2nd Draft

Initiative #59 Campaign Contributions from Government Contractors

Amendment	proposes amending the Colorado Constitution to

- prohibit holders of sole-source, or no-bid, government contracts from contributing to a political party or candidate for the contract's duration and two years thereafter;
- prohibit contributors to ballot issue campaigns from entering into no-bid government contracts relating to the ballot issue;
- define a sole-source, or no-bid, government contract as a contract or contracts, including a collective bargaining agreement, that does not use a public and competitive bidding process soliciting at least three bids and with a total value greater than \$100,000 in a single year; and
- set penalties for violations of the measure and allow a registered voter
 to seek enforcement of its provisions through district court.

Summary and Analysis

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

How are government contracts awarded? Government entities purchase goods and services from private-sector vendors for the operation of government. The awarding of contracts for these services by the state is a regulated process governed by state law. Local governments largely determine their own practices.

What is no-bid contracting and when is it used? State law and regulations require, with few exceptions, that vendors for state contracts be selected through a competitive bidding process. Separate rules govern small and emergency purchases. In some cases, the head of a state agency may determine that only one good or service can reasonably meet the agency's need, and only one vendor can provide the particular good or service. This is called a no-bid or sole-source contract. Examples of circumstances when competitive bidding is not used include cases:

- where equipment, accessories, or replacement parts must be compatible;
- where a sole supplier's item is needed for trial use or testing; and
- Under current law, collective bargaining agreements are not considered no-bid contracts, and thus are not subject to regulations for state contracting.

What is the current extent of no-bid contracting by state government? During the most recent budget year, state agencies signed XXX contracts or contract amendments with a value greater than \$100,000. Of this number, XXX (or XX percent) were no-bid contracts. These no-bid contracts totaled \$XXX million, or XX percent of the \$XXX total contract value. Table 1 presents the extent of state no-bid contracting in the 2008 budget year.

Table 1. State Contracts in 2008 Budget Year with Value Greater than \$100.000

	Number of Contracts	Contract Value (in millions)
No Bid Contracts	XXX	\$XXX
Total Contracts	XXX	\$XXX
Percentage of Total Contracts	XX%	XX%

How does the measure define a no-bid government contract? This measure establishes a constitutional definition for a sole-source, or no-bid, government contract. Under this measure, a no-bid contract is a contract or contracts with a total value of greater than \$100,000 in a single year where fewer than three bids are solicited. A no-bid government contract also includes collective bargaining agreements with labor organizations. This definition applies to the state, cities, counties, school districts, and other special districts. Once the definition of a no-bid contract is met, limitations on campaign contributions are triggered. The measure requires the state to publish and maintain a database of every no-bid government contract issued at every level of government.

How does the measure affect campaign contributions? Under the measure, no-bid contract holders are prohibited from making a campaign contribution themselves, or on behalf of a family member, to any political party or any state or local candidate for the duration of the contract plus two additional years. A contract holder includes:

- ♦ the contracting entity's officers, directors, or trustees;
- any individual who controls at least 10 percent of the shares of or interest in the entity; and
- in the case of a collective bargaining agreement, a labor organization and any committees it creates to make campaign contributions.
- The measure also prohibits a person who contributes to a ballot issue campaign from entering into a no-bid government contract relating to the ballot issue.

2nd Draft

1 Passage of the measure would not affect current contribution limits on the amount 2 of money that can be contributed to candidates for state office in an election cycle, or 3 the amount of money a candidate or political party can receive from a single source. 4 Candidates for a local government elective office are not subject to state limits on 5 campaign contributions. Some local governments have enacted their own laws 6 governing campaign finance. For example, the cities of Boulder and Fort Collins have 7 enacted laws placing restrictions on campaign contributions by individuals holding any 8 type of contract with the local government. 9 What penalties exist under Amendment ____? Under the measure, individuals 10 who accept contributions from holders of no-bid government contracts for the benefit 11 of a political party or candidate must pay restitution to the contracting governmental 12 entity. Elected or appointed officials may be removed or disqualified from office for 13 knowingly violating Amendment ____. Further, a no-bid government contract holder who intentionally makes a contribution in violation of the measure is barred from 14 15 holding a no-bid government contract or public employment for three years. 16 **Arguments For** 17 1) The measure encourages clean and open government. Adding this 18 constitutional safeguard helps restore civic trust by ensuring that those who receive 19 no-bid government contracts do not inappropriately influence the political process. 20 Amendment prevents business interests, labor, and other stakeholders from 21 unduly influencing policy decisions through campaign contributions. 22 2) Promoting government transparency is sound public policy. By requiring that 23 state officials publish information regarding no-bid government contracts, the measure 24 helps ordinary citizens become more informed regarding the vendors that receive 25 such contracts. This measure allows registered voters to hold both public officials and 26 contractors to a high ethical standard and to seek remedies when violations occur. 27 Amendment furthers the efficient use of taxpayer dollars by promoting 28 competitive bidding for government contracts. For each government contract, at least 29 three bids must be solicited, or the contract is considered a no-bid contract. 30 Amendment makes these contracts less attractive by prohibiting political contributions by entities that receive such contracts. The measure thus encourages 31 32 taxpayer value in contracting, and discourages instances where it may be easy to rely 33 on contractors with existing relationships. 34 **Arguments Against** 35 1) The measure is not an effective approach to reform. Amendment does not 36 affect the majority of government contractors, and those that fall under the definition of 37 no-bid contractor could end up making contributions through new avenues or 38 organizations with less disclosure. The public is better served by existing campaign 39 disclosure requirements.

2nd Draft

- 2) Several broad provisions in the measure could have far-reaching consequences. The measure prevents an individual with a no-bid contract in one town from making a contribution to a candidate in a different county or at the state level. Additionally, the measure prohibits contributions from a relative of a no-bid contractor, who may not be involved in the contract or live in Colorado. To avoid violations of the measure, candidates and political parties will have to monitor each contribution to ensure that the donor is not a no-bid government contractor, or a relative of a no-bid contractor.
- 3) Amendment proposes a uniform approach for government contracting, when different regions and levels of government throughout the state have varying contracting needs and access to providers of goods and services. Because rural cities and counties are likely to have fewer contracting options than urban communities or state government, various entities and individuals may unexpectedly become subject to the measure's restrictions. For example, if one organization in a small community is the only available contractor for community services, the individuals affiliated with the organization may fall under the measure's provisions.

Estimate of Fiscal Impact

Amendment ___ requires the state to publish and maintain a summary of each sole-source government contract issued by the state and all local government entities, beginning December 31, 2008. The cost of hiring a contractor to develop the database is \$234,000. In addition, annual state expenditures of \$73,000 for 1.5 employees are required to manage the database of no-bid contracts of approximately 4,000 governmental entities. Thus, the total cost to the state will be \$271,000 and .75 employees in budget year 2009 and \$73,000 and 1.5 employees in budget year 2010.