2<sup>nd</sup> Draft

# Amendment 48 Definition of Person

| 1 Amendment 48 proposes amending the Colorado Constitution to |
|---|
|---|

- define the term "person" to "include any human being from the moment of fertilization"; and
- apply this definition of person to the sections of the Colorado Constitution that protect the natural and essential rights of persons, allow open access to courts for every person, and ensure that no person has his or her life, liberty, or property taken away without due process of law.

# Summary and Analysis

Both the U.S. Constitution and the Colorado Constitution have a bill of rights. The Colorado bill of rights contains the rights and duties of the people of Colorado and outlines the principles of state government. Amendment 48 defines person for sections 3, 6, and 25 of the Colorado bill of rights. These sections concern inalienable rights, equality of justice, and due process of law. This measure amends the Colorado Constitution and does not affect the U.S. Bill of Rights.

Inalienable rights. Section 3 asserts that all persons have natural, essential, and inalienable rights to life, liberty, property, safety, and happiness. These rights include the right to survive, the right to defend against threats to safety, the freedom to make independent decisions, and the right to work and obtain economic goods. Inalienable rights are fundamental to all humans and are not created by laws and government. The constitution requires that the government protect these rights, although the government is permitted to limit the exercise of rights as necessary for the public welfare.

The constitutional provision regarding inalienable rights has been applied by courts, for example, to guarantee the right of an individual to pursue a legitimate trade or business, to acquire property without fear of discrimination, and to travel freely around the state.

**Equality of justice.** Section 6 requires the courts in Colorado to be open to all persons. If a person's legal rights are violated, this section guarantees that a judicial remedy is available.

Courts have determined that this section applies to a variety of circumstances. For instance, individuals are denied equal access to justice if juries are chosen in a discriminatory manner. Additionally, all persons have the same right to use the courts regardless of their financial resources.

**Due process of law**. Section 25 ensures that no person is deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law. Due process of law requires the government to follow consistent procedures before a person's fundamental rights are taken away. The courts have determined, for example, that due process requires the government to provide notice and a fair hearing before sentencing a person to death, detaining a person, or taking a person's property.

**Definition of the term "person."** The Colorado bill of rights does not currently contain a definition of person. Amendment 48 defines person to include a human being from the moment of fertilization. The term "moment of fertilization" is not defined in Amendment 48. The generally accepted medical definition of fertilization is the union of a sperm and an egg, which is sometimes referred to as a fertilized egg.

## **Arguments For**

- 1) Amendment 48 ensures that all persons, including those from the moment of fertilization, are afforded fair and equal treatment. Currently, the rights guaranteed by the state constitution are not given to a person until he or she is born. Some health professionals believe that human life begins at the moment of fertilization. By including the moment of fertilization within the definition of person, this measure gives all human beings, whether born or unborn, equal rights and protections.
- 2) Amendment 48 gives clear direction to the courts and the legislature about who is considered a person. Because the bill of rights does not currently contain a definition of "person," interpretation of the word is subjective. The measure ensures consistent application of the term "person" under the law.
- 3) The measure may establish the legal foundation to end the practice of abortion in Colorado. The U.S. Supreme Court decision that legalized abortion in the U.S. found that the unborn were not included in the word "person" as used in the U.S. Constitution. Creating a definition of "person" for Colorado's bill of rights that includes human beings from the moment of fertilization may provide support for legal challenges to prohibit abortions in Colorado.

#### **Arguments Against**

1) Amendment 48 may eliminate a woman's right to make private, personal medical decisions. Because the measure does not define how a fertilized egg's rights will be applied, it is possible that government officials, rather than a woman, may make decisions when the fertilized egg's and woman's rights conflict. Whether a fertilized egg is a human being is an ethical question about which many people disagree. Rather than allowing individuals to make decisions based on their beliefs, Amendment 48 may result in government interference into a woman's medical decisions.

# 2<sup>nd</sup> Draft

- 2) Amendment 48 gives fertilized eggs the same legal rights that people are given at birth. The result of this change cannot be predicted with any certainty because the measure provides no direction to the courts and legislature regarding its interpretation. Many existing laws containing the word person could be interpreted to apply to fertilized eggs including those concerning property rights or criminal laws. Furthermore, the exact moment of fertilization cannot be easily and conclusively pinpointed. It is not clear how the measure will be applied when a woman has no way of knowing for certain if she is carrying a fertilized egg.
- 3) Amendment 48 may be interpreted to prohibit a number of different medical procedures that affect a fertilized egg, including commonly used forms of birth control and treatments for cancer, ectopic pregnancies, and infertility. Because some stem cell research involves the use of fertilized eggs, the amendment may restrict research that could lead to life-saving therapies for a variety of disabilities and illnesses. Finally, it is possible the amendment could be used to challenge a woman's right to have an abortion.

## **Estimate of Fiscal Impact**

No immediate impact to state revenue or expenditures is expected because the amendment does not include language directing the state to implement use of the new definition. If the amendment is adopted, the courts may determine that the definition applies in certain situations, and require the state to provide services resulting in additional state spending.