Second Regular Session Seventieth General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

PREAMENDED

This Unofficial Version Includes Committee Amendments Not Yet Adopted on Second Reading

LLS NO. 16-0414.01 Esther van Mourik x4215

HOUSE BILL 16-1209

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

Rankin and Becker K.,

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

(None),

House Committees

Senate Committees

Local Government Legislative Council Appropriations

101

102

103

104

A BILL FOR AN ACT CONCERNING THE CREATION OF AN EVALUATION METHODOLOGY WORKING GROUP TO EXAMINE WAYS TO BUILD PROGRAM EVALUATION CAPACITY FOR STATE AND LOCAL PROGRAMS, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, MAKING AN APPROPRIATION.

Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at http://www.leg.state.co.us/billsummaries.)

The bill creates a results first working group that consists of members appointed by the governor from the governor's office, executive branch agencies, the general assembly, the judicial branch, a nonprofit membership association whose purpose is to offer assistance to county commissioners, mayors, and council members, and local nonprofits or service providers. The working group is tasked with making legislative, policy, and budgetary recommendations to the general assembly, the governor's office, and the executive branch agencies regarding program evaluation practices.

1	Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:
2	SECTION 1. In Colorado Revised Statutes, add part 5 to article
3	37 of title 24 as follows:
4	PART 5
5	EVALUATION OF STATE AND LOCAL PROGRAMS
6	24-37-501. Legislative declaration. (1) The General Assembly
7	HEREBY FINDS AND DECLARES THAT:
8	(a) IT IS IMPORTANT FOR THE STATE TO INVEST IN PROGRAMS THAT
9	IMPROVE OUTCOMES FOR ITS CUSTOMERS IN AN EFFICIENT, EFFECTIVE, AND
10	COST-EFFECTIVE MANNER;
11	(b) In order to ensure such investment, it is equally
12	IMPORTANT THAT THE STATE HAS THE ABILITY TO EVALUATE PROGRAMS
13	THAT THE STATE CURRENTLY FUNDS AND TO EVALUATE FUTURE
14	PROGRAMS THAT THE STATE MAY FUND;
15	(c) THE STATE IS A NATIONAL LEADER IN PROGRAM EVALUATION
16	AND SUCH PROGRESS IS BEST SUSTAINED BY GETTING THE EXPERTS
17	TOGETHER IN AN EVALUATION METHODOLOGY WORKING GROUP TO
18	REVIEW AND ANALYZE THE EXISTING SUCCESSFUL PROGRAMS TO
19	DETERMINE BEST PRACTICES; AND
20	(d) ESTABLISHING AN EVALUATION METHODOLOGY WORKING
21	GROUP TO EXAMINE WAYS TO ADVANCE PROGRAM EVALUATION
22	METHODOLOGY IN THE STATE IS AN EFFECTIVE APPROACH TO ENRICHING

-2-

1	THE VALUE OF LEGISLATIVE, POLICY, OR BUDGETARY RECOMMENDATIONS.
2	24-37-502. Definitions. AS USED IN THIS PART 5, UNLESS THE
3	CONTEXT OTHERWISE REQUIRES:
4	(1) "EVALUATION METHODOLOGY WORKING GROUP" OR "WORKING
5	GROUP" MEANS THE EVALUATION METHODOLOGY WORKING GROUP
6	CREATED IN SECTION 24-37-503.
7	(2) "EVIDENCE-BASED PROGRAM OR PRACTICE" MEANS A PROGRAM
8	OR PRACTICE WITH A HIGH LEVEL OF EVALUATIVE RESEARCH ON
9	EFFECTIVENESS FOR AN INTENDED POPULATION, DETERMINED AS A RESULT
10	OF RIGOROUS EVALUATION. AN "EVIDENCE-BASED PROGRAM OR
11	PRACTICE" MAY SPECIFY PROCEDURES THAT ALLOW FOR SUCCESSFUL
12	REPLICATION.
13	(3) "PROGRAM OR PRACTICE" OR "PROGRAM" MEANS A STATE
14	ADMINISTERED, STATE SUPERVISED, AND STATE FUNDED PROGRAM.
15	(4) "PROMISING PROGRAM OR PRACTICE" MEANS A PROGRAM OR
16	PRACTICE WITH SOME EVALUATIVE RESEARCH THAT DEMONSTRATES
17	EFFECTIVENESS, BUT DOES NOT MEET THE FULL CRITERIA FOR AN
18	EVIDENCE-BASED PROGRAM OR PRACTICE.
19	(5) "THEORY-BASED PROGRAM OR PRACTICE" MEANS A PROGRAM
20	OR PRACTICE THAT HAS BEEN EVALUATED USING LESS RIGOROUS
21	RESEARCH DESIGNS OR A NEW OR INNOVATIVE PROGRAM THAT HAS NOT
22	BEEN EVALUATED. A "THEORY-BASED PROGRAM OR PRACTICE" DOES NOT
23	MEET THE FULL CRITERIA OR STANDARDS FOR AN EVIDENCE-BASED
24	PROGRAM OR PRACTICE OR A PROMISING PROGRAM OR PRACTICE.
25	24-37-503. Evaluation methodology working group to examine
26	$\label{eq:program} \textbf{program evaluation methodology in the state-creation.} (1) (a) \ \text{THERE}$
2.7	IS HEREBY CREATED AN EVALUATION METHODOLOGY WORKING GROUP

-3-

1	THAT CONSISTS OF UP TO TEN MEMBERS. TWO MEMBERS OF THE
2	EVALUATION METHODOLOGY WORKING GROUP ARE MEMBERS OF THE
3	GENERAL ASSEMBLY, ONE APPOINTED BY THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF
4	REPRESENTATIVES AND ONE APPOINTED BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE
5	SENATE, AND BOTH APPOINTED NO LATER THAN AUGUST 15, 2016. EIGHT
6	MEMBERS ARE APPOINTED BY THE GOVERNOR NO LATER THAN AUGUST 15,
7	2016. The members of the working group appointed by the
8	GOVERNOR MUST INCLUDE REPRESENTATIVES FROM:
9	(I) THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE;
10	(II) STATE AGENCIES, EITHER THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OR HIS OR
11	HER DESIGNEE, PREFERABLY WITH EXPERIENCE IN CURRENT STATE AGENCY
12	EVALUATION PROCESSES;
13	(III) THE JUDICIAL BRANCH;
14	(IV) A NONPROFIT MEMBERSHIP ASSOCIATION WHOSE PURPOSE IS
15	TO OFFER ASSISTANCE TO COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, MAYORS, AND
16	COUNCIL MEMBERS; AND
17	(V) LOCAL NONPROFITS OR SERVICE PROVIDERS.
18	(b) Members of the working group serve three-year terms;
19	EXCEPT THAT MEMBERS OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY SERVE TWO-YEAR
20	TERMS.
21	(c) THE GOVERNOR APPOINTS THE CHAIR OF THE WORKING GROUP.
22	(d) THE WORKING GROUP MAY CREATE ADVISORY COMMITTEES
23	AND MEMBERS OF SUCH ADVISORY COMMITTEES ARE APPOINTED BY THE
24	CHAIR OF THE WORKING GROUP. ADVISORY COMMITTEES MAY CONSIST OF
25	WORKING GROUP MEMBERS OR OTHER EXPERTS WHO MAY ASSIST THE
26	WORKING GROUP IN PERFORMING ITS DUTIES.
27	(e) The working group members are entitled to

-4- 1209

1	REIMBURSEMENT OF ANY NECESSARY EXPENSES RELATED TO HIS OR HER
2	PARTICIPATION IN THE WORKING GROUP.
3	(2) THE WORKING GROUP IS STAFFED JOINTLY BY THE GOVERNOR'S
4	OFFICE, THE OFFICE OF STATE PLANNING AND BUDGETING, LEGISLATIVE
5	COUNCIL STAFF, AND JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE STAFF.
6	(3) THE DUTIES OF THE WORKING GROUP ARE TO:
7	(a) SET COMMON DEFINITIONS AND STANDARDS FOR
8	EVIDENCE-BASED PROGRAMS OR PRACTICES, PROMISING PROGRAMS OR
9	PRACTICES, AND THEORY-BASED PROGRAMS OR PRACTICES IN THE STATE
10	USING THE DEFINITIONS SET FORTH IN SECTION $24-37-502$ AS A STARTING
11	POINT;
12	(b) MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY, THE
13	GOVERNOR'S OFFICE, AND EXECUTIVE BRANCH AGENCIES REGARDING THE
14	BUILDING OF PROGRAM EVALUATION METHODOLOGY FOR THE STATE;
15	(c) REVIEW RECOMMENDATIONS BASED ON GOOD GOVERNMENT
16	PRACTICES FROM AROUND THE COUNTRY FOR PROGRAM EVALUATION BEST
17	PRACTICES;
18	(d) Ensure that the state's program evaluation allows
19	FOR CONTINUED INNOVATION IN PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT;
20	(e) PROVIDE THE STATE WITH COMPREHENSIVE SYSTEM-LEVEL
21	EVALUATION METHODOLOGY RECOMMENDATIONS WHILE AIMING FOR
22	MANAGEABLE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STATE'S PROGRAM EVALUATION;
23	AND
24	(f) RECOGNIZE, SUPPORT, AND INCORPORATE THE NEED FOR
25	CULTURE CHANGE IN THE AREA OF PROGRAM EVALUATION PRACTICES.
26	(4) IN ORDER TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE GENERAL
27	ASSEMBLY THE COVERNOR'S OFFICE AND EXECUTIVE READOL AGENCIES

-5- 1209

2	THE STATE, THE WORKING GROUP SHALL EXAMINE:
3	(a) RESOURCE AND INFRASTRUCTURE NEEDS FOR BUILDING
4	EVALUATION METHODOLOGY IN THE STATE. THIS INCLUDES ASSESSING THE
5	VALUE AND LOGISTICS OF ESTABLISHING FORMAL RELATIONSHIPS WITH
6	THE STATE'S INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION TO EVALUATE OR ASSIST
7	IN EVALUATING STATE METHODOLOGY PURSUANT TO THE COMMON
8	DEFINITIONS AND STANDARDS SET BY THE WORKING GROUP AS SPECIFIED
9	IN PARAGRAPH (a) OF SUBSECTION (3) OF THIS SECTION.
10	(b) INTEGRATING IN THE STATE'S PROGRAM EVALUATION ANY
11	EXISTING GOOD GOVERNMENT PRACTICES, INCLUDING PROGRAMS SUCH AS
12	THE "STATE MEASUREMENT FOR ACCOUNTABLE, RESPONSIVE, AND
13	TRANSPARENT (SMART) GOVERNMENT ACT", PART 2 OF ARTICLE 7 OF
14	TITLE 2, C.R.S., AND EXISTING LEAN GOVERNMENT PROCESSES;
15	(c) COLLECTING AN INVENTORY OF STATE PROGRAMS AND
16	CLASSIFYING SUCH PROGRAMS AS AN EVIDENCE-BASED PROGRAM OR
17	PRACTICE, PROMISING PROGRAM OR PRACTICE, OR THEORY-BASED
18	PROGRAM OR PRACTICE;
19	(d) EXISTING PROCUREMENT PRACTICES, INCLUDING
20	PERFORMANCE-BASED CONTRACTING;
21	(e) DATA COLLECTION REQUIREMENTS AND METHODOLOGY; AND
22	(f) CURRENT EVALUATION REQUIREMENTS FOR STATE PROGRAMS.
23	24-37-504. Repeal of part. This part 5 is repealed, effective
24	DECEMBER 31, 2019.
25	SECTION 2. Appropriation. (1) For the 2016-17 state fiscal
26	year, \$23,728 is appropriated to the office of governor for use by the
27	office of state planning and budgeting. This appropriation is from the

REGARDING THE BUILDING OF PROGRAM EVALUATION METHODOLOGY FOR

1

-6- 1209

1	general fund. To implement this act, the office may use this appropriation
2	as follows:
3	(a) \$11,254 for personal services, which amount is based on ar
4	assumption that the office will require an additional 0.2 FTE; and
5	(b) \$12,474 for operating expenses.
6	(2) For the 2016-17 state fiscal year, \$13,690 is appropriated to
7	the legislative department. This appropriation is from the general fund
8	and is based on an assumption that the department will require ar
9	additional 0.2 FTE. The department may use this appropriation to
10	implement this act.
	•
11	SECTION 3. Act subject to petition - effective date. This act
11 12	SECTION 3. Act subject to petition - effective date. This act takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following the expiration of the
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12	takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following the expiration of the
12 13	takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following the expiration of the ninety-day period after final adjournment of the general assembly (August
12 13 14	takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following the expiration of the ninety-day period after final adjournment of the general assembly (August 10, 2016, if adjournment sine die is on May 11, 2016); except that, if a
12 13 14 15	takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following the expiration of the ninety-day period after final adjournment of the general assembly (August 10, 2016, if adjournment sine die is on May 11, 2016); except that, if a referendum petition is filed pursuant to section 1 (3) of article V of the
12 13 14 15 16	takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following the expiration of the ninety-day period after final adjournment of the general assembly (August 10, 2016, if adjournment sine die is on May 11, 2016); except that, if a referendum petition is filed pursuant to section 1 (3) of article V of the state constitution against this act or an item, section, or part of this act
12 13 14 15 16 17	takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following the expiration of the ninety-day period after final adjournment of the general assembly (August 10, 2016, if adjournment sine die is on May 11, 2016); except that, if a referendum petition is filed pursuant to section 1 (3) of article V of the state constitution against this act or an item, section, or part of this act within such period, then the act, item, section, or part will not take effect the section of the section of the section against this act or an item, section, or part will not take effect the section of the section of the section of the section against this act or an item, section, or part will not take effect the section of t

-7- 1209