3rd Draft

Amendment 62 Application of the Term Person

1 Amendment 62 proposes amending the <u>Colorado Constitution</u> to:

apply the term "person," as used in the sections of the Colorado bill of
rights concerning inalienable rights, equality of justice, and due process
of law, to every human being from the beginning of the biological
development of that human being.

6 Summary and Analysis

Like the U.S. Constitution, the Colorado Constitution has a bill of rights. The
Colorado bill of rights contains the rights of the people of Colorado and outlines the
principles of state government. Amendment 62 addresses the application of the term
"person" for sections 3, 6, and 25 of the Colorado bill of rights. These sections
concern inalienable rights, equality of justice, and due process of law.

12 Inalienable rights. Section 3 asserts that all persons have natural, essential, and 13 inalienable rights to enjoy life and liberty, to acquire, possess, and protect property, 14 and to seek and obtain safety and happiness. These rights include the right to 15 survive, the right to defend against threats to safety, the freedom to make 16 independent decisions, and the right to work and obtain economic goods. Inalienable 17 rights are fundamental to all persons and are not created by laws and government. 18 The constitution requires that the government protect these rights, although the 19 government is permitted to limit the exercise of rights as necessary for the welfare and 20 general security of the public.

The constitutional provision regarding inalienable rights has been applied by courts, for example, to guarantee the right of an individual to pursue a legitimate trade or business, to acquire property without fear of discrimination, and to travel freely around the state.

Equality of justice. Section 6 requires the courts in Colorado to be open to all
 persons. If a person's legal rights are violated, this section guarantees that a judicial
 remedy is available.

Courts have determined that this section applies to a variety of circumstances. For
 instance, individuals are denied equal access to justice if juries are chosen in a
 discriminatory manner. Additionally, all persons have the same right to use the courts
 regardless of their financial resources.

32 *Due process of law.* Section 25 ensures that no person is deprived of life, liberty,
 33 or property without due process of law. Due process of law requires the government
 34 to follow consistent procedures before a person's fundamental rights are taken away.

3rd Draft

The courts have determined, for example, that due process requires the government
to provide notice and a fair hearing before detaining a person, taking a person's
property, or sentencing a person to death.

Application of the term "person." Sections 3, 6, and 25 of the Colorado bill of rights do not currently address the application of the term "person." Amendment 62 applies the term "person" in a manner that extends inalienable rights, equal access to justice, and due process of law from the beginning of biological development. The measure does not define the phrase "the beginning of biological development."

9 Arguments For

 Amendment 62 ensures that all human life is afforded equal protection under the law. Currently, this right is not recognized until birth. Amendment 62
 acknowledges that a new human life is created at the beginning of biological development and gives all human life, whether born or unborn, equal rights and protections.

The measure may establish the legal foundation to end the practice of abortion
 in Colorado. The U.S. Supreme Court decision that legalized abortion in the
 United States found that the unborn were not included in the word "person" as used in
 the U.S. Constitution. If each human life, from the beginning of biological
 development, is recognized as a person under Colorado's bill of rights, Amendment 62
 may provide support for legal challenges to prohibit abortions in Colorado.

3) Amendment 62 establishes a legal definition of the term "person" as used in
sections 3, 6, and 25 of the Colorado bill of rights. Because these sections do not
currently contain a definition of the term "person," interpretation of the word is
subjective, which may lead to the rights granted by sections 3, 6, and 25 of the
Colorado bill of rights being inconsistently applied.

26 Arguments Against

Amendment 62 allows government intrusion in the privacy of the doctor-patient
 relationship and could limit the exercise of independent medical judgment. The
 measure could restrict a doctor from using certain medical procedures and treatments.
 Further, "the beginning of biological development" cannot be easily and conclusively
 pinpointed. Therefore, the measure may subject doctors and nurses to legal action for
 providing medical care to a woman of child-bearing age if that care could affect a
 "person" other than the identified patient.

2) The effects of Amendment 62's change to the constitution are unclear. The
measure applies certain rights from "the beginning of biological development," a term
which is not defined within the measure, has no established legal meaning, and is not
an accepted medical or scientific term. The legislature and the courts will have to

3rd Draft

decide how a wide variety of laws, including property rights and criminal laws, will
 apply from "the beginning of biological development."

3 3) Amendment 62 may limit the ability of individuals and families to make 4 important health care decisions. The measure could be used to prohibit or limit 5 access to medical care, including abortions for victims of rape or incest, and even 6 when a woman's life is in danger. Amendment 62 may also limit access to emergency 7 contraception, commonly used forms of birth control, and treatment for miscarriages, 8 tubal pregnancies, cancer and infertility. The amendment may restrict some stem cell 9 research that could lead to life-saving therapies for a variety of disabilities and 10 illnesses.

11 Estimate of Fiscal Impact

12 No immediate impact to state revenue or expenditures is expected because

Amendment 62 does not require that any specific actions be taken or services

14 provided. If legislation is adopted, or the courts determine that the measure requires

15 the state to provide new services, state spending may increase.