

# STATE and LOCAL FISCAL IMPACT

**Drafting Number:** LLS 15-0225 **Date:** January 28, 2015

Prime Sponsor(s): Rep. Coram Bill Status: House Business, Affairs and Labor

Sen. Hodge Fiscal Analyst: Alex Schatz (303-866-4375)

**BILL TOPIC:** DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION FOR ASSET RECOVERY

Fiscal Impact Summary*	FY 2015-2016	FY 2016-2017		
State Revenue	up to \$1,021,000	up to \$1,021,000		
General Fund Cash Funds	<\$1,000 1,020,000	<\$1,000 1,020,000		
State Expenditures	\$588,328	\$499,871		
Cash Funds	506,753	411,106		
Centrally Appropriated Costs**	81,575	88,765		
FTE Position Change	7.0 FTE	7.0 FTE		
TABOR Set-Aside	up to \$1,021,000	up to \$1,021,000		
Appropriation Required: \$506,753 - Multiple departments (FY 2015-16)				

<sup>\*</sup> This summary shows changes from current law under the bill for each fiscal year.

# **Summary of Legislation**

This bill establishes a procedure for a party that obtains a monetary award in court (judgment creditor) to compel the Colorado Department of Labor and Employment (CDLE) to disclose employment information contained in its wage and employment database about the other party (judgment debtor). The bill also modifies rules for debt collection by government agencies.

**Judgment debtor disclosure process.** The judgment creditor files a motion in court to compel CDLE's disclosure. If the judgment is not void or expired, the court must grant the motion after following certain procedures, including a hearing if the judgment debtor opposes the motion. Once the judgment creditor presents to CDLE a certified copy of the order granting the motion, executes a data access contract, and pays fees, CDLE has seven business days to make a disclosure to the judgment creditor.

Information received in the CDLE disclosure is subject to security measures and may only be used to collect those moneys owed by the judgment debtor under court order. A judgment creditor that fails to follow security measures is subject to audit by the CDLE, enforcement action by the Attorney General (AG), and a civil penalty up to \$1,000 plus the AG's enforcement costs. A judgment creditor is entitled to attorney fees and costs if the AG does not prevail in court in an enforcement action.

<sup>\*\*</sup> These costs are not included in the bill's appropriation. See the State Expenditures section for more information.

Cash fund financing of the disclosure process. The Judgment Debtor Disclosure Fund is created for the collection of CDLE revenue under the bill and the payment of CDLE costs, subject to annual appropriation. CDLE is authorized to a charge a reasonable fee for costs associated with processing a disclosure request. CDLE is also authorized to charge judgment creditors a one-time initiation fee and annual renewal fee associated with the data access contract. Within limits set in the bill, all fees are established after rulemaking by the CDLE.

To implement the disclosure process, the introduced bill includes FY 2015-16 cash fund appropriations of \$233,358 and 4.0 FTE to the CDLE and \$228,738 and 3.0 FTE for the Judicial Department.

**Debt collection by government agencies.** The bill also provides that state and local governments may charge the costs of collection to delinquent accounts, up to 25 percent of the amount to be collected. A court may award additional attorney fees.

# Background

Lawsuits decided by Colorado courts frequently involve a monetary dispute. Based on Judicial Department data, state trial courts enter a monetary award in over 150,000 cases each fiscal year. County courts hear the majority of cases affected by the bill. For example, in FY 2012-13, debt collections in county court accounted for 118,734 cases statewide.

Fees from certification of court orders, as well as fees from court filings generally, are deposited in the Judicial Stabilization Cash Fund.

### **State Revenue**

The bill increases state revenue by **up to \$1,021,000.** Of this amount, \$220,000 is collected annually by CDLE in fees, credited to the Judgment Debtor Disclosure Fund. The Judicial Stabilization Cash Fund receives an estimated \$800,000 each year from court clerk certification fees. The remainder of revenue under the bill is generated by fines collected by the Judicial Department and attorney fees and costs awarded to the Department of Law.

**Assumptions.** While the bill potentially results in up to 150,000 court orders, the fiscal note assumes that the judgment creditor in over two-thirds of these cases will not present the order to the CDLE. This assumption is based on the potential for the court order to induce the judgment debtor to promptly settle the amount owed, cost considerations related to procedures under the bill (such as fees), and other alternatives available to the judgment creditor. Fees are estimated based on an assumed caseload of 40,000 disclosures that commence with a certified court order and proceed to CDLE, with its own fee.

The bill authorizes CDLE to charge a reasonable fee for its actual cost to process disclosure orders. The fiscal note assumes CDLE rulemaking results in a fee that is sufficient to cover all CDLE costs, direct and indirect.

CDLE is not required to establish any specific fee associated with data collection contracts and will either establish a fee of \$0 or decline to implement any such fee in rulemaking. This fee revenue is not necessary to finance CDLE operations. In addition, should CDLE establish initiation and annual fees applicable to all judgment creditors seeking disclosure, this could have a

significant impact on utilization of the procedures established by the bill. Fees up to the level authorized by the bill (\$500 for initiation, \$200 for annual renewal) could be absorbed by large debt collection agencies, which constitute the majority of demand under the bill, but would deter a significant amount of other judgment creditors, particularly individuals.

Fee impact on judgment creditors. Section 2-2-322, C.R.S., requires legislative service agency review of measures which create or increase any fee collected by a state agency. Table 1 summarizes the fee impact of this bill on judgment creditors who pursue a CDLE disclosure under the bill. To begin the process, an estimated 40,000 judgment creditors will request from the court clerk a certified copy of the order awarding them a money judgment, paying a \$20 fee for each certification, resulting in a \$800,000 revenue increase to the Judicial Department. In CDLE, the judgment debtor disclosure fee will be set at a rate sufficient to cover the approximate annual cost of CDLE administration under the bill, estimated at \$5.50 per disclosure, to generate \$220,000 in fee revenue in FY 2015-16 and FY 2016-17. The CDLE fee may be adjusted in future fiscal years to reflect budget requirements.

Table 1. Annual Fee Impact on Judgment Creditors					
Type of Fee	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Number Affected	Total Fee Impact	
Certified Court Order	\$20.00	no change	40,000	\$800,000	
Judgment Debtor Disclosure	n/a	5.50	40,000	220,000	
			TOTAL	\$1,020,000	

**Judicial Department** — **Fines.** Enforcement actions against judgment creditors are expected in a small number of cases, and may not result in fines (or the maximum fine) in these cases. For this reason, less than \$1,000 in fines are estimated in any fiscal year. These civil penalties are credited to the General Fund.

**Department of Law** — **Attorney fees and costs.** State revenue will increase in cases where a court awards the AG's costs to investigate and pursue legal action against judgment creditors who are found to have violated security requirements of the bill. Such cases are expected to be rare and will result in a minimal increase in General Fund revenue.

## TABOR Impact

This bill increases state revenue by up to \$1,021,000, which will increase the amount required to be refunded under TABOR by an equivalent amount. TABOR refunds are paid from the General Fund.

#### **State Expenditures**

The bill increases state expenditures by \$588,328 and 7.0 FTE in FY 2015-16 and \$499,871 and 7.0 FTE in FY 2016-17. These costs affect the Judicial Department and CDLE, as illustrated in Table 2. In CDLE, personal services and associated operating costs are paid from the Judgment Debtor Disclosure Fund. The Judicial Department funds expenditures with new cash fund revenue deposited in the Judicial Stabilization Cash Fund under the bill.

A summary of costs is included in Table 2.

Table 2. Expenditures Under HB 15-1056						
Cost Components	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17				
Department of Labor and Employment	<u>\$232,991</u>	<u>\$209,141</u>				
Personal Services	141,634	141,634				
FTE	4.0	4.0				
Standard Operating Expenses	3,800	3,800				
Mailing Expenses (40,000 x \$0.49)	19,600	19,600				
Capital Outlay	18,812	0				
Programming (OIT)	6,180	0				
Centrally Appropriated Costs*	42,965	44,107				
<u>Judicial Branch</u>	<u>\$355,337</u>	<u>\$290,730</u>				
Personal Services	238,472	238,472				
FTE	3.0	3.0				
Standard Operating Expenses	7,600	7,600				
Capital Outlay	70,655	0				
Centrally Appropriated Costs*	38,610	44,658				
TOTAL	\$588,328	\$499,871				

<sup>\*</sup> Centrally appropriated costs are not included in the bill's appropriation.

**Assumptions.** Implementation of the bill starts on July 1, 2015, with a full year of appropriations in FY 2015-16.

While the bill applies to all monetary awards in Colorado court cases, county courts produce the largest share of cases in which the judgment creditor is unable to collect. Estimated costs to implement the bill are based on a magistrate serving as the judicial officer in trial courts affected by the bill, especially in county courts. Each magistrate is assisted by two clerks.

The fiscal note assumes a batch process is developed to automate the composition of disclosure letters. Under the bill, a disclosure is a simple two-item record (name, employer) and does not require any special legal process for CDLE to fulfill its duties. Programming to extract employer information from the CDLE wage and employment database using a legal name and reporting this on a form letter can be readily accomplished by the Office of Information Technology (OIT).

The fiscal note assumes that CDLE's duty to disclose under the bill is satisfied once it has retrieved records and responded to the judgment creditor. Once it has furnished a response, CDLE will not monitor judgment creditors (for compliance with security measures) or judgment debtors (for new employment information) unless there is a new court order or some other external source of information that prompts further investigation or enforcement action.

**CDLE administrative costs.** The bill increases direct expenditures in CDLE by \$232,991 and 4.0 FTE in FY 2015-16 and \$209,141 and 4.0 FTE in FY 2016-17.

In FY 2015-16, CDLE will begin responding to court orders immediately after conducting rulemaking to set a reasonable fee for judgment creditors. In addition, programming to automate production of disclosure letters requires an estimated \$6,180 (60 hours x \$103/hour OIT billing rate). The OIT will complete this work as soon as possible in FY 2015-16. However, immediately after the bill's effective date, CDLE staff may be temporarily required to manually transfer information to a disclosure form letter.

Based on a task analysis of CDLE staff functions under the bill, ongoing workload increases by at least 4.0 FTE for administrative assistants to perform data entry, database inquiries, prepare and mail disclosures, and respond to security complaints and other issues. The estimated increase includes associated operating costs and expenses for an estimated 40,000 mailings.

**Judicial Department.** The bill increases direct expenditures in the Judicial Department by \$355,337 and 3.0 FTE in FY 2015-16 and \$290,730 and 3.0 FTE in FY 2016-17. A summary of costs to the Judicial Department is included in Table 2.

No significant effort is required for a judgment creditor to make a motion for CDLE disclosure at the same time a court enters a monetary award, and these motions may be filed at any time thereafter. As a result, the bill increases workload and expenditures for trial courts to process CDLE disclosure motions in approximately 150,000 civil cases each year, with 40,000 certified copies of the court order produced by court clerks each year.

While a small number of cases will require a hearing, the bill entitles most judgment creditors to a court order. Accordingly, trial court costs are driven primarily by workload to enter the motion on the record and issue the court order. Statewide, assuming this workload takes, on average, less than one minute per case, the bill requires 1.0 FTE magistrate and 2.0 FTE of support staff, as well as operating costs and capital outlay for these positions.

Because the bill also affects cases decided by judges, with a higher level of staffing, actual expenditures and workload impacts in the Judicial Department may be higher than estimated. Any increase in expenditures that exceeds appropriations under the bill will be addressed in the annual budget process.

**Department of Law.** The Department of Law will assist CDLE with rulemaking to implement the bill in FY 2015-16. On an infrequent basis, CDLE may request the AG to investigate or take enforcement action against a judgment creditor that does not adhere to the security measures that apply to information received in a disclosure. The AG may also initiate its own enforcement of security measures. These AG duties under the bill are consistent with the AG's existing representation of CDLE and are not expected to significantly increase caseload for the AG. No new appropriation is required for the AG to assist with rulemaking or respond to occasional enforcement issues with judgment creditors.

In the event that the AG takes action against a judgment creditor in district court and does not prevail in the case, state expenditures will increase to pay attorney fees and costs for the judgment creditor's defense. The fiscal note assumes the AG will take action only in cases with sufficient merit to prevail in court, thus an award of judgment creditor's costs is expected to be rare, with minimal expenditure impact to the Department of Law.

**Appropriations in the introduced bill.** Costs to implement a substantially similar bill were identified in the fiscal note for House Bill 14-1127. The amounts appropriated to the Judicial Department in the bill appear to be based on FY 2015-16 direct program costs identified in the fiscal note for HB14-1127. These second-year costs are inadequate for FY 2015-16

implementation of the current bill. All expenditures are calculated and revised by this fiscal note to reflect the exact language of HB 15-1056 and current cost assumptions.

**Centrally appropriated costs.** Pursuant to a Joint Budget Committee policy, certain costs associated with this bill are addressed through the annual budget process and centrally appropriated in the Long Bill or supplemental appropriations bills, rather than in this bill. The centrally appropriated costs subject to this policy are estimated in the fiscal note for informational purposes and summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Centrally Appropriated Costs Under HB 15-1056*				
Cost Components	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17		
Employee Insurance (Health, Life, Dental, and Short-term Disability)	\$54,036	\$56,238		
Supplemental Employee Retirement Payments	27,539	32,527		
TOTAL	\$81,575	\$88,765		

<sup>\*</sup>More information is available at: http://colorado.gov/fiscalnotes

# **Local Government Impact**

The bill increases expenditures for the City and County of Denver, while potentially increasing revenue to local governments statewide.

The City and County of Denver covers all costs related to judicial officers and operations of Denver's county court. The bill increases workload for Denver county courts to process motions for CDLE disclosure under the bill. Based on Denver county court's share of overall caseload in the state, this impact is estimated as an increase in judicial officers of less than 0.1 FTE.

Local government revenue may increase to the extent that, under current law, local agencies have not included the costs of collection when overdue fees, fines, and other obligations are received. Provided that accounting and billing practices of affected local governments accommodate the inclusion of these charges, the bill increases amounts that may be charged to delinquent accounts. The total amount of increased local revenue depends on recovery rates.

### **Technical or Mechanical Defects**

The introduced bill has two related problems concerning the implementation of the Judgement Debtor Disclosure Fund. Both may be resolved by amending the statutory citation on page 6, line 26, to Section 13-51.3-102, C.R.S.:

- Proposed Section 13-51.3-103, C.R.S, and proposed Section 24-1-121, C.R.S. (both found on page 6 of the introduced bill) are in conflict. Specifically, all moneys subject to proposed Section 13-51.3-103 are swept into the General Fund. No "fees collected under Section 13-51.3-103, C.R.S." will be available for Judgment Debtor Disclosure Fund.
- The Judgment Debtor Disclosure Fund should include fees collected pursuant to proposed Section 13-51.3-102, C.R.S. In its text, proposed Section 24-1-121, C.R.S., describes the fund's revenue sources as derived from "requests for disclosure."

However, the only fees described in the referenced Section 13-51.3-103, C.R.S., are attorneys fees collected from actions to enforce data safeguard and security measures, a source of minimal revenue.

## **Effective Date**

The bill takes effect upon signature of the Governor, or upon becoming law without his signature.

# **State Appropriations**

For FY 2015-16, the bill requires an appropriation of \$190,026 from the Judgment Debtor Disclosure Fund and 4.0 FTE to the Department of Labor and Employment, and an appropriation of \$316,727 from the Judicial Stabilization Cash Fund and 3.0 FTE to the Judicial Department. Of the amount appropriated the Department of Labor and Employment, \$6,180 is reappropriated to the Office of Information Technology.

## **State and Local Government Contacts**

Labor and Employment
Personnel and Administration
Health Care Policy and Financing
Special Districts
Counties

Judicial Department Regulatory Agencies Public Safety Municipalities Revenue Human Services Local Affairs Law