

Amendment 50 Limited Gaming in Central City, Black Hawk, and Cripple Creek

1 Amendment 50 proposes amending the Colorado Constitution to:

- allow residents of Central City, Black Hawk, and Cripple Creek to vote to extend casino hours, approve additional games, and increase the maximum single bet limit;
 - give most of the gaming tax revenue that results from new gaming limits to Colorado community colleges and to the gaming cities and counties;
 - require statewide voter approval for any gaming tax increase if new gaming limits are adopted by any gaming town; and
- exempt the revenue raised from new gaming limits from state and local revenue and spending limits.

11 Summary and Analysis

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

Gaming limits in Colorado today and under Amendment 50. Since 1991
Colorado has permitted limited stakes gaming in Central City and Black Hawk in Gilpin
County, and Cripple Creek in Teller County. The state constitution places the
following limits on gaming:

- single bets cannot exceed \$5;
- · only slot machines, blackjack, and poker games are allowed; and
- casinos must close between 2:00 a.m. and 8:00 a.m.

Amendment 50 allows Central City, Black Hawk, and Cripple Creek to vote to change the existing gaming limits. Each town may vote to extend the hours of operation of casinos, to add the games of roulette and/or craps, and to increase the amount that can be wagered on any single bet from \$5 up to a maximum of \$100. The towns may vote on changing any or all of these limits.

Distribution of state gaming money today and under Amendment 50. Casinos pay taxes on income from gaming and also pay various fees and fines. Last year, the state collected \$116 million from gaming. After paying to enforce gaming laws, approximately one-quarter of the gaming money goes back to the gaming cities and counties, about one-quarter goes to historic preservation and restoration projects across the state, and about half of the revenue is allocated to the state legislature to spend on programs. These programs currently include assistance to local governments for gaming impacts, tourism promotion, economic development programs, energy efficiency and renewable energy projects, and highway projects.

Amendment 50 distributes new money from increased gaming activity differently than existing law. First, the money is used to pay to enforce gaming laws related to any changes in the limits. Second, some of the new money provides annual increases to the programs and local governments that currently get gaming money. The rest is distributed as follows:

- 78 percent for financial aid and classroom instruction at Colorado community, junior, and district colleges based on each school's number of students;
- 12 percent to Gilpin and Teller Counties, based on the proportion of the new money raised within each county, to help address the impacts of gaming; and
- 10 percent to Central City, Black Hawk, and Cripple Creek, based on the proportion of the new money raised within each town, to help address the impacts of gaming.

Table 1 estimates how new state gaming money is distributed under Amendment 50 assuming that all three gaming towns approve the maximum limits. The actual amount of money distributed may be higher or lower than what is shown because of the difficulty in estimating revenue from current gaming and the amount of new revenue that would be generated by Amendment 50.

Table 1. Estimated Distribution of New Gaming Money under Amendment 50 in Millions of Dollars*

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
Additional \$ to Current Recipients	\$2	\$3	\$4	\$4	\$5
Community Colleges	\$29	\$32	\$46	\$52	\$63
Black Hawk, Central City, and Cripple Creek	\$4	\$4	\$6	\$7	\$8
Gilpin and Teller Counties	\$4	\$5	\$7	\$8	\$10

^{*} Assumes new gaming limits begin July 1, 2009. Also, state gaming revenue received each year is distributed to recipients for use in the following year. The table shows the amounts to recipients after estimated additional state administrative costs are paid from the new revenue.

Statewide voter approval for gaming tax increases. The state Gaming Commission sets the tax rates on casino income within the parameters in the state constitution. Currently, the constitution sets the highest allowable tax rate at 40 percent. On July 1, 2008, the highest tax rate actually imposed was 20 percent. If voters in any of the gaming towns approve new gaming limits, a gaming tax increase above the July 1, 2008, level must be approved through a statewide vote.

Arguments For

- 1) Amendment 50 could significantly boost revenue to community colleges without increasing taxes or taking money away from current recipients of gaming revenue. Community colleges are vital to the state's economy and educational system because they provide affordable access to educational and retraining programs. Increasing financial aid helps more Coloradans benefit from the economic opportunities that community colleges can provide. The additional revenue also goes to recruiting and retaining qualified faculty so that Colorado can offer quality education throughout the state.
- 2) The measure gives voters in each gaming community the ability to decide what is best for them. For example, one community may decide to expand casino hours of operation to help manage traffic, while another may choose to attract new visitors by expanding both bet limits and games.
- 3) Amendment 50 makes Colorado more competitive with other gaming states. Colorado has the most restricted gaming in the country. The tourism industries in other gaming states benefit from less restricted gaming, and changing Colorado's gaming limits could result in more tourist spending in the state. Colorado's gaming limits have been in place for seventeen years, and the bet limit of \$5 in 1991 equals about \$3 today.

Arguments Against

- 1) Amendment 50 could significantly expand gaming in Colorado. It could turn the three historic gaming communities into high-stakes, round-the-clock gaming destinations, and damage the historic character of these communities. Further, Indian casinos that currently operate under the state's gaming limits would likely match the new gaming limits. This expansion could occur as a result of this measure even if the voters in Central City, Black Hawk, and Cripple Creek do not choose to change their gaming limits.
- 2) Providing more opportunities to gamble may lead to an increase in the number of compulsive gamblers in the state. The effects of compulsive gambling are costly to families and society. Compulsive gambling can lead to bankruptcy, divorce, child neglect and abuse, domestic violence, suicide, and crime.
- 3) Amendment 50 permanently sets aside state tax money for specific uses, eliminating the ability to evaluate how the new money could be spent most effectively over time. The new money is dedicated to community colleges and the gaming communities each year regardless of how the financial needs of these entities compare to other state programs. As a constitutional measure, Amendment 50 limits the flexibility to use state tax money for the most pressing needs and to respond to changing budget priorities.

Estimate of Fiscal Impact

1

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

State revenue and spending. State revenue and spending resulting from Amendment 50 will depend upon the extent to which the three gaming towns approve new gaming limits. The following estimates of tax revenue and spending are based on the assumption that all three towns approve the maximum gaming limits.

- Tax revenue will increase by about \$300 million over the first five years. Table
 1 on page ___ provides a five-year estimate of the distribution of state gaming
 revenue under Amendment 50.
- State regulation costs will increase by \$475,000 in budget year 2009 and \$1.0 million in budget year 2010. These costs will be paid from gaming revenue. The state will also incur a one-time computer programming cost of \$21,000 in budget year 2009 to account for both changes in gaming limits and the approval of roulette and craps by any of the gaming towns. This cost will be paid from other state money.

Local government impact. The local governments serving both the gaming communities and surrounding areas could experience increased costs from new gaming limits, such as higher public safety, traffic, and road maintenance costs. The revenue resulting from new gaming limits could help offset any increased costs. Also, the gaming communities could see increased sales tax revenue from an increase in the number of visitors and more property tax revenue if new casinos are built or existing ones are expanded.