Amendment R Exempt Possessory Interests in Real Property

1 Amendment R proposes amending the Colorado Constitution to:

♦ eliminate property taxes for individuals or businesses that use government-owned property for a private benefit worth \$6,000 or less in market value.

Summary and Analysis

Property taxes and possessory interests. Property taxes are primarily based on the value of land, houses, other buildings, and business equipment. Individuals and businesses pay property taxes to various local governments, such as cities, counties, school districts, and special districts, each of which imposes its own tax rate on property. Property taxes pay for a variety of local government services, including public education, police and fire services, roads and bridges, parks and recreation facilities, hospitals, and libraries.

When an individual or business uses government-owned land or equipment for private purposes, a possessory interest is created. Although government-owned property is exempt from taxes, the benefit that a business or individual obtains from using that land or equipment is not. For example, some ranchers lease land from the federal government for cattle grazing. Other businesses lease land to provide a recreational activity, such as skiing or river rafting, or are given a contract to provide a specific service on public land, such as operating a snack bar at a national park. Under current law, the value of a private benefit is considered a possessory interest and is subject to property taxes.

The market value of all possessory interests in Colorado is about \$300 million, which is less than 0.1 percent of the total market value of all property in the state. At this value, total property tax payments for possessory interests are approximately \$6 million annually. There are about 7,000 possessory interests in the state, which pay an average of \$850 in property taxes annually.

How does Amendment R change the taxation of possessory interests? Starting in 2012, Amendment R exempts a possessory interest from property taxation if the market value of the interest is \$6,000 or less, which equates to a maximum tax payment of \$120 annually, depending on local tax rates. For example, most cattle grazing leases with the federal government have a market value below \$6,000, and therefore this private benefit would not be taxed. In contrast, the value of private benefits obtained by ski areas exceed the \$6,000 threshold and will continue to be taxed at the full value. In budget year 2012-13, the measure is expected to reduce property taxes statewide by \$160,000. Every two years, the \$6,000 threshold is increased to account for inflation.

Final Draft

Argument For

Amendment R reduces the administrative burden of collecting a tax that in many cases costs more money to collect than it brings in to local governments. For example, the majority of possessory interests in the state are for agricultural leases, many of which owe less than \$10 in property taxes. The cost of administering this tax — mailing notices, maintaining tax rolls, and collecting and enforcing the tax — often exceeds this amount.

Argument Against

Amendment R provides an unfair tax break for businesses and individuals who use government-owned land and puts a greater tax burden on others to pay for local government services. The state constitution requires that taxes be charged uniformly for all taxpayers. A small tax bill does not justify exempting a business or individual from paying the tax on the private benefit they enjoy on government land. Simple fairness demands that all businesses and individuals pay taxes, no matter how small.

Estimate of Fiscal Impact

State expenditures. Public schools are funded from a combination of state and local revenue. Since Amendment R reduces the amount of local revenue for schools, the state's portion of school funding will increase by approximately \$46,000 beginning in budget year 2012-13.

Local government impact. The amendment is expected to reduce property taxes for local governments by up to \$160,000 per year, beginning in budget year 2012-13. Of this amount, property taxes for school districts are expected to decrease by approximately \$46,000. In addition, minor cost savings may occur in some counties because of a reduced number of mailings and fewer properties to process and value.

Last Draft as Mailed to Interested Parties

Amendment R Exempt Possessory Interests in Real Property

1 Amendment R proposes amending the Colorado Constitution to:

♦ eliminate property taxes for individuals or businesses that use government-owned property for a private benefit worth \$6,000 or less in market value.

Summary and Analysis

Property taxes and possessory interests. Property taxes are primarily based on the value of land, houses, other buildings, and business equipment. Individuals and businesses pay property taxes to various local governments, such as cities, counties, school districts, and special districts. Property taxes pay for a variety of local government services, including public education, police and fire services, roads and bridges, parks and recreation facilities, hospitals, and libraries.

When an individual or business uses government-owned land or equipment for private purposes, a possessory interest is created. Although government-owned property is exempt from taxes, the benefit that a business or individual obtains from using that land or equipment is not. For example, some ranchers lease land from the federal government for cattle grazing. Other businesses lease land to provide a recreational activity, such as skiing or river rafting, or are given a contract to provide a specific service on public land, such as operating a snack bar at a national park. Under current law, the value of a private benefit is considered a possessory interest and is subject to property taxes.

The market value of all possessory interests in Colorado is about \$330 million, which is less than 0.1 percent of the total market value of all property in the state. At this value, possessory interests generate approximately \$23 million in property taxes annually. There are about 220,000 possessory interests in the state, of which nearly 215,000 are leases of agricultural land. Although agricultural leases greatly outnumber the other types of possessory interests, they account for only 3 percent of the total value of all the possessory interests in the state, averaging about \$51 each.

How does Amendment R change the taxation of possessory interests? Starting in 2012, a possessory interest is exempt from property taxation if the market value of the interest is \$6,000 or less. For example, most cattle grazing leases with the federal government have a market value below \$6,000, and therefore this private benefit would not be taxed. In contrast, the value of private benefits obtained by ski areas exceed the \$6,000 threshold and will continue to be taxed at the full value. In budget year 2012-13, the measure is expected to reduce property taxes statewide by \$160,000. Every two years, this \$6,000 threshold is increased to account for inflation.

Last Draft as Mailed to Interested Parties

1 **Argument For**

Amendment R reduces the administrative burden of collecting a tax that in many cases costs more money to collect than it brings in to local governments. For example, an agricultural possessory interest with a market value of \$51 would owe about \$1 in property taxes, depending on local tax rates. The cost of administering this tax — mailing notices, maintaining tax rolls, and collecting and enforcing the tax — often exceeds this amount.

Argument Against

8

20

21

22

23

24

Amendment R provides an unfair tax break for businesses and individuals who use government-owned land and puts a greater tax burden on others to pay for local government services. The state constitution requires that taxes be charged uniformly for all taxpayers. A small tax bill does not justify exempting a business or individual from paying the tax on the private benefit they enjoy on government land. Simple fairness demands that all businesses and individuals pay taxes, no matter how small.

15 **Estimate of Fiscal Impact**

State Expenditures. Public schools are funded from a combination of state and
 local revenue. Since Amendment R reduces the amount of local revenue for schools,
 the state's portion of school funding will increase by approximately \$46,000 beginning
 in budget year 2012-13.

Local Government Impact. The amendment is expected to reduce property taxes for local governments by up to \$160,000 per year, beginning in budget year 2012-13. Of this amount, property taxes for school districts are expected to decrease by approximately \$46,000. In addition, minor cost savings may occur in some counties because of fewer property valuations.

REFERENDUM R EXEMPT POSSESSORY INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY CONTACT LIST

Andy Karsian Colorado Counties Inc. 1700 Broadway Suite 1510 Denver, CO 80290 akarsian@ccionline.org Christina Whitmer Grand County 308 Byers Avenue Hot Sulphur Springs, CO 80451 treas@co.grand.co.us

Deborah Obermeyer MetroNorth Chamber of Commerce 2921 W. 120th Ave., Suite 210 Westminster, CO 80234 deborah@metronorthchamber.com Douglas Bruce Colorado Springs, CO 80936 taxcutter@msn.com

Gerry Cummins
League of Women Voters
1410 Grant St., Suite B-204
Denver, CO 80203
gerry.cummins@prodigy.net

Gini Pingenot Colorado Counties Inc. 800 Grant St., Suite 500 Denver, CO 80203 gpingenot@ccionline.org

JoAnn Groff
Department of Local Affairs
1313 Sherman, Room 419
Denver, CO 80203
joann.groff@state.co.us

John Lefebvre Weld County 1400 N. 17th Ave. Greeley, CO 80632 jlefebvre@co.weld.co.us

Mark Radtke Colorado Municipal League 1144 Sherman Denver, CO 80203 mradtke@cml.org Senator Mike Kopp 10589 W. Raspberry Mountain Littleton, CO 80127 mkoppsd22@gmail.com

Evan Goulding Special District Association 225 E. 16th Ave., Suite 1000 Denver, CO 80203 evan@sdaco.org Nichole Goodman Alliance for Sustainable Colorado 1536 Wynkoop St., Suite 4-A Denver, CO 80202 ngoodman@sustainablecolorado.org

REFERENDUM R EXEMPT POSSESSORY INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY CONTACT LIST

Patrick C. Boyle Boyle, Silver and Weist 1410 Grant St., Suite A-101 Denver, CO 80203 patrick@bswpolicy.com Patty Schoedler League of Women Voters 1410 Grant St., Suite B-204 Denver, CO 80203 pshades@earthlink.net

Peg Ackerman Colorado County Treasurers Association 1453 Downing St. Denver, CO 80218 aicorp@qwestoffice.net Representative Rand Baumgardner P.O. Box 108 Hot Sulphur Springs, CO 80451 randy.baumgardner.house@state.co.us

Sam Mamet Colorado Municipal League 1144 Sherman Denver, CO 80203 smamet@cml.org Stephannie Finley Colorado Springs Chamber of Commerce 6 S. Tejon St., #700 Colorado Springs, CO 80903 stephannie@cscc.org

Terry Howerter RTD 1600 Blake St. Denver, CO 80202 terry.howerter@RTD-Denver.com Tim Hoover
The Denver Post
101 W. Colfax Ave., Suite 600
Denver, CO 80202
thoover@denverpost.com

Amendment R Exempt Possessory Interests in Real Property

- Ballot Title: Shall there be an amendment to section 3 (1) (b) of article X of the
- 2 constitution of the state of Colorado, concerning an exemption from property taxation
- for a possessory interest in real property if the actual value of the interest is less than or
- 4 equal to six thousand dollars or such amount adjusted for inflation?

Text of Proposal:

5

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

- Be It Resolved by the House of Representatives of the Sixty-seventh General Assembly of the State of Colorado, the Senate concurring herein:
- SECTION 1. At the next election at which such question may be submitted, there shall be submitted to the registered electors of the state of Colorado, for their approval or rejection, the following amendment to the constitution of the state of Colorado, to wit:
- Section 3 (1) (b) of article X of the constitution of the state of Colorado is amended to read:
 - Section 3. Uniform taxation exemptions. (1) (b) (I) Residential real property, which shall include all residential dwelling units and the land, as defined by law, on which such units are located, and mobile home parks, but shall not include hotels and motels, shall be valued for assessment at twenty-one percent of its actual value. For the property tax year commencing January 1, 1985, the general assembly shall determine the percentage of the aggregate statewide valuation for assessment which is attributable to residential real property. For each subsequent year, the general assembly shall again determine the percentage of the aggregate statewide valuation for assessment which is attributable to each class of taxable property, after adding in the increased valuation for assessment attributable to new construction and to increased volume of mineral and oil and gas production. For each year in which there is a change in the level of value used in determining actual value, the general assembly shall adjust the ratio of valuation for assessment for residential real property which is set forth in this paragraph (b) as is necessary to insure that the percentage of the aggregate statewide valuation for assessment which is attributable to residential real property shall remain the same as it was in the year immediately preceding the year in which such change occurs. Such adjusted ratio shall be the ratio of valuation for assessment for residential real property for those years for which such new level of value is used. In determining the adjustment to be made in the ratio of valuation for assessment for residential real property, the aggregate statewide valuation for assessment that is attributable to residential real property shall be calculated as if the full actual value of all

owner-occupied primary residences that are partially exempt from taxation pursuant to section 3.5 of this article was subject to taxation. All other taxable property shall be valued for assessment at twenty-nine percent of its actual value. However, the valuation for assessment for producing mines, as defined by law, and lands or leaseholds producing oil or gas, as defined by law, shall be a portion of the actual annual or actual average annual production therefrom, based upon the value of the unprocessed material, according to procedures prescribed by law for different types of minerals. Non-producing unpatented mining claims, which are possessory interests in real property by virtue of leases from the United States of America, shall be exempt from property taxation. Other possessory interests in real property taxation. Other possessory interests in real property taxation. Other possessory interests in Real property shall be exempt from property taxation. Other possessory interests in Real property shall be exempt from property taxation.

1 2

- (II) (A) FOR THE PROPERTY TAX YEAR COMMENCING ON JANUARY 1, 2012, A POSSESSORY INTEREST IN REAL PROPERTY SHALL BE EXEMPT FROM THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF PROPERTY TAX IF THE ACTUAL VALUE OF SUCH POSSESSORY INTEREST IN REAL PROPERTY IS LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO SIX THOUSAND DOLLARS.
- (B) For property tax years commencing on or after January 1, 2013, a possessory interest in real property shall be exempt from the levy and collection of property tax if the actual value of such possessory interest in real property is less than or equal to six thousand dollars adjusted biennially to account for inflation as defined in section 20 (2) (f) of article X of this constitution. On or before November 1, 2012, and on or before November 1 of each even-numbered year thereafter, the property tax administrator shall calculate the amount of the exemption for the next two-year cycle using inflation for the prior two calendar years as of the date of the calculation. The adjusted exemption shall be rounded upward to the nearest one-hundred-dollar increment. The administrator shall certify the amount of the exemption for the next two-year cycle and publish the amount in a manner provided by law.
- **SECTION 2.** Each elector voting at said election and desirous of voting for or against said amendment shall cast a vote as provided by law either "Yes" or "No" on the proposition: "Shall there be an amendment to section 3 (1) (b) of article X of the constitution of the state of Colorado, concerning an exemption from property taxation for a possessory interest in real property if the actual value of the interest is less than or equal to six thousand dollars or such amount adjusted for inflation?"

SECTION 3. The votes cast for the adoption or rejection of said amendment shall be canvassed and the result determined in the manner provided by law for the canvassing of votes for representatives in Congress, and if a majority of the electors voting on the question shall have voted "Yes", the said amendment shall become a part of the state constitution.