3rd Draft

Initiative #76 Allowable Reasons for Employee Discharge or Suspension

1 Amendment? proposes amending the Colorado Cons
--

♦	prohibit private-sector employers from firing or suspending full-time
	employees except for specific reasons; and

 allow an employee who believes he or she was improperly fired or suspended to sue the employer.

Summary and Analysis

2 3 4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

Current limits on firing employees. Under current law, private-sector employees typically can be fired or suspended at any time and for any reason, an arrangement commonly known as at-will employment. There are limits to at-will employment, including a prohibition on firing an employee for discriminatory reasons such as the employee's race, sex, religion, or age. Employers are also prohibited from firing an employee because of his or her unwillingness to perform an illegal act.

Proposed limits on firing or suspending employees. Amendment ? prohibits private sector employers from firing or suspending full-time employees except for the following reasons, which the measure defines as "just cause":

- 16 ♦ incompetence;
 - substandard performance or neglect of job duties;
- repeated violations of an employer's written policies and procedures relating to job performance;
- of the prossins of the property of the proper
- ♦ conviction of a crime involving moral turpitude;
- 22 ♦ employer bankruptcy; and
- documented adverse economic circumstances that directly affect the employer.
- Amendment? requires that an employer provide an employee with written documentation of the actions that led to the employee's firing or suspension.

27 **Affected employers and employees.** Amendment ? applies to for-profit employers that employ 20 people or more, and nonprofit employers that employ 1,000 people or more. It affects employees who work full-time for at least eigenpactation months for such employers. Amendment ? does not apply to

- 30 six consecutive months for such employers. Amendment? does not apply to
- 31 government entities or businesses where employees are covered by a collective
- 32 bargaining agreement requiring employers to show just cause for firing or suspension.

Legal remedies. Amendment ? allows an employee to file a lawsuit challenging a firing or suspension. If the court determines that an employee was fired or suspended without just cause, it may order the employee to be rehired and award back wages and damages. This measure also allows the court to award attorneys fees to the winning party.

Arguments For

- 1) Amendment ? allows employees who do a good job to work without fear of losing their employment with no notice and for no reason. The measure still allows employees to be fired for incompetence or neglect of duties, or if the company faces difficult economic circumstances, but companies cannot arbitrarily fire employees.
- 2) Providing job security for employees may help improve Colorado's business climate. Employers will have a larger pool of applicants to choose from if job seekers are attracted to the security offered by the just cause requirements. Also, employees who feel secure in their employment may be more likely to spend money, which could improve the overall economy.
- 3) Amendment ? puts in law business practices that are followed by good employers. Many companies document issues that result in firing or suspending an employee. This measure provides employees of private sector companies with the same protections available to most government employees and employees protected by collective bargaining agreements.

Arguments Against

- 1) Amendment ? imposes inflexible constitutional restrictions on businesses that may hurt Colorado's economy. By preventing businesses from making basic economic decisions, such as eliminating unnecessary employees, automating operations, or reorganizing to improve efficiency, the measure places them at a competitive disadvantage with businesses in other states. The measure increases administrative and litigation costs, which may discourage new businesses from moving to Colorado or may cause existing businesses to relocate to another state.
- 2) This measure may hurt the people it is intended to help by discouraging employers from hiring full-time employees. To avoid the new requirements, employers may be inclined to convert full-time employees to part-time employees or to hire independent contractors instead of regular full-time employees. Such responses by employers would adversely affect both the employed and those seeking work.
- 3) Amendment ? is unnecessary because the decision to fire an employee is rarely taken lightly. Most businesses recognize that firing an employee results in lost productivity, and finding a replacement employee can be difficult, expensive, and time-consuming. In addition, federal and state law already protect employees from

- being fired for reasons unrelated to job performance, such as an employee's race,
- 2 sex, religion, or age.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

3 Estimate of Fiscal Impact

Amendment? is expected to result in roughly 3,750 new lawsuits per year by employees who challenge their firing or suspension. These additional lawsuits will increase both revenue and spending in the state judicial branch. Revenue from fees paid when lawsuits are filed is expected to total \$1.4 million per year. Spending is expected to increase by \$2.7 million per year, including salary, operating, and capital costs for about 30 new state employees. The new employees include district court judges and associated support staff.