HOUSE COMMITTEE OF REFERENCE REPORT

Chairman of Committee

Date

Committee on Local Government.

After consideration on the merits, the Committee recommends the following:

<u>HB16-1078</u> be amended as follows, and as so amended, be referred to the Committee on Appropriations with favorable recommendation:

- Amend printed bill, page 3, line 7, strike "A" and substitute "EXCEPT AS
 SET FORTH IN PARAGRAPH (c) OF THIS SUBSECTION (2), A".
- Page 3, line 17, strike "MISMANAGEMENT;" and substitute "GROSS
 MISMANAGEMENT;".
- 5 Page 4, after line 5 insert:

6 "(c) IT IS THE OBLIGATION OF AN EMPLOYEE WHO WISHES TO
7 DISCLOSE INFORMATION UNDER THE PROTECTION OF THIS SECTION TO
8 MAKE A GOOD FAITH EFFORT TO INFORM HIS OR HER SUPERVISOR, AN
9 ADMINISTRATOR ABOVE THE LEVEL OF HIS OR HER SUPERVISOR, OR A
10 BOARD MEMBER OF THE LOCAL EDUCATION PROVIDER OF THE NATURE OF
11 THE INFORMATION TO BE DISCLOSED PRIOR TO THE TIME OF DISCLOSURE.".

12 Page 4, strike lines 11 through 20 and substitute "RELIEF AND DAMAGES. 13 THE EMPLOYEE MUST SERVE THE LOCAL EDUCATION PROVIDER IN 14 ACCORDANCE WITH THE COLORADO RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. PRIOR TO 15 THE TIME THAT AN ANSWER IS DUE, THE LOCAL EDUCATION PROVIDER MAY 16 FILE A WRITTEN NOTICE REJECTING THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S 17 JURISDICTION, AND, IN WHICH CASE, THE JUDGE SHALL DISMISS THE 18 COMPLAINT WITHOUT PREJUDICE. HEARINGS ARE CONDUCTED CONSISTENT 19 WITH THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 24-4-105, C.R.S., UNLESS THE 20 DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE COURTS ESTABLISHES 21 DIFFERENT RULES THAT APPLY. THE FINAL DECISION OF THE

HB1078_H_LG.001

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE IS SUBJECT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW BY THE
 COURT OF APPEALS IN THE SAME MANNER AS AN AGENCY ACTION THAT IS
 APPEALED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 24-4-106 (11), C.R.S.

4 (4) AN EMPLOYEE WHO DID NOT FILE A WRITTEN COMPLAINT WITH 5 THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE COURTS OR WHO FILED A WRITTEN 6 COMPLAINT BUT THE LOCAL EDUCATION PROVIDER REJECTED THE 7 JURISDICTION MAY BRING A CIVIL ACTION IN THE APPROPRIATE DISTRICT 8 COURT OF THE STATE ALLEGING A VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION AND 9 SEEKING DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF.".

10 Page 5, line 7, strike "SHALL" and substitute "MAY".

Page 5, line 22, strike "A" and substitute "EXCEPT AS SET FORTH IN
PARAGRAPH (c) OF THIS SUBSECTION (2), A".

Page 6, line 4, strike "MISMANAGEMENT;" and substitute "GROSSMISMANAGEMENT;".

15 Page 6, after line 19 insert:

16 "(c) IT IS THE OBLIGATION OF AN EMPLOYEE WHO WISHES TO
17 DISCLOSE INFORMATION UNDER THE PROTECTION OF THIS SECTION TO
18 MAKE A GOOD FAITH EFFORT TO INFORM HIS OR HER SUPERVISOR, AN
19 ADMINISTRATOR ABOVE THE LEVEL OF HIS OR HER SUPERVISOR, OR A
20 COUNTY COMMISSIONER OF THE NATURE OF THE INFORMATION TO BE
21 DISCLOSED PRIOR TO THE TIME OF DISCLOSURE.".

22 Page 6, strike lines 25 through 27.

23 Page 7, strike lines 1 through 7 and substitute "RELIEF AND DAMAGES. THE 24 EMPLOYEE MUST SERVE THE COUNTY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 25 COLORADO RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. PRIOR TO THE TIME THAT AN 26 ANSWER IS DUE, THE COUNTY MAY FILE A WRITTEN NOTICE REJECTING THE 27 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S JURISDICTION, AND, IN WHICH CASE, THE 28 JUDGE SHALL DISMISS THE COMPLAINT WITHOUT PREJUDICE. HEARINGS 29 ARE CONDUCTED CONSISTENT WITH THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 24-4-105, 30 C.R.S., UNLESS THE DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE COURTS 31 ESTABLISHES DIFFERENT RULES THAT APPLY. THE FINAL DECISION OF THE 32 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE IS SUBJECT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW BY THE 33 COURT OF APPEALS IN THE SAME MANNER AS AN AGENCY ACTION THAT IS 34 APPEALED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 24-4-106 (11), C.R.S.

-2-

HB1078_H_LG.001

1 (4) AN EMPLOYEE WHO DID NOT FILE A WRITTEN COMPLAINT WITH 2 THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE COURTS OR WHO FILED A WRITTEN 3 COMPLAINT BUT THE COUNTY REJECTED THE JURISDICTION MAY BRING A 4 CIVIL ACTION IN THE APPROPRIATE DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE 5 ALLEGING A VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION AND SEEKING DAMAGES AND 6 INJUNCTIVE RELIEF.".

- Page 7, line 27, strike "A" and substitute "EXCEPT AS SET FORTH IN
 PARAGRAPH (c) OF THIS SUBSECTION (2), A".
- 9 Page 8, line 9, strike "MISMANAGEMENT;" and substitute "GROSS
 10 MISMANAGEMENT;".
- 11 Page 8, after line 24 insert:

"(c) IT IS THE OBLIGATION OF AN EMPLOYEE WHO WISHES TO
DISCLOSE INFORMATION UNDER THE PROTECTION OF THIS SECTION TO
MAKE A GOOD FAITH EFFORT TO INFORM HIS OR HER SUPERVISOR, AN
ADMINISTRATOR ABOVE THE LEVEL OF HIS OR HER SUPERVISOR, OR A
MEMBER OF THE MUNICIPALITY'S GOVERNING BODY TO BE DISCLOSED
PRIOR TO THE TIME OF DISCLOSURE.".

18 Page 9, strike lines 3 through 12 and substitute "RELIEF AND DAMAGES. 19 THE EMPLOYEE MUST SERVE THE MUNICIPALITY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 20 COLORADO RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. PRIOR TO THE TIME THAT AN 21 ANSWER IS DUE, THE MUNICIPALITY MAY FILE A WRITTEN NOTICE 22 REJECTING THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S JURISDICTION, AND, IN 23 WHICH CASE, THE JUDGE SHALL DISMISS THE COMPLAINT WITHOUT 24 PREJUDICE. HEARINGS ARE CONDUCTED CONSISTENT WITH THE PROVISIONS 25 OF SECTION 24-4-105, C.R.S., UNLESS THE DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF 26 ADMINISTRATIVE COURTS ESTABLISHES DIFFERENT RULES THAT APPLY. 27 THE FINAL DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE IS SUBJECT TO 28 JUDICIAL REVIEW BY THE COURT OF APPEALS IN THE SAME MANNER AS AN 29 AGENCY ACTION THAT IS APPEALED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 30 24-4-106 (11), C.R.S.

31 (4) AN EMPLOYEE WHO DID NOT FILE A WRITTEN COMPLAINT WITH
32 THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE COURTS OR WHO FILED A WRITTEN
33 COMPLAINT BUT THE MUNICIPALITY REJECTED THE JURISDICTION MAY
34 BRING A CIVIL ACTION IN THE APPROPRIATE DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE
35 ALLEGING A VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION AND SEEKING DAMAGES AND
36 INJUNCTIVE RELIEF.".

HB1078_H_LG.001

** *** ** *** **

HB1078_H_LG.001 _4-