

Amendment 51 State Sales Tax Increase for Services for People with Developmental Disabilities

1 **Amendment 51 proposes amending the Colorado statutes to:**

- 2 ♦ increase the state sales and use tax from 2.9 percent to 3.0 percent on
3 July 1, 2009, and from 3.0 percent to 3.1 percent on July 1, 2010;
- 4 ♦ direct that the new money be used to pay for services for people with
5 developmental disabilities and to help eliminate the waiting lists for
6 services;
- 7 ♦ prohibit the legislature from reducing the current level of state funding
8 for services for people with developmental disabilities; and
- 9 ♦ exempt the new money from state spending limits.

10 **Summary and Analysis**

11 ***Developmental disabilities.*** Developmental disabilities include a number of
12 different conditions that affect a person's physical or mental abilities, such as
13 speaking, moving, learning, and independent living. Developmental disabilities can
14 become apparent at anytime up to age 22 and last throughout a person's lifetime.
15 Mental retardation, autism, Down syndrome, and cerebral palsy are examples of
16 developmental disabilities.

17 ***Services for people with developmental disabilities.*** Services for people with
18 developmental disabilities are delivered through a state and local system. The state
19 administers the overall system; twenty local nonprofit agencies throughout the state
20 determine a person's eligibility and arrange and provide services. Services are
21 generally provided in the community or in the family home, and vary based upon the
22 person's specific disability and needs. For instance, one person may need assistance
23 with speech and language development, another may need job training to become
24 employed, and another may need full-time care.

25 Current funding allows about 11,800 people to receive services in the community.
26 This year, federal, state, and local government funding for services is estimated at
27 \$372 million. The state's share of that total is about \$184 million.

28 ***Waiting lists for services.*** There are currently about 9,700 adults and children
29 on waiting lists for services within the next two years. That number is expected to
30 grow to over 12,000 people by 2012. If funding to eliminate the waiting lists becomes
31 available, a number of people who are not currently on a waiting list may seek
32 services as services become more accessible. Thus, it is difficult to estimate the total
33 number of people who are eligible for services and the cost to eliminate the waiting
34 lists.

1 **How Colorado's taxes compare with other states.** Colorado has the lowest
2 state sales tax rate of the 45 states with a state sales tax. However, Colorado has
3 higher local government sales tax rates than most states. Thus, when comparing
4 combined state and local sales taxes, Colorado ranks higher. Colorado ranks 17th in
5 the amount of sales taxes paid per person and 23rd in the amount paid based on
6 wealth. Colorado's total state and local taxes, including income, property, sales, and
7 other taxes, rank 26th in the amount paid per person and 46th based on wealth.

8 **Arguments For**

9 1) Many children and adults with developmental disabilities — and the families
10 who care for them — are at the point of crisis because they cannot get needed
11 services. No alternative public sector safety net exists to provide care for them. The
12 wait time for services can last as long as ten years. The demand for services
13 continues to grow because people with developmental disabilities are vulnerable and
14 often need life-long care, and there are many aging parents who can no longer care
15 for their children with developmental disabilities. The need for services is so great that
16 it cannot be met without a new source of funding unless the state cuts money from
17 other programs.

18 2) By spending money now, the state can reduce future costs of government
19 services. For example, the new sales tax will provide money to expand early
20 intervention programs for children, such as speech-language or physical therapy, and
21 programs that help train and employ adults with developmental disabilities, which may
22 reduce future reliance on government-funded services.

23 3) A sales tax of one penny per \$5 purchase is a small investment for the large
24 return of providing basic services and improving the quality of life for people with
25 developmental disabilities, such as autism, cerebral palsy, Down syndrome, or mental
26 retardation. Furthermore, the tax applies to only a limited number of services, and
27 does not apply to many basic necessities. The money raised from the new sales tax
28 directly benefits people with developmental disabilities, and does not pay for state
29 administrative overhead.

30 **Arguments Against**

31 1) Raising sales taxes may hurt the state's economy and citizens. The economy
32 is already struggling with a weak housing market and high gas and food prices.
33 Further, raising sales taxes burdens lower- and middle-income consumers the most
34 because it cuts into a larger share of their income. Instead of requiring everyone to
35 pay higher taxes, people who wish to help individuals with developmental disabilities
36 can make private donations.

37 2) Reducing the waiting lists could be accomplished without raising taxes by
38 reprioritizing how the state spends its money and by eliminating government

1 inefficiencies. The state government already spends about \$4 billion, or about
2 30 percent of state and federal operating dollars, to provide health-care-related
3 services, and this spending grows every year. The measure takes \$186 million out of
4 the private economy to expand the size and cost of government. This money could be
5 better used by Colorado's citizens and businesses to spend on their own needs and to
6 help grow the economy.

7 3) Decisions about how to spend state tax dollars are best made through an open
8 and deliberative process that considers the needs and priorities of the entire state.
9 Amendment 51 permanently raises taxes without any discussion about whether the
10 measure raises an appropriate amount of money, how the new money can be spent
11 most effectively, or how the needs of people with developmental disabilities compare
12 with other needs in the state. The new money must be spent on services for people
13 with developmental disabilities even if the amount raised exceeds what is legitimately
14 needed to provide services, which could lead to wasteful spending while other needs
15 remain underfunded.

16 **Estimate of Fiscal Impact**

17 **State revenue.** The sales tax increase raises about \$89 million in budget year
18 2010 and about \$186 million in budget year 2011 to provide services for people with
19 developmental disabilities. The state is also expected to receive about \$19 million in
20 2010 and about \$39 million in 2011 from the federal government to fund these
21 services.

22 **State spending.** Amendment 51 increases state administrative costs by about
23 \$100,000 in 2009, \$315,000 in 2010, and \$430,000 in 2011 to oversee the services
24 provided to people with developmental disabilities and to implement the sales tax
25 increase. These costs cannot be paid from the new sales tax money, but a portion will
26 be paid with funding from the federal government.

27 The nonprofit agencies that provide services will experience additional costs of
28 around \$46 million in 2010 and \$94 million in 2011. These costs include both
29 providing the actual services to more people and startup and training expenses to
30 accommodate the increase in services provided. The new sales tax money is
31 expected to pay for some of these costs, with the remainder funded by the federal
32 government. It is unlikely that all of the new sales tax money will be spent in the first
33 several years because developing the capacity to serve the number of people who are
34 on waiting lists will take time. Any sales tax money that is not immediately spent on
35 services must be placed in reserve.

36 **Impact on taxpayers.** Both individuals and businesses pay sales and use taxes.
37 Businesses pay about 40 percent of the state's sales taxes; Colorado residents pay
38 about half; and the remaining 10 percent is paid by visitors to the state. The additional
39 amount of taxes paid by each Colorado household will depend on a household's
40 income and number of people. A three-person household with around \$55,000 in

1 annual income is estimated to pay an additional \$20 in state sales taxes in the first
 2 year of the tax increase and an additional \$40 in the second year when the tax
 3 increase is fully in place.

4 **State Spending and Tax Increases**

5 The state constitution requires that the following fiscal information be provided
 6 when a tax increase question is on the ballot:

- 7 1. the estimated or actual state spending under the constitutional
 8 spending limit for the current year and each of the past four years
 9 with the overall percentage and dollar change; and
- 10 2. for the first full fiscal year of the proposed tax increase, an estimate
 11 of the maximum dollar amount of the tax increase and of state
 12 fiscal year spending without the increase.

13 Table 2 shows the dollar amount of state spending under the constitutional
 14 spending limit.

15 **Table 2. State Spending**

	Actual 2005	Actual 2006	Actual 2007	Estimated 2008	Estimated 2009
16 State Spending	\$8.314 billion	\$8.045 billion	\$8.334 billion	\$8.836 billion	\$9.168 billion
17 Four-Year Dollar Change in State Spending: \$854 million					
18 Four-Year Percent Change in State Spending: 10.3 Percent					

19 The numbers in Table 2 show state spending from 2005 through 2008 for
 20 programs that were subject to the constitutional spending limit during those years.
 21 However, the constitution allows a program that operates similar to a private business
 22 to become exempt from the limit if it meets certain conditions. Because some
 23 programs have done this during the last five years, the numbers in Table 2 are not
 24 directly comparable to each other. Furthermore, Referendum C, which was passed by
 25 voters in 2005, allows the state to spend money above the limit that it otherwise would
 26 have refunded to taxpayers. If the numbers are adjusted to account for both of these
 27 factors, the four-year dollar change is \$2.413 billion and the four-year percent change
 28 is 30.4 percent.

29 Table 3 shows the revenue expected from the increased sales and use taxes,
 30 state fiscal year spending without these taxes for 2011, the first full fiscal year for
 31 which the increase would be in place, and the sum of the two.

1
2

**Table 3. State Fiscal Year Spending
and the Proposed Tax Increase**

3

4

5

6
7

	2011 Estimate
State Spending Without New Taxes	\$10,156.1 million
New Sales and Use Tax Increase	\$186.1 million
State Spending Plus the New Taxes	\$10,342.2 million

* The new sales and use tax revenue is not subject to the state's fiscal year spending limits.