115, C.R.S., OR IS A LOCATION AT WHICH ILLEGAL NARCOTICS ARE SUSPECTED TO BE MANUFACTURED OR TRAFFICKED, OR ANY EXIGENCIES THAT MAY BE PRESENT, SUCH AS WHEN THE LOCAL LAW

-13-226

2	SUGGESTS THAT A PERSON HAS BEEN BITTEN BY A DOG OR IS IN PHYSICAL
3	DANGER.
4	(b) EACH LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY SHALL MAKE THE
5	WRITTEN POLICIES AND PROCEDURES AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC FOR
6	INSPECTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "COLORADO OPEN RECORDS ACT",
7	PART 2 OF ARTICLE 72 OF TITLE 24, C.R.S.
8	(7) <b>Immunity.</b> ALL TASK FORCE MEMBERS, AS VOLUNTEERS,
9	ARE IMMUNE FROM CIVIL ACTIONS AND LIABILITIES PURSUANT TO SECTION
10	13-21-115.5, C.R.S.
11	<del>_</del>
12	(8) <b>Scope and effect.</b> (a) This section applies only to local
13	LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND IS NOT INTENDED TO AFFECT,
14	IMPLICATE, OR ABROGATE THE AUTHORITY OF THE PEACE OFFICERS
15	STANDARDS AND TRAINING BOARD CREATED IN PART 3 OF ARTICLE 31 OF
16	TITLE 24, C.R.S.
17	(b) This section is not intended to apply to situations in
18	WHICH A DOG IS SHOT ACCIDENTALLY, INCLUDING WHEN A LOCAL LAW
19	ENFORCEMENT OFFICER INTENDS TO FIRE AT A PERSON BUT
20	INADVERTENTLY SHOOTS A DOG.
21	(c) Nothing in this section affects or abrogates the
22	ABILITY OF ANY DULY AUTHORIZED PERSON TO IMPOUND OR EUTHANIZE
23	A DOG IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 18-9-202.5, C.R.S., OR IN
24	ACCORDANCE WITH ANY RESOLUTION ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION
25	30-15-101, C.R.S.
26	<del>_</del>
27	SECTION 3. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds,

ENFORCEMENT OFFICER IS RESPONDING TO A CALL THAT ASSERTS OR

-14- 226

- determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate
- 2 preservation of the public peace, health, and safety.

-15- 226