2005 - 2006 #125 Damages for Mineral Extraction

- 1 Amendment _ is a proposed amendment to the Colorado Constitution that:
- requires drilling and mining companies to compensate landowners and others
 for the fair value of damages incurred from mineral extraction activities.

4 Summary and Analysis

The oil and gas industry has seen significant growth in Colorado recently. Much of this new development of energy resources is occurring on private lands where the land and the minerals are owned by different parties. Companies may own minerals directly or may extract minerals from the ground on behalf of the owner. These companies may make reasonable use of the land to access the minerals. Such use may include constructing a well site, building an access road, and installing production equipment on the property for as long as the well produces - in some cases 20 or 30 years.

How does Colorado regulate the activities of oil and gas drilling companies? State law requires these companies to provide landowners with 30 days notice of their intent to drill, and to consult in good faith with the landowner on the location of well sites, roads, and production facilities. While not required, companies may negotiate an agreement with the landowner, establishing terms and conditions for the access to the land. If no agreement is reached, companies must provide a bond to protect landowners from unreasonable crop losses or land damage. Companies may either provide an amount per well (\$2,000 on dryland acreage and \$5,000 on irrigated acreage), or a blanket bond of \$25,000 to cover all of their wells statewide.

In 2005, 54 percent of permits issued for oil and gas companies involved an agreement between the company and the landowner, while 46 percent were issued under a blanket bond provided by the company. The actual percent of wells covered by agreements is probably higher, as agreements are often negotiated after the well is permitted.

What does Amendment _ do? Amendment _ requires drilling and mining companies, including oil and gas companies, to compensate landowners and other parties for the fair value of any damages resulting from mineral extraction. The right to claim damages could apply to the owners of either surface or subsurface resources, as well as owners of land adjacent to the drill site. The oil and gas industry would be the industry most impacted by this amendment. For 2005, 4,363 oil and gas drilling permits were

issued and there were X producing wells in Colorado. By comparison, X mining permits were issued, and there were X producing mines in Colorado.

What have other states done? At least ten other states have enacted surface damage compensation laws. Of these ten states, two require a signed agreement between the landowner and drilling or mining company, evidence of ongoing negotiations, or adequate financial assurance to cover landowner damages before a drilling permit is issued. The definition of damages varies somewhat among states, but are typically defined as the sum of lost value of agricultural production, lost land value, and lost value of improvements on the land. No other state has damage compensation requirements in its constitution.

Arguments For

- 1) Amendment _ will help balance the rights of landowners and the mineral industry. For many landowners the equity in their property is their greatest asset. Currently, companies are only required to compensate landowners for damage resulting from unreasonable use of their property. Landowners may not have the resources or knowledge to effectively negotiate agreements with large oil and gas companies. In many cases, neither these agreements nor the required bonds compensate landowners adequately for damages incurred. Damages can include reduced property values, lost agricultural production, polluted water supplies, lost aesthetics, and exposure to toxic materials. Amendment ensures that landowners are fairly compensated for the damage they sustain.
- 2) Amendment _ forces drilling and mining companies to act more responsibly toward landowners. Oil and gas companies can afford to compensate landowners for the damage they cause while still making a reasonable return on their investment. Amendment _ will not slow down oil and gas development in Colorado. Instead, it will reduce instances of oil and gas companies offering landowners minimal compensation for damages resulting from drilling operations.

Arguments Against

- 1) Amendment _ is unnecessary because current law already protects landowners from unreasonable damage caused by oil and gas development. Landowners must be consulted about the location of well sites, roads, and other facilities. State law also protects public health and limits unreasonable crop losses and land damage from drilling operations. Moreover, the measure is broad, with too many questions left unanswered regarding its implementation.
- 2) Amendment _ unfairly requires operators to pay to access a resource that they already own or have been hired to develop. Such added costs may drive companies out of state and hurt Colorado's rural communities that depend on industry jobs. State and local tax revenue may also decline, reducing money available to address public infrastructure

- 1 needs. In 2005, the tax on oil and gas development provided \$X million for grants to local
- 2 governments to pay for water resource and other projects.
- 3 Estimate of Fiscal Impact