## 2005-2006 #83 Marriage (Amendment \_)

1	Amendment _ is a proposed amendment to the Colorado Constitution that:
2	• defines marriage in Colorado as a union between one man and one woman.
3	Summary and Analysis
4 5 6 7	<b>Definitions of marriage affecting Coloradans.</b> Federal statutes define marriage as a legal union between one man and one woman for purposes of all federal laws relating to marital status. Colorado statutes define marriage as a legal union between one man and one woman for purposes of the state's laws relating to marital status.
8 9 10 11 12	For a marriage to be valid under Colorado statutes, it must be: (1) between a man and a woman; and (2) licensed, solemnized, and registered according to established procedures. In addition, Colorado statutes recognize common law marriage between a man and a woman who live together and hold themselves out publicly as husband and wife. Common law marriages are treated exactly the same as licensed marriages.
13 14	Legal effects of marriage in Colorado. The marriage relationship in Colorado provides spouses with a number of legal rights, responsibilities, and benefits, including:
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the right to collect benefits such as pensions, life insurance, and workers' compensation without being designated as a beneficiary;</li> <li>the ability to jointly incur and be held liable for debts;</li> <li>the right to make medical treatment decisions;</li> <li>protection from discrimination based on marital status in arenas such as employment and housing;</li> <li>the ability to jointly file income taxes; and</li> <li>the requirement that ending a marriage be done through a legal process with property distributed equitably.</li> </ul>
24	Arguments For
25	1) The public has an interest in preserving the commonly accepted definition of

marriage. Marriage as an institution has historically consisted of one man and one woman

and, as such, provides the optimal environment for creating, nurturing, and protecting

2627

28

children and the family unit.

2) A constitutional amendment is necessary to avoid court rulings that expand marriage beyond one man and one woman in Colorado. In Massachusetts, a statutory definition was not sufficient to prevent a court from requiring the state to recognize same-sex marriage. Any changes to the definition of marriage should be agreed upon by the people, not judges.

## 6 Arguments Against

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

15

- 1) Language that limits marriage to certain parties does not belong in the constitution, particularly the Bill of Rights, which generally extends rights to citizens. The amendment potentially denies constitutional rights and protections to same-sex partners who want to marry.
- 2) Adding the proposed language to the constitution is unnecessary because there is already a statutory ban in Colorado on any marriage that does not consist of one man and one woman. Additionally, federal statutes define marriage as between one man and one woman.

## **Estimate of Fiscal Impact**

16 A fiscal analysis will be provided in a subsequent draft.