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ADDENDUM
(As authorized by Section 26, Article V of the Constitution of the State of Colorado.)

BUSINESS AFFAIRS & LABOR

SB06-109  Adjournment Sine Die having passed, the House Committee on Business Affairs and Labor returned Senate Bill 06-109 to the House of Representatives.

SIGNING OF BILLS - RESOLUTIONS - MEMORIALS

The Speaker has signed: HB06-1001, 1003, 1004, 1006, 1008, 1011, 1017, 1024, 1045, 1046, 1054, 1056, 1057, 1058, 1072, 1086, 1092, 1121, 1124, 1127, 1145, 1147, 1149, 1151, 1153, 1157, 1158, 1159, 1161, 1169, 1171, 1176, 1178, 1201, 1209, 1211, 1257, 1266, 1270, 1274, 1277, 1278, 1281, 1283, 1288, 1293, 1294, 1302, 1304, 1306, 1310, 1311, 1312, 1313, 1314, 1315, 1317, 1322, 1323, 1326, 1330, 1331, 1336, 1337, 1339, 1343, 1344, 1346, 1347, 1349, 1350, 1351, 1352, 1353, 1354, 1355, 1356, 1360, 1362, 1363, 1364, 1379, 1380, 1381, 1382, 1383, 1384, 1386, 1387, 1388, 1389, 1390, 1391, 1392, 1393, 1394, 1395, 1396, 1397, 1398, 1399, 1400, 1404, 1405, 1406, 1408, 1409, 1410, 1411; HJM06-1002, 1003; HM06-1003, 1004; HJR06-1016, 1021, 1022, 1023, 1024, 1025, 1031, 1032, 1034, 1035, 1036, 1038; HR06-1011, 1012; SB06-004, 008, 011, 014, 015, 020, 022, 031, 036, 038, 044, 045, 046, 047, 048, 051, 051, 061, 063, 064, 065, 067, 069, 073, 080, 081, 089, 094, 105, 110, 111, 114, 122, 126, 127, 128, 130, 131, 135, 136, 138, 148, 149, 150, 152, 165, 170, 171, 173, 179, 183, 188, 193, 197, 198, 201, 202, 203, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 211, 212, 213, 215, 217, 218, 219, 221, 224, 225, 227, 228, 229, 230, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 239; SCR06-001, 002; SJM06-003, 004; SJR06-015, 019, 028, 029, 031, 032, 033, 034, 035, 036, 037, 038, 039, 040, 041, 043, 044, 045, 046, 047, 049, 050, 051, 052, 053, 054.

DELIVERY OF BILLS TO GOVERNOR

The Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives reports the following bills have been delivered to the Office of the Governor:

HB06-1018, 1085, 1154 at 11:45 a.m., on May 9, 2006.
HB06-1008, 1046, 1058, 1176, 1266, 1294, 1313, 1317, 1339, 1379, 1381, 1383, 1392, 1394 at 2:20 p.m., on May 11, 2005.

HB06-1001, 1024, 1054, 1086, 1092, 1121, 1124, 1127, 1147, 1149, 1151, 1153, 1157, 1158, 1159, 1161, 1171, 1178, 1209, 1211, 1270, 1274, 1288, 1293, 1302, 1304, 1306, 1310, 1311, 1312, 1314, 1315, 1323, 1331, 1336, 1337, 1343, 1360, 1362, 1364, 1384, 1388, 1390, 1393, 1395, 1400, 1404, 1405, 1411 at 8:55 a.m., on May 23, 2006.

HB06-1003, 1004, 1006, 1011, 1017, 1045, 1057, 1169, 1201, 1257, 1278, 1281, 1322, 1326, 1330, 1346, 1347, 1363, 1380, 1382, 1386, 1396, 1397, 1398, 1399, 1406, 1408, 1409, 1410 at 1:35 p.m., on May 24, 2006.

HB06-1072, 1145, 1277, 1387, 1391 at 2:50 p.m., on May 31, 2006.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

The Senate has failed to act on HJR06-1035. The resolution is returned herewith.

The Senate has failed to act on HB06-1090. The bill is returned herewith.

MESSAGES FROM THE GOVERNOR

I certify I received the following on the 9th day of May, 2006, at 3:50 p.m. The original is on file in the records of the House of Representatives of the General Assembly.

Marilyn Eddins,  
Chief Clerk of the House

May 8, 2006

The Honorable Colorado House of Representatives  
Sixty-Fifth General Assembly  
Second Regular Session  
State Capitol  
Denver, Colorado 80203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am filing with the Secretary of State House Bill 06-1275, “Concerning The Determination of the Actual Value for Property Tax Purposes of Property Used to Generate Electricity From Wind.” I will neither sign nor veto House Bill 06-1275. Therefore, this bill becomes law at 12:01 a.m. on May 9, 2006. This letter sets forth my reasons for letting this bill become law without my signature.

For taxation purposes, H.B. 1275 creates a distinction between “existing” wind energy facilities and any “new” facilities placed in production on or after January 1, 2006. New wind energy facilities will be taxed using the income approach, assessing the energy produced, while existing facilities will continue
to be taxed on their property value. The result is that wind energy facilities
would be taxed differently and at different levels based solely on the date the
facilities are placed in production. While such an incongruent tax policy is
cause for concern, the proponents of H.B. 1275 argue that using the income
approach will ease the initial tax burden for new wind energy facilities and help
to facilitate future development of wind resources in Colorado.

In addition, the constitutionality of utilizing different tax methods for wind
energy facilities has been questioned. However, both the Office of Legislative
Legal Services and the Attorney General’s Office have researched the
constitutionality of H.B. 1275, and both have concluded that this legislation can
be applied constitutionally.

While tax policy and legal concerns exist, the benefit of this legislation to the
state outweighs those concerns. H.B. 1275 will allow Colorado to compete in
the wind energy market and attract new businesses to the state. This legislation
will promote economic growth and further energy development in Colorado.

Accordingly, I am allowing this bill to become law without my signature.

Sincerely,

(signed)

Bill Owens

I certify I received the following on the 12th day of May, 2006, at
12:10 p.m. The original is on file in the records of the House of
Representatives of the General Assembly.

Marilyn Eddins,
Chief Clerk of the House

May 11, 2006

To the Honorable
House of Representatives
Sixty-fifth General Assembly
Second Regular Session
Denver, CO 80203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have the honor to inform you that I have approved and filed with
the Secretary of State the following Acts:

HB06-1132 Concerning The Disposition Of Animals Impounded
Under Animal Cruelty Laws, And, In Connection
Therewith, Authorizing The Sale Of Such Animals With
The Proceeds Applied To the Cost Of Care Of Such
Impounded Animals, Authorizing Court Hearings On The
Reasonableness Of Costs Associated With Bonds To
Prevent The Disposition Of An Impounded Animal,
Authorizing The Recovery Of Such Costs Through
Restitution In Criminal Cases, And Making An
Appropriation.

Approved May 11, 2006 at 2:01 p.m.
HB 06-1273 Concerning The Right Of Final Disposition Of The
Remains Of A Decedent.

Approved May 11, 2006 at 2:01 p.m.

HB06-1355 Concerning The Consolidation Of Procedures Regulating
The Auction Of Certain Big Game Hunting Licenses,
And, In Connection Therewith, Consolidating The
Procedures Regulating The Raffle Of Hunting Licenses
For Sheep, Goats, Moose, Deer, Elk, And Pronghorn.

Approved May 11, 2006 at 2:02 p.m.

Sincerely,
(signed)
Bill Owens

I certify I received the following on the 18th day of May, 2006, at
2:10 p.m. The original is on file in the records of the House of
Representatives of the General Assembly.

Marilyn Eddins,
Chief Clerk of the House

To the Honorable
House of Representatives
Sixty-fifth General Assembly
Second Regular Session
Denver, CO 80203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have the honor to inform you that I have approved and filed with
the Secretary of State the following Acts:

HB06-1008 Concerning Reimbursement For Supplemental On-Line
Education Courses, And Making An Appropriation
Therefor.

Approved May 17, 2006 at 4:50 p.m.

HB06-1018 Concerning An Increase In The Funding To The Older
Coloradans Cash Fund From The Receipts Collected From
The State Sales And Use Tax, And Making An
Appropriation Therefor.

Approved May 17, 2006 at 4:51 p.m.

HB06-1046 Concerning Regulation Of Private Occupational Schools,
And Making An Appropriation Therefor.

Approved May 17, 2006 at 4:52 p.m.
HB06-1154 Concerning The Issuance Of Heirloom Certificates, And
Making An Appropriation Therefor.

Approved May 17, 2006 at 4:53 p.m.

HB06-1266 Concerning The Recovery Of Public Assistance Payments
For Which A Judgment Has Been Issued.

Approved May 17, 2006 at 4:54 p.m.

HB06-1294 Concerning The Issuance Of A Rebuilder’s Certificate Of
Title For Motor Vehicles For The Purposes Of Restoring
Such Vehicles.

Approved May 17, 2006 at 4:55 p.m.

HB06-1313 Concerning The Funding Of Colorado Water Conservation
Board Projects, And, In Connection Therewith, Making
Appropriations.

Approved May 17, 2006 at 4:56 p.m.

HB06-1383 Concerning The Regulation Of Insurers’ Market Conduct
By The Commissioner Of Insurance.

Approved May 17, 2006 at 4:57 p.m.

HB06-1392 Concerning The Authority Of The Division Of Alcohol
And Drug Abuse To Regulate Treatment Facilities.

Approved May 17, 2006 at 4:57 p.m.

HB06-1394 Concerning Authorization For Rental Companies Of Class
A Motor Vehicles To Pay Two Percent Of Rental Fees In
Lieu Of Paying On The Vehicle’s Taxable Value When
Paying Specific Ownership Taxes.

Approved May 17, 2006 at 4:59 p.m.

Sincerely,

(signed) Bill Owens

I certify I received the following on the 26th day of May, 2006, at
2:15 p.m. The original is on file in the records of the House of
Representatives of the General Assembly.

Marilyn Eddins,
Chief Clerk of the House

May 25, 2006

To the Honorable
House of Representatives
Sixty-fifth General Assembly
Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have the honor to inform you that I have approved and filed with the Secretary of State the following Acts:

**HB06-1086** Concerning The Regulation Of Games Of Chance By The Secretary Of State, And Making An Appropriation Therefor.

Approved May 25, 2006 at 2:20 p.m.

**HB06-1121** Concerning Recognition Of Community Service Performed By Students.

Approved May 25, 2006 at 2:20 p.m.

**HB06-1124** Concerning The Adjudication Of A Rotational Crop Management Contract, And Making An Appropriation In Connection Therewith.

Approved May 25, 2006 at 2:21 p.m.

**HB06-1153** Concerning Changes To Colorado’s Sex Offender Registration Laws, And Making An Appropriation In Connection Therewith.

Approved May 25, 2006 at 2:21 p.m.

**HB06-1159** Concerning The Annexation Of Enclave Areas.

Approved May 25, 2006 at 2:22 p.m.

**HB06-1178** Concerning The Motorist Insurance Identification Database Program Used To Identify Persons Who Own Motor Vehicles That Are Not Insured, And, In Connection Therewith, Making An Appropriation.

Approved May 25, 2006 at 2:22 p.m.


Approved May 25, 2006 at 2:23 p.m.

**HB06-1211** Concerning Sanctions Under The Medical Assistance Program.

Approved May 25, 2006 at 2:23 p.m.
HB06-1288 Concerning The Election Of Nonpartisan School Directors.
Approved May 25, 2006 at 2:24 p.m.

HB06-1302 Concerning Auto Emissions Testing, And Making An Appropriation Therefor.
Approved May 25, 2006 at 2:25 p.m.

HB06-1310 Concerning Simplifying Procedures For Distributing Tobacco Settlement Moneys Among The Programs Currently Receiving The Moneys, And Making An Appropriation In Connection Therewith.
Approved May 25, 2006 at 2:26 p.m.

HB06-1311 Concerning The Species Conservation Trust Fund, And, In Connection Therewith, Approving The Species Conservation Eligibility List, Recapitalizing The Species Conservation Trust Fund, And Making An Appropriation.
Approved May 25, 2006 at 2:26 p.m.

HB06-1315 Concerning Juveniles Who Are Convicted As Adults Of Class 1 Felonies, And Making An Appropriation In Connection Therewith.
Approved May 25, 2006 at 2:27 p.m.

HB06-1364 Concerning Offenses Related To The Location Of A Protected Person.
Approved May 25, 2006 at 2:27 p.m.

HB06-1381 Concerning Notification To The Capital Development Committee Of Requests For Proposals Relating To Private Prisons.
Approved May 25, 2006 at 2:27 p.m.

HB06-1388 Concerning The Ability Of The Executive Director Of The Department Of Revenue To Address Alleged Violations Relating To Motor Vehicle Dealers.
Approved May 25, 2006 at 2:27 p.m.

HB06-1404 Concerning The Creation Of A Support Education Special License Plate, And Making An Appropriation Therefor.
Approved May 25, 2006 at 2:28 p.m.
HB06-1405 Concerning A Supplemental Appropriation To Capital Construction.

Approved May 25, 2006 at 2:28 p.m.

Sincerely,
(signed)
Bill Owens

May 26, 2006

To the Honorable
House of Representatives
Sixty-fifth General Assembly
Second Regular Session
Denver, CO 80203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have the honor to inform you that I have approved and filed with the Secretary of State the following Acts:

HB06-1001 Concerning Principals In Public Schools, And Making An Appropriation In Connection Therewith.

Approved May 26, 2006 at 10:07 a.m.

HB06-1004 Concerning The Creation Of A Grant Program To Provide Funding For Organizations That Supply Accessible Educational Materials For Students With Print Disabilities, And Making An Appropriation Therefor.

Approved May 26, 2006 at 10:08 a.m.

HB06-1057 Concerning Criminal Acts Involving Animals.

Approved May 26, 2006 at 10:10 a.m.

HB06-1257 Concerning Noise Mitigation Measures Along State Highways, And Making An Appropriation Therefor.

Approved May 26, 2006 at 10:11 a.m.


Approved May 26, 2006 at 10:11 a.m.

HB06-1293 Concerning The Fees Assessed On The Review Of Water Plans To Replace Depletion Caused By Evaporation From Exposure That Are Required For Open Mining Of
Sedimentary Stone Particulates Used In Construction Materials, And Making An Appropriation In Connection Therewith.

Approved May 26, 2006 at 10:12 a.m.

HB06-1337 Concerning Penalties Levied Against A Person Who Violates Water Quality Control Provisions, And Making An Appropriation Therefor.

Approved May 26, 2006 at 10:14 a.m.

HB06-1380 Concerning The Development Of Measures To Reduce The Theft Of Retail Goods.

Approved May 26, 2006 at 10:15 a.m.

HB06-1382 Concerning The Creation Of The “Right To Rest In Peace Act”.

Approved May 26, 2006 at 10:00 a.m.

HB06-1395 Concerning Residential Child Health Care, And Making An Appropriation In Connection Therewith.

Approved May 26, 2006 at 10:01 a.m.

HB06-1399 Concerning The Authority To Use A Percentage Of Stipend Spending Authority To Purchase Postsecondary Educational Services If Authorized By A Fee-For-Service Contract.

Approved May 26, 2006 at 10:16 a.m.

HB06-1400 Concerning Interbasin Compacts, And, In Connection Therewith, Approving The Interbasin Compact Charter, Altering The Boundaries That Define Certain Western Slope Basin Roundtables, And Making An Appropriation.

Approved May 26, 2006 at 10:16 a.m.

HB06-1408 Concerning Off-Site Ground Water Monitoring Wells In Mining Operations.

Approved May 26, 2006 at 10:20 a.m.

HB06-1409 Concerning The Authority To Simulcast Out-Of-State Greyhound Races At Greyhound Race Facilities.

Approved May 26, 2006 at 10:22 a.m.

Sincerely,

(signed)

Bill Owens

__________
May 26, 2006

The Honorable Colorado House of Representatives
Sixty-Fifth General Assembly
Second Regular Session
State Capitol
Denver, Colorado 80203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am filing with the Secretary of State House Bill 06-1346, “Concerning dependent health care coverage for a minor child of a person eligible for dependent coverage.” I vetoed this bill as of 11:30 a.m. today and this letter sets forth my reasons for doing so.

House Bill 1346 changes the definition of an eligible dependent and the subsequent benefit a carrier makes available to employees. The definition of an eligible dependent would be expanded to include minors of dependents (grandchildren). To qualify, the grandchild would have to be financially dependent upon and have the same legal residence as the parent of the dependent.

I believe that H.B. 1346 has some merit in terms of expanding coverage to uninsured individuals. However, I am very concerned that this bill would have the unintended effect of increasing health care costs at a time when many Coloradans are already struggling to afford health insurance.

Mandates such as those H.B. 1346 requires may be well intentioned, but collectively they have the direct consequence of making health care less affordable. I had similar concerns regarding H.B. 05-1101, “Concerning health coverage for a dependent child not enrolled in an educational institution”, which I let become law without my signature last year. Since that time, increased premiums have become a reality and would only be intensified under the provisions of H.B. 1346.

Such bills take a very important decision – which benefits to offer – away from the employer. While this may seem appropriate since the employee must pay the additional premium for the dependent, I am concerned that these mandates lessen an employer’s control over benefits and contribute to increased premiums, which directly impact employees.

The Commission on Mandated Health Insurance Benefits expressed concern that H.B. 1346 could result in increasing the cost of insurance, leading employers to stop offering coverage.

A report by the Commission states, “…the increase in premium may result in some Coloradans losing their health coverage due to their and/or their employers’ inability to absorb additional cost, and the administrative costs to employers of implementing such a mandate.” The commission estimates that the uninsured population could increase by roughly 1,200 to 2,400 individuals as a result of the increased premiums from this mandate.

I agree with the concerns expressed by business groups such as the National Federation of Independent Business and the Colorado Association of Commerce and Industry that it would be irresponsible to add to health care costs at a time when employers and employees are already seeing double-digit...
increases. I believe this legislation could be counterproductive and increase the number of uninsured individuals in Colorado.

Accordingly, I have vetoed this bill.

Sincerely,

(signed)

Bill Owens

May 26, 2006

The Honorable Colorado House of Representatives
Sixty-Fifth General Assembly
Second Regular Session
State Capitol
Denver, Colorado 80203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am filing with the Secretary of State House Bill 06-1336, “Concerning the Enactment of the “Uniform Athlete Agents Act” and, in connection therewith, conforming existing statutes governing the conduct of athlete agents, and making an appropriation.” I vetoed this bill as of 11:31 a.m. today and this letter sets forth my reasons for doing so.

House Bill 1336 would require the registration of athlete agents who contact students within the state of Colorado.

Disclosures required by this bill go beyond the jurisdiction of regulating the athlete agent and are inconsistent with any other profession licensed by the Division of Registration. Furthermore, the bill would give educational institutions a statutory right of action against an athlete agent or former student athlete for damages, while also allowing the recovery of costs and attorney fees to the prevailing party.

As with any legislation seeking to impose additional regulations upon an industry, the goal must be to create the least restrictive form of regulation consistent with the public interest by showing that regulation is necessary to protect public health, safety or welfare. Athlete agents are already governed under C.R.S. 23-16-101 et. Seq. The normal procedure (required by state law) for placing new regulations upon an industry begins with the sunrise review process conducted by the Department of Regulatory Agencies. This process provides an objective evaluation of the potential benefit or harm caused by proposed regulation and makes recommendations for the least restrictive level of regulation necessary to protect the public.

It is the informal opinion of the Attorney General’s office that “the General Assembly intended the sunrise review process to be applied where licensure, certification, registration or other similar barrier to entry is proposed for an occupation or profession that currently does not require a state of Colorado license, certificate, registration or other similar barrier.” Consequently, I believe that requiring athlete agents to register with the state of Colorado falls under the purview of a sunrise review. I strongly support the sunrise process, which provides an unbiased opinion as to the necessity of proposed regulation.
H.B. 1336 fails to recognize existing student athlete protections, limits a student athlete’s rights under current law and fails to include any additional student athlete protective provisions. Also, this bill does not include a sunset review requirement, which would allow the General Assembly to determine continued need for regulation.

Accordingly, I have vetoed this bill.

Sincerely,

(signed)

Bill Owens

May 26, 2006

The Honorable Colorado House of Representatives
Sixty-Fifth General Assembly
Second Regular Session
State Capitol
Denver, CO 80203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am filing with the Secretary of State House Bill 06-1127, "Concerning the regulation of athletic trainers, and making an appropriation in connection therewith." I vetoed this bill as of 11:48 a.m. today and this letter sets forth my reasons for doing so.

House Bill 1127 would require Colorado athletic trainers to become licensed by meeting specific minimum educational criteria, pass the national exam and obtain professional liability insurance of a minimum of $100,000 with an aggregate liability limit of $300,000 per year. The bill would also create an advisory committee and allocate certain general fund monies to implement the program.

In 1995 and 2005, sunrise review reports were performed by the Department of Regulatory Agencies which concluded that licensure of athletic trainers was not justified. Currently in Colorado, athletic trainers who satisfy certain criteria are exempted from the provisions of the Medical Practice Act (MPA) under section 12-36-106(3.5), C.R.S. This exemption restricts the types of services that may be provided by athletic trainers and also limits who may receive such services. The 2005 sunrise report found that the current MPA exemption offers an adequate level of protection to the public. This absence of harm, coupled with the potential costs to athletic trainers and taxpayers, leads to the conclusion that regulation is unwarranted.

This profession is self-regulated through educational standards and national certification standards. Athletic trainers trying to find employment without the national certification have found it difficult to obtain a job, indicating that the marketplace is efficient in regulating itself. I believe licensure of this
profession – like so many other new licensing schemes proposed by the legislature this session – is both unnecessary and harmful to the consumer.

Accordingly, I have vetoed this bill.

Sincerely,

(signed)

Bill Owens

May 26, 2006

The Honorable Colorado House of Representatives
Sixty-Fifth General Assembly
Second Regular Session
State Capitol
Denver, CO 80203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am filing with the Secretary of State House Bill 06-1314, "Concerning a Prohibition Against Certain Employer Communications to and Employee”. I vetoed this bill as of 11:49 a.m. today and this letter sets forth my reasons for doing so.

House Bill 1314 would prohibit an employer from requiring their employees to attend or participate in a meeting or any form of communication or meeting regarding “religious or political matters”. Further, an employer would be unable to discipline or discharge an employee for failure to participate.

I have many concerns regarding H.B. 1314. First, this bill is a direct and overt limit on an employers’ First Amendment right to freely communicate with their employees. Committee testimony revealed that the supporters’ main goal was to prevent employers from potentially communicating to employees their opposition to a union organizing effort. When facing an All-Union Agreement election, I strongly believe employers have the right to state their position to their employees.

Second, H.B. 1314 is in direct conflict with the National Labor Relations Act, which provides that employers have the right to communicate with employees by holding meetings and requiring attendance at those meetings.

Third, as pointed out by the Colorado Civil Justice League, this piece of legislation violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 which prohibits employment discrimination based on, among other things, religion. In such cases, employers are obligated to address religious issues in the workplace.

Further, this bill states that “political matters” includes discussion on whether or not to join a “community group or activity”. Colorado and our many communities benefit greatly from social and community activism inspired and encouraged by employers. Discouraging employers from offering volunteer opportunities by threatening them with civil litigation would not only be illegal, but shameful. For example, last September – if this law had been in place – employers would have been unable to discuss with their workers the many
volunteer activities available through churches and other groups to help the evacuees from Hurricane Katrina who were arriving in Colorado.

I also agree with concerns raised by the Colorado Association of Commerce and Industry that this bill likely would adversely affect Colorado’s economy. CACI recently stated, “Companies considering moving here would think twice before agreeing to subject themselves to such drastic restrictions on communicating with their workers.”

H.B. 1314 restricts employers’ First Amendment rights, contradicts federal law, threatens Colorado employer-employee relations and jeopardizes the very core of private sector involvement in community service.

Accordingly, I have vetoed this bill.

Sincerely,

Bill Owens

May 26, 2006

The Honorable Colorado House of Representatives
Sixty-Fifth General Assembly
Second Regular Session
State Capitol
Denver, CO 80203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am filing with the Secretary of State House Bill 06-1331, "Concerning the regulation of landscape architects, and making an appropriation therefore." I vetoed this bill as of 11:52 a.m. today and this letter sets forth my reasons for doing so.

House Bill 1331 would establish the “Landscape Architects Professional Licensing Act”, require professional liability insurance and allow for the use of a landscape architecture stamp.

The sunrise reviews performed by the Department of Regulatory Agencies in 1995, 2002 and 2005 all concluded that there is no evidence the public would benefit from a state regulatory program of this profession. Imposing state regulations on this industry will create barriers to entry for future landscape architects, threatens the viability of small businesses and raises costs to consumers.

It is also important to point out that entities such as the National Parks Service and the Colorado Department of Transportation do not require a landscape architect to be licensed in order to obtain a federal or state contract in Colorado. In addition, local governments have ably managed standards of competency requirements that meet their own needs, without a statewide licensure program.
While the industry itself desires to be regulated – perhaps lessening competition from additional landscape architects – there is little evidence consumers desire such regulation.

Accordingly, I have vetoed this bill.

Sincerely,

(signed)

Bill Owens

I certify I received the following on the 31st day of May, 2006, at 9:15 a.m. The original is on file in the records of the House of Representatives of the General Assembly.

Marilyn Eddins,
Chief Clerk of the House

May 30, 2006

To the Honorable
House of Representatives
Sixty-fifth General Assembly
Second Regular Session
Denver, CO 80203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have the honor to inform you that I have approved and filed with the Secretary of State the following Acts:

HB06-1306 Concerning The Requirement Of A Statewide Audit To Study The Implementation Of The “Secure And Verifiable Identity Document Act”.

Approved May 30, 2006 at 8:55 a.m.


Approved May 30, 2006 at 10:27 a.m.

HB06-1326 Concerning Identity Theft, And Making An Appropriation In Connection Therewith.

Approved May 30, 2006 at 10:26 a.m.

HB06-1347 Concerning Creation Of The “Identity Theft And Financial Fraud Deterrence Act”, And Making An Appropriation In Connection Therewith.

Approved May 30, 2006 at 8:57 a.m.
HB06-1379  Concerning A Requirement For Training To Implement
Witness Protection Programs.

Approved May 30, 2006 at 9:00 a.m.

Sincerely,
(signed)
Bill Owens

I certify I received the following on the 5th day of June, 2006, at
10:15 a.m. The original is on file in the records of the House of
Representatives of the General Assembly.

Marilyn Eddins,
Chief Clerk of the House

June 1, 2006

To the Honorable
House of Representatives
Sixty-fifth General Assembly
Second Regular Session
Denver, CO 80203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have the honor to inform you that I have approved and filed with
the Secretary of State the following Acts:

HB06-1024  Concerning Underserved Students At Institutions Of
Higher Education.

Approved June 1, 2006 at 4:43 p.m.

HB06-1085  Concerning Expansion Of The Purposes For Which
Moneys In The Building Regulation Fund May Be
Expended, And Making An Appropriation In Connection
Therewith.

Approved June 1, 2006 at 4:45 p.m.

HB06-1158  Concerning School Safety Inspections, And Making An
Appropriation In Connection Therewith.

Approved June 1, 2006 at 4:46 p.m.

HB06-1171  Concerning Alcohol-And Drug-Related Driving Offenses.

Approved June 1, 2006 at 4:52 p.m.

HB06-1176  Concerning The Authorization Of Kit Motor Vehicles.

Approved June 1, 2006 at 4:53 p.m.
HB06-1277 Concerning The Treatment Of Persons With A Mental Illness, And Making An Appropriation In Connection Therewith.

Approved June 1, 2006 at 4:52 p.m.

HB06-1281 Concerning The Establishment Of A Program To Demonstrate The Use Of Breakthrough Advanced Coal Technology To Promote Low-Emitting Coal-Fueled Electricity Generation.

Approved June 1, 2006 at 4:54 p.m.

HB06-1304 Concerning Contributions To Volunteer Firefighter Pension Funds, And Making An Appropriation Therefor.

Approved June 1, 2006 at 4:57 p.m.

HB06-1312 Concerning Written Responses Issued By The Executive Director Of The Department Of Revenue Upon The Request Of Taxpayers, And Making An Appropriation Therefor.

Approved June 1, 2006 at 4:55 p.m.

HB06-1330 Concerning Information Filed With The Division Of Insurance By Specified Types Of Insurers, And Making An Appropriation In Connection Therewith.

Approved June 1, 2006 at 4:58 p.m.

HB06-1386 Concerning Transfers Of Money To The Capital Construction Fund.

Approved June 1, 2006 at 5:00 p.m.

HB06-1387 Concerning Real Estate Foreclosures.

Approved June 1, 2006 at 5:01 p.m.

HB06-1390 Concerning The Transportation Of Mobile Crane Equipment As A Nondivisible Load.

Approved June 1, 2006 at 5:02 p.m.

HB06-1391 Concerning The Revision Of Statutes In The Colorado Revised Statutes, As Amended, And, In Connection Therewith, Amending Or Repealing Obsolete,
Inconsistent, And Conflicting Provisions Of Law And
Clarifying The Language To Reflect The Legislative
Intent Of The Laws.

Approved June 1, 2006 at 5:03 p.m.

Sincerely,
(signed)
Bill Owens

June 2, 2006

To the Honorable
House of Representatives
Sixty-fifth General Assembly
Second Regular Session
Denver, CO 80203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have the honor to inform you that I have approved and filed with the Secretary of State the following Acts:

HB06-1045 Concerning Public Reporting Of Hospital-Acquired Infections, And Making An Appropriation Therefor.

Approved June 2, 2006 at 10:22 a.m.

HB06-1161 Concerning The Regulation Of Mortgage Brokers, And, In Connection Therewith, Making An Appropriation.

Approved June 2, 2006 at 11:30 a.m.

HB06-1169 Concerning Protecting Personal Information In Domestic Case Filings That Are Open To Inspection By The Public.

Approved June 2, 2006 at 11:31 a.m.

HB06-1270 Concerning The Authority Of Public School Personnel To Make Determinations Of Eligibility For Certain Public Medical Benefits, And Making An Appropriation In Connection Therewith.

Approved June 2, 2006 at 11:34 a.m.


Approved June 2, 2006 at 10:24 a.m.
HB06-1396 Concerning The Funding Of School-Based Health Centers, And, In Connection Therewith, Making An Appropriation.

Approved June 2, 2006 at 11:40 a.m.

HB06-1398 Concerning Clarification Of The Manner In Which The Transfer Of Net Revenue Of The State Sales And Use Tax To Specified Funds As Currently Authorized By Law Is To Be Administered.

Approved June 2, 2006 at 11:41 a.m.

Sincerely,
(signed)
Bill Owens

June 2, 2006

The Honorable Colorado House of Representatives
Sixty-Fifth General Assembly
Second Regular Session
State Capitol
Denver, CO 80203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am filing with the Secretary of State House Bill 06-1317, “Concerning the development of state energy planning information, and, in connection therewith, making an appropriation”. I vetoed this bill as of 1:31 p.m. today and this letter sets forth my reasons for doing so.

H.B. 1317 would allocate $316,000 in state money to the Energy and Environmental Security Initiative at the University of Colorado School of Law. The money would be used to create an annual Colorado Energy Profile “containing up-to-date statistical energy information relating to Colorado, together with projections of how that profile will change over time.”

Much of this information, which I agree has value to the state, is already available. For example, the Colorado Geological Survey within the Department of Natural Resources currently prepares a detailed, annual mineral fuels report that contains much of this information. In addition, the U.S. Energy Information Agency (EIA), with an annual budget of $85 million, produces state-specific energy profiles. And the National Association of State Energy Officials (NASEO), to which our Office of Energy Management and Conservation belongs, is currently working with Congress and the EIA to update this information.

I have directed our state Office of Energy Management and Conservation to use its private, non-state funds for a competitive Request for Proposal (RFP) to create a policy-neutral, centralized state website to provide this information. This RFP process will ensure that Colorado taxpayers get the best public or private contractor available at a competitive rate. Also, the contractor will be required to complete this task in a time-efficient manner compatible with the
rapidly changing, global competitive environment, rather that creating a new, slow-moving bureaucracy.

Accordingly, I have vetoed this bill.

Sincerely,

(signed)

Bill Owens

June 2, 2006

The Honorable Colorado House of Representatives
Sixty-Fifth General Assembly
Second Regular Session
State Capitol
Denver, Colorado 80203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am filing with the Secretary of State House Bill 06-1363, “Concerning grants for programs designed to prevent juvenile delinquency, and making an appropriation therefor.” I vetoed this bill as of 1:32 p.m. today and this letter sets forth my reasons for doing so.

House Bill 1363 creates a $75 surcharge to be paid by each adult or juvenile convicted of a felony or misdemeanor. A portion of this money would go toward juvenile diversion programs, while 80 percent of the revenue would go to programs earmarked for before- and after-school programs for kindergarteners through eighth graders.

I support these types of programs but I cannot support a bill that taxes an unpopular class of citizens to finance a narrow range of programs. The Rocky Mountain News, in an April 24th editorial, pointed out, “It’s obvious that HB 1363’s supporters have singled out an unpopular class of individuals - convicted criminals- and expect that the public will not object if the financial burden for an essentially unrelated program rests solely on their shoulders.”

Allowing this bill to become law creates a bad example and increases the likelihood of other groups pursuing similar funding schemes. As The Rocky Mountain News stated: “If HB 1363 becomes law, it would set a dangerous precedent. Spending lobbies will look for other ways to sneak through new fees paid by unpopular minorities… to finance pet projects.”

It is important to note that even with this veto, grant funding to the Tony Grampsas program will increase by $4 million in FY 2006-07 to a total of $7.5 million to support youth prevention programs.

While most before- and after- school programs are worthwhile, and in some cases contain promising approaches to reducing delinquency, most are not evidence-based programs designed to reduce youth crime. Furthermore, this bill would prohibit funding from going to any programs designed to improve academic performance or targeted to high-schoolers thereby excluding many services geared for those most at-risk of becoming delinquent.
The $75 surcharge would apply to all, non-indigent offenders, roughly 30,000 individuals per year, and would be in addition to the many other financial obligations already required. Currently, there are at least 17 different fines, surcharges and fees that courts can impose on offenders including probation fees, restitution, victim-compensation, treatment costs, and offense-specific penalties.

I do not believe that offenders should be singled out and required to pay an additional, blanket surcharge that is not directly linked to the crime committed. Furthermore, increasing the financial burden of offenders may add to the number of people who are out-of-compliance with the court, increasing the number of cases that cannot be closed and consuming the valuable resources of the courts.

Accordingly, I have vetoed this bill.

Sincerely,

(signed)

Bill Owens

June 2, 2006

The Honorable Colorado House of Representatives
Sixty-Fifth General Assembly
Second Regular Session
State Capitol
Denver, Colorado 80203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am filing with the Secretary of State House Bill 06-1397, “Concerning the creation of a statewide system for early childhood councils, and making an appropriation therefor.” I vetoed this bill as of 1:28 p.m. today and this letter sets forth my reasons for doing so.

House Bill 1397 repeals the pilot status of the existing program for community consolidated child care services and expands this program statewide by creating a system of early childhood councils. While I appreciate the desire to improve the quality of child care in Colorado, I believe the proposal needs further refinement. Further, I do not believe this task requires the creation of a new layer of state bureaucracy.

H.B. 1397 creates the Colorado Early Childhood Council Planning Team, which arrogates for itself responsibility to oversee the activities of these councils. The duties outlined in the bill rightfully belong to the Colorado Department of Human Services.

The 17 child care councils currently in Colorado are funded with federal dollars. Expanding this program requires the state to assume the additional financial burden as all federal child care monies have been fully allocated in next year’s budget. Early versions of the bill indicated that between $12 million and $16 million would be needed for this expansion. Although the cost eventually was pared down to $2 million, it is clear that the financial appetite for this program is substantial.
Also, this bill was introduced very late in the legislative session and did not benefit from a full examination and discussion. A statewide expansion of this size, as well as a new, ongoing commitment from the General Fund, requires thoughtful analysis and study.

It is important to note that the School Finance Act, which I signed in April, appropriates $5 million General Fund to create 2,000 new preschool slots. Considering this expansion of the state’s preschool program, I believe that appropriating additional state funding to other early childhood programs before other programmatic cuts have been restored violates the spirit of Referendum C.

Accordingly, I have vetoed this bill.

Sincerely,

(signed)

Bill Owens

June 2, 2006

The Honorable Colorado House of Representatives
Sixty-Fifth General Assembly
Second Regular Session
State Capitol
Denver, Colorado 80203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am filing with the Secretary of State House Bill 06-1006, “Concerning referral by an insurance company of an insured to a personal property repair business, and making an appropriation in connection therewith.” I vetoed this bill as of 1:40 p.m. today and this letter sets forth my reasons for doing so.

House Bill 06-1006 purports to protect consumers by prohibiting certain types of business practices by property and casualty insurers. I am concerned that prohibiting insurance companies from referring or recommending repair vendors to their customers would harm consumers by denying them sound information upon which to make educated decisions.

Some proponents of this legislation say it is not their intent to prohibit insurance carriers from recommending repair businesses to their customers; however, a simple one-sentence amendment that would have clarified intent was defeated.

Currently, insurance companies can provide consumers with a list of preferred vendors. Consumers can then accept a recommendation or search for their own vendors. The fact is that many individuals would prefer to have a recommendation from their insurance company rather than have to look for vendors on their own.

Insurance companies develop trusted relationships with the businesses on their preferred vendor lists. They have identified businesses that deliver consistent services and meet industry standards. Government intrusion in this process is unreasonable. It seems that proponents of this legislation are trying to seek a competitive advantage through legislative intervention rather than through direct competition in the marketplace.
Certainly, there are many reputable and trustworthy businesses that are not on preferred vendor lists and the current system allows these businesses to be utilized by consumers. But those consumers who prefer to deal with businesses recommended by their insurance carrier should continue to have that option as well.

H.B. 1006 is unnecessary and potentially harmful. The current referral system provides consumers with valuable information and helps keep rates down.

Accordingly, I have vetoed this bill.

Sincerely,

(signed)

Bill Owens

June 2, 2006

The Honorable Colorado House of Representatives
Sixty-Fifth General Assembly
Second Regular Session
State Capitol
Denver, CO 80203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am filing with the Secretary of State House Bill 1147, “Concerning Measures To Promote Energy Efficiency”. I vetoed this bill as of 1:40 p.m. today and this sets forth my reasons for doing so.

H.B. 1147 would require utilities to engage in energy efficiency programs, presumably cash rebates for energy efficient windows and appliances for homes and businesses. The bill allows utilities to recover all costs incurred through the implementation of such programs with a consumer rate increase. Additionally, the bill establishes a bonus structure, rewarding utilities up to thirty percent of total expenditures for the conservation measures implemented.

It is estimated that the expense of implementing such a program would cost all residential customers at least $6 annually and all businesses customes over $22 annually. Colorado customers have seen their heating costs triple over the past three years. Consequently, I believe passing on these additional costs is improper at this time.

Furthermore, there is no guarantee that needy Colorado consumers would benefit from such programs. While more affluent customers may be able to purchase energy efficient appliances or weatherization products, and therefore be awarded a rebate, many underprivileged customers would be unable to purchase these items but would still have to pay the increased utility costs. This is simply not fair for those Coloradans who live paycheck to paycheck.

As Governor, I have strongly supported energy conservation throughout the state and have encouraged all Coloradans to implement energy efficient measures wherever appropriate. This has proven to be an effective strategy. Many residences and businesses have been outfitted with weatherproof windows, energy efficient furnaces and boilers, and energy saving appliances. State weatherization programs have supported many of these improvements.
Citizens throughout the state are taking the necessary steps to implement conservation improvements that are consistent with their own needs and budgets. Creating a new program that serves only a fraction of our state’s citizens while subsidizing utilities and more affluent consumers at the expense of all consumers is counterproductive and unnecessary.

Accordingly, I have vetoed this bill.

Sincerely,

(signed)

Bill Owens

June 2, 2006

The Honorable Colorado House of Representatives
Sixty-Fifth General Assembly
Second Regular Session
State Capitol
Denver, CO 80203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am filing with the Secretary of State House Bill 06-1251, “Concerning a prohibition on price gouging.” I have vetoed this bill as of 1:45 p.m. today and this letter sets forth my reasons for doing so.

House Bill 1251 purports to prohibit price gouging during an emergency. Retailers in a “designated area” would be prohibited from raising the price of goods and services by more than 10%, based on the sellers’ average price and profit margin in the 30 days before an emergency.

House Bill 1251 violates the fundamental principles of our market-based economy. Basic economics teaches us that in times of shortage or increased demand, prices increase accordingly. Under this bill, even if a person were willing to pay more than 10% above cost, perhaps for a hotel room or rental car in limited supply during an emergency, such a transaction between willing parties would be prohibited.

Further, in the event of a national emergency, it is unclear why Colorado businesses, and ultimately Colorado consumers, should be put at a disadvantage. The impetus for this proposal seems to be the cost of gasoline but, of course, the bill can do nothing to address the root causes for the higher prices. The bill cannot address the fact that domestic oil production should be increased and new technologies explored. The cost of a barrel of oil is a global issue, not a localized emergency.

The governor of Hawaii recognized this fact several weeks ago when she signed a bill repealing Hawaii’s law controlling the price of gasoline. Hawaii’s failed experience with attempting to limit the price of gasoline shows the futility of trying to limit world prices at a state’s borders.

The fact is that artificial price controls rarely work. When supplies of a certain commodity are scarce, that commodity is more likely to flow to those areas where retailers are allowed to charge the prevailing rate and not to those areas where prices are artificially capped. As one newspaper editorial columnist
suggested, this is an attempt to repeal a law, a basic law of economics. Repealing the law of supply and demand is something that is simply outside the purview of the Colorado Legislature.

Finally, state law already provides established standards and appropriate mechanisms for state government to respond to emergency situations. The Colorado Disaster Emergency Act provides that the Governor may declare a state of disaster emergency if there is an “imminent threat of widespread or severe damage, injury, or loss of life or property…” This is an established and reasoned legal standard in which the Governor may declare a disaster emergency. In the event of a disaster, the Governor is authorized to take extraordinary measures to meet that disaster. This includes the power to suspend laws, commandeer property, and suspend or limit the sale of certain products. This is the established and appropriate mechanism for the state to address issues related to disaster emergencies and House Bill 1251 would only confuse this clear body of law.

Accordingly, I have vetoed this bill.

Sincerely,

(signed)

Bill Owens

I certify I received the following on the 6th day of June, 2006, at 4:30 p.m. The original is on file in the records of the House of Representatives of the General Assembly.

Marilyn Eddins,
Chief Clerk of the House

June 5, 2006

To the Honorable
House of Representatives
Sixty-fifth General Assembly
Second Regular Session
Denver, CO 80203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have the honor to inform you that I have approved and filed with the Secretary of State the following Acts:

HB06-1017 Concerning The Creation Of A Performance-Based Incentive For Employers That Create High Quality New Jobs In The State, And Making An Appropriation Therefor.

Approved June 5, 2006 at 1:56 p.m.

HB06-1201 Concerning The Proportion Of Limited Gaming Revenues Designated For The Promotion Of Tourism In The State, And Making An Appropriation Therefor.

Approved June 5, 2006 at 1:53 p.m.
HB06-1360 Concerning The Advancement Of New Bioscience Discoveries At Colorado Research Institutions Through Evaluation, And Making An Appropriation.

Approved June 5, 2006 at 1:54 p.m.

HB06-1362 Concerning The Creation Of Performance-Based Incentives For The Motion Picture Industry To Work In Colorado, And Making An Appropriation Therefor.

Approved June 5, 2006 at 1:55 p.m.

HB06-1384 Concerning The Expenditure Of Moneys From The Colorado Travel And Tourism Promotion Fund To Benefit The Colorado State Fair, And Making An Appropriation Therefor.

Approved June 5, 2006 at 1:50 p.m.

HB06-1393 Concerning The Use Of Severance Tax Revenues By The Department Of Agriculture For The Natural Resources Conservation Matching Grants Program.

Approved June 5, 2006 at 1:00 p.m.

Sincerely,

(signed)

June 6, 2006

To the Honorable
House of Representatives
Sixty-fifth General Assembly
Second Regular Session
Denver, CO 80203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have the honor to inform you that I have approved and filed with the Secretary of State the following Acts:

HB06-1003 Concerning Private Toll Roads And Toll Highways, And, In Connection Therewith, Specifying Requirements That Must Be Met Before A Corporation Can Construct A Private Toll Road Or Toll Highway.

Approved June 6, 2006 at 9:47 a.m.

HB06-1054 Concerning AIDS Prevention, And Making An Appropriation Therefor.

Approved June 6, 2006 at 9:45 a.m.
HB06-1072 Concerning The Issuance Of Special License Plates Honoring Service In The Armed Forces Of The United States, And, In Connection Therewith, Authorizing Family Members Of A Person Who Has Died Serving In The United States Armed Forces To Be Issued A Fallen Service Member Special License Plate, And Making An Appropriation In Connection Therewith.

Approved June 6, 2006 at 9:35 a.m.

HB06-1145 Concerning The Development Of A Comprehensive Response To The Methamphetamine Problem In Colorado, And, In Connection Therewith, Creating A State Methamphetamine Task Force To Examine The Prevention, Intervention, And Treatment Of The Abuse Of Methamphetamine And To Examine The Production And Distribution Of Methamphetamine, Strengthening The Laws Concerning Methamphetamine, And Making An Appropriation.

Approved June 6, 2006 at 8:58 a.m.

HB06-1157 Concerning The Security Of Communication And Information Resources In Public Agencies, And Making An Appropriation In Connection Therewith.

Approved June 6, 2006 at 9:01 a.m.

HB06-1322 Concerning Support For The Development Of Clean Energy Resources Using Proceeds Of The Operational Account Of The Severance Tax Trust Fund, And Making An Appropriation In Connection Therewith.

Approved June 6, 2006 at 9:26 a.m.

HB06-1339 Concerning The Creation Of A Denver Broncos Special License Plate, and Making An Appropriation Therefor.

Approved June 6, 2006 at 3:50 p.m.

HB06-1343 Concerning Measures To Ensure That An Illegal Alien Does Not Perform Work On A Public Contract For Services, And Making An Appropriation In Connection Therewith.

Approved June 6, 2006 at 8:57 a.m.

HB06-1406 Concerning The Regulation Of Electronic Devices That Are Designed To Cause Traffic Lights To Change.

Approved June 6, 2006 at 9:00 a.m.
HB06-1410 Concerning Creation Of The Health Disparities Grant Program Fund, And Making An Appropriation In Connection Therewith.

Approved June 6, 2006 at 9:30 a.m.


Approved June 6, 2006 at 9:32 a.m.

Sincerely,  
(signed)  
Bill Owens

I certify I received the following on the 7th day of June, 2006, at 2:45 p.m. The original is on file in the records of the House of Representatives of the General Assembly.

Marilyn Eddins,  
Chief Clerk of the House

June 7, 2006

To the Honorable  
House of Representatives  
Sixty-fifth General Assembly  
Second Regular Session  
Denver, CO 80203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have the honor to inform you that I have approved and filed with the Secretary of State the following Acts:

HB06-1011 Concerning Child Exploitation Offenses, And Making An Appropriation In Connection Therewith.

Approved June 7, 2006 at 10:20 a.m.

HB06-1058 Concerning Creation Of A Surcharge To Be Paid By Persons Convicted Of Certain Crimes Against Children, And Making An Appropriation Therewith.

Approved June 7, 2006 at 9:30 a.m.

Approved June 7, 2006 at 9:32 a.m.

HB06-1149 Concerning Disclosure Of Additional Information To Be Submitted By Professional Lobbyists In Connection With Their Disclosure Statements Filed With The Secretary Of State Under The “Colorado Sunshine Act of 1972”, And Making An Appropriation Therefor.

Approved June 7, 2006 at 9:34 a.m.

HB06-1151 Concerning The Crime Of Felony Child Abuse, And Making An Appropriation Therefor.

Approved June 7, 2006 at 9:33 a.m.

Sincerely,
(signed)
Bill Owens

REPORTS TO THE COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY

The following Reports have been received by the Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives. The reports are on file in the Library of Legislative Council.

Colorado Water Resources and Power Development Authority
Annual Report 2005 (pursuant to 37-95-116, CRS)

Department of Health Care Policy and Financing
Response to Footnotes:
Footnotes 37a, 40a, 42a of HB06-1369--Concerning a supplemental appropriation to the Department of Health Care and Financing

Department of Revenue
Division of Racing Events (pursuant to 12-60-302(2), CRS)
Pari-Mutual wagering January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005 Statement of gaming revenues gaming taxes, and expenditures (unaudited) for the nine (9) months ended March 31, 2006

Department of Public Safety
Progress report from Colorado Homeland Security in 2006

Department of Public Health and Environment
Response to Footnote:
Footnote 123 - SB05-209 - FY 2005-2006 - Concerning the department's Nurse Home Visitor Program
Department of the Treasury
Statement of Financial Condition as of March 31, 2006

Approved:
ANDREW ROMANOFF,
Speaker

Attest:
MARIlyn EDDINS,
Chief Clerk