Second Regular Session Sixty-ninth General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

PREAMENDED

This Unofficial Version Includes Committee Amendments Not Yet Adopted on Second Reading

LLS NO. 14-0903.01 Jerry Barry x4341

SENATE BILL 14-193

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Carroll and Lundberg,

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

Singer,

Senate Committees Judiciary

House Committees

A BILL FOR AN ACT 101 CONCERNING CONFORMING COLORADO LAW ON LOCATION 102 INFORMATION WITH THE FOURTH AMENDMENT AS 103 INTERPRETED BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IN 104 UNITED STATES V. JONES.

Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at http://www.leg.state.co.us/billsummaries.)

A United States supreme court decision held that the use of a GPS device to monitor a vehicle's movement constituted a search requiring the

government to obtain a search warrant. With certain specified exceptions, the bill prohibits a governmental entity from obtaining location information from an electronic device without first obtaining a search warrant.

If location information or evidence derived from location information is used in a court proceeding, all parties must receive a copy of the search warrant and application at least 10 days prior to the proceeding. A judge may waive the 10-day requirement in certain circumstances.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:

SECTION 1. Legislative declaration. (1) The general assembly hereby finds that:

- (a) Without a valid search warrant, federal government agents attached a GPS device on a vehicle owned by a suspect's wife, tracked the movement of that vehicle for 28 days, and used that information to obtain an indictment against the suspect;
- (b) The court of appeals held that the warrantless use of the GPS device constituted a search, violated the fourth amendment's protection against unreasonable searches, and prohibited the use of the information obtained by the GPS device;
- (c) In 2012, in the case of *United States v. Jones*, the United States supreme court unanimously affirmed the ruling of the court of appeals and stated that "the Government's installation of a GPS device on a target's vehicle and its use of that device to monitor the vehicle's movements constituted a 'search'";
- (d) The right to be protected from unreasonable searches and seizures has been guaranteed by the fourth amendment to the United States constitution for over 220 years;
 - (e) The right of people in Colorado to be protected from

-2-

1	unreasonable searches and seizures has been guaranteed by section / of
2	article II of the Colorado constitution since its adoption in 1876; and
3	(f) It is necessary to clarify that people in Colorado are protected
4	from searches conducted by the government using electronic devices to
5	track the location of the device unless the government first obtains a
6	lawful search warrant.
7	(2) It is the intent of the general assembly to implement and give
8	full effect to the opinion in United States v. Jones as written by Justice
9	Scalia.
10	SECTION 2. In Colorado Revised Statutes, add 16-3-303.5 as
11	follows:
12	16-3-303.5. Location information - search warrant required
13	- definitions. (1) As used in this section, unless the context
14	OTHERWISE REQUIRES:
15	(a) "ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION SERVICE" MEANS A SERVICE
16	THAT PROVIDES THE ABILITY TO SEND OR RECEIVE WIRE OR ELECTRONIC
17	COMMUNICATIONS TO USERS OF THE SERVICE.
18	(b) "ELECTRONIC DEVICE" MEANS A DEVICE THAT ENABLES ACCESS
19	TO OR USE OF AN ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION SERVICE, REMOTE
20	COMPUTING SERVICE, OR LOCATION INFORMATION SERVICE.
21	(c) "GOVERNMENT ENTITY" MEANS A STATE OR LOCAL AGENCY,
22	INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO A LAW ENFORCEMENT ENTITY OR ANY
23	OTHER INVESTIGATIVE ENTITY, AGENCY, DEPARTMENT, DIVISION, BUREAU,
24	BOARD, OR COMMISSION, OR AN INDIVIDUAL ACTING OR PURPORTING TO
25	ACT FOR OR ON BEHALF OF A STATE OR LOCAL AGENCY.
26	(d) "LOCATION INFORMATION" MEANS INFORMATION CONCERNING
27	THE LOCATION OF AN ELECTRONIC DEVICE THAT, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IS

-3-

2	ELECTRONIC DEVICE.
3	(e) "LOCATION INFORMATION SERVICE" MEANS THE PROVISION OF
4	A GLOBAL POSITIONING SERVICE OR OTHER MAPPING, LOCATIONAL, OR
5	DIRECTIONAL INFORMATION SERVICE.
6	(f) "REMOTE COMPUTING SERVICE" MEANS THE PROVISION OF
7	COMPUTER STORAGE OR PROCESSING SERVICES BY MEANS OF AN
8	ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM.
9	(2) Except as provided in subsection (3) $\underline{\text{or } (4)}$ of this
10	SECTION, A GOVERNMENT ENTITY SHALL NOT OBTAIN THE LOCATION
11	INFORMATION OF AN ELECTRONIC DEVICE WITHOUT A SEARCH WARRANT
12	ISSUED BY A COURT PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THIS PART 3.
13	(3) A GOVERNMENT ENTITY MAY OBTAIN LOCATION INFORMATION
14	OF AN ELECTRONIC DEVICE WITHOUT A WARRANT UNDER ANY OF THE
15	FOLLOWING CIRCUMSTANCES:
16	(a) THE DEVICE IS REPORTED STOLEN BY THE OWNER;
17	(b) IN ORDER TO RESPOND TO THE USER'S CALL FOR EMERGENCY
18	SERVICES;
19	(c) WITH THE INFORMED, AFFIRMATIVE CONSENT OF THE OWNER
20	OR USER OF THE ELECTRONIC DEVICE; OR
21	(d) A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE GOVERNMENT ENTITY SIGNS AN
22	AFFIDAVIT STATING THAT THERE EXISTS A POSSIBLE LIFE-THREATENING
23	SITUATION.
24	(4) The provisions of this section do not apply to probation
25	DEPARTMENTS WITHIN THE JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT OR TO THE DIVISION OF
26	ADULT PAROLE WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS.
27	(5) Any evidence obtained in violation of this section is

GENERATED OR DERIVED FROM OR OBTAINED BY THE OPERATION OF AN

1

-4- 193

1	NOT ADMISSIBLE IN A CIVIL, CRIMINAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
2	AND SHALL NOT BE USED IN AN AFFIDAVIT OF PROBABLE CAUSE IN AN
3	EFFORT TO OBTAIN A SEARCH WARRANT.
4	(6) (a) A COURT SHALL NOT ADMIT LOCATION INFORMATION
5	OBTAINED PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION OR EVIDENCE DERIVED FROM THAT
6	INFORMATION AT A TRIAL, HEARING, OR OTHER PROCEEDING UNLESS THE
7	PARTY SEEKING TO INTRODUCE THE EVIDENCE PROVIDES A COPY OF THE
8	WARRANT AND ACCOMPANYING AFFIDAVIT TO EACH PARTY PURSUANT TO
9	RULE 16 OF THE COLORADO RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, OR ANY
10	SUCCESSOR RULE.
11	(b) A COURT MAY WAIVE THE REQUIREMENT UNDER
12	PARAGRAPH (a) OF THIS SUBSECTION (6) IF THE COURT FINDS THAT IT WAS
13	NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVIDE A PARTY WITH THE WARRANT AND
14	ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION WITHIN THE TIME REQUIRED BY RULE 16 OF
15	THE COLORADO RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, OR ANY SUCCESSOR
16	RULE, AND THAT THE PARTY WILL NOT BE PREJUDICED BY THE DELAY
17	IN RECEIVING THE INFORMATION.
18	SECTION 3. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds,
19	determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate

-5- 193