Second Regular Session Sixty-eighth General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

PREAMENDED

This Unofficial Version Includes Committee Amendments Not Yet Adopted on Second Reading

LLS NO. 12-0370.02 Brita Darling x2241

HOUSE BILL 12-1281

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

Young and Gerou, Ferrandino, Fields, Kefalas, Kerr A., McCann, Peniston, Schafer S.

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Steadman and Roberts,

House Committees
Health and Environment
Appropriations

Senate Committees

A BILL FOR AN ACT OF PROGRAM ESTABLISHING N

101 CONCERNING A PILOT PROGRAM ESTABLISHING NEW PAYMENT
102 METHODOLOGIES IN MEDICAID, AND, IN CONNECTION
103 THEREWITH, MAKING AN APPROPRIATION.

Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at http://www.leg.state.co.us/billsummaries.)

The bill directs the department of health care policy and financing (state department) to facilitate collaboration among medicaid providers, clients, advocates, and payors that is designed to improve health outcomes and patient satisfaction and support the financial sustainability

of the medicaid program. The executive director of the state department may promulgate rules relating to the collaborative process.

The bill creates the medicaid payment reform and innovation pilot program (pilot program) in the state department for the purpose of implementing payment reform projects in medicaid within the framework of the accountable care collaborative. Regional care collaborative organizations (RCCOs) may submit payment proposals to the state department for the pilot program. A RCCO shall work with providers and managed care entities in the RCCO to develop the payment project. Payment projects may include but are not limited to global payments, risk adjustment, risk sharing, and aligned payment incentives. The state department shall select payment projects for inclusion in the pilot program based upon certain criteria and shall give preference to those payment projects that propose global payments. The state department shall respond to RCCOs concerning payment projects that are not selected for the pilot program, stating the reason why the payment projects were not selected and shall copy the response to certain committees of the general assembly. Payment projects shall be implemented for 2 to 5 years, and certain provisions apply to payments under the pilot program. The state department shall seek any federal authorization necessary to implement the pilot program. The state department shall report to certain committees of the general assembly concerning the design, implementation, and outcome of the pilot program.

The bill requires the state department to report concerning the state department's recommendations for streamlining and simplifying the administrative structure for managing contracts relating to medicaid managed care.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:

2 **SECTION 1.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, **add** 25.5-1-205 as

3 follows:

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

25.5-1-205. Providing for the efficient provision of health care through state-supervised cooperative action - rules. (1) Cooperation among health care payors, including both private sector entities and federal and state-administered health care programs, has the potential to eliminate needless and costly complexity in the administration of the programs and to benefit patients, payors, and the government. Further, alignment of

-2-

1	FINANCIAL INCENTIVES AMONG PRIVATE AND PUBLIC ENTITIES MAY
2	ACCELERATE AND REINFORCE IMPROVEMENTS IN HEALTH CARE QUALITY
3	AND PATIENT OUTCOMES.
4	(2) THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SHALL FACILITATE DEPARTMENTAL
5	OVERSIGHT OF COLLABORATION AMONG PROVIDERS, MEDICAID CLIENTS
6	AND ADVOCATES, AND PAYORS THAT IS DESIGNED TO IMPROVE HEALTH
7	OUTCOMES AND PATIENT SATISFACTION AND SUPPORT THE FINANCIAL
8	SUSTAINABILITY OF THE MEDICAID PROGRAM.
9	(3) THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MAY PROMULGATE RULES RELATING
10	TO THE COLLABORATIVE PROCESS SET FORTH IN THIS SECTION.
11	SECTION 2. In Colorado Revised Statutes, add 25.5-5-415 and
12	25.5-5-416 as follows:
13	25.5-5-415. Medicaid payment reform and innovation pilot
14	program - legislative declaration - creation - selection of payment
15	projects - report - rules. (1) (a) The General assembly finds that:
16	(I) INCREASING HEALTH CARE COSTS IN COLORADO'S MEDICAID
17	PROGRAM CREATES CHALLENGES FOR THE STATE'S BUDGET. FURTHER, THE
18	INCREASING HEALTH CARE COSTS DO NOT NECESSARILY REFLECT
19	IMPROVEMENTS IN EITHER HEALTH OUTCOMES FOR PATIENTS OR IN
20	PATIENT SATISFACTION WITH THE CARE RECEIVED;
21	(II) MOREOVER, THE FEE-FOR-SERVICE PAYMENT MODEL MAY NOT
22	SUPPORT OR ALIGN FINANCIALLY WITH EVOLVING CARE COORDINATION
23	AND DELIVERY SYSTEMS;
24	(III) THE REFORM OF MEDICAID PAYMENT POLICIES OFFERS A
25	SIGNIFICANT OPPORTUNITY FOR THE STATE TO CONTAIN COSTS AND
26	IMPROVE QUALITY;
27	(IV) NEW PAYMENT METHODOLOGIES, INCLUDING GLOBAL

-3-

1	PAYMENTS, HAVE BEEN DEVELOPED TO RESPOND TO RISING COSTS AND THE
2	COMPLEXITIES OF HEALTH CARE DELIVERY. OPPORTUNITIES NOW EXIST TO
3	EXPLORE, TEST, AND IMPLEMENT SUCH PAYMENT REFORMS IN THE
4	MEDICAID PROGRAM.
5	(V) THE STATE DEPARTMENT SHOULD EXPLORE HOW THESE NEW
6	PAYMENT METHODOLOGIES MAY RESULT IN IMPROVED HEALTH OUTCOMES
7	AND PATIENT SATISFACTION AND SUPPORT THE FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY
8	OF THE MEDICAID PROGRAM.
9	(b) THEREFORE, THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY DECLARES THAT
10	COLORADO SHOULD BUILD UPON ONGOING REFORMS OF HEALTH CARE
11	DELIVERY IN THE MEDICAID PROGRAM BY IMPLEMENTING A PILOT
12	PROGRAM WITHIN THE STRUCTURE OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT'S
13	CURRENT MEDICAID COORDINATED CARE SYSTEM THAT ENCOURAGES THE
14	USE OF NEW AND INNOVATIVE PAYMENT METHODOLOGIES, INCLUDING
15	GLOBAL PAYMENTS.
16	
17	(2) (a) There is hereby created the medicaid payment
18	REFORM AND INNOVATION PILOT PROGRAM FOR PURPOSES OF FOSTERING
19	THE USE OF INNOVATIVE PAYMENT METHODOLOGIES IN THE MEDICAID
20	PROGRAM THAT ARE DESIGNED TO PROVIDE GREATER VALUE WHILE
21	ENSURING GOOD HEALTH OUTCOMES AND CLIENT SATISFACTION.
22	(b) (I) THE STATE DEPARTMENT SHALL CREATE A PROCESS FOR
23	INTERESTED CONTRACTORS OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT'S CURRENT
24	MEDICAID COORDINATED CARE SYSTEM TO SUBMIT PAYMENT PROJECTS
25	FOR CONSIDERATION UNDER THE PILOT PROGRAM. PAYMENT PROJECTS
26	SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE PILOT PROGRAM MAY INCLUDE, BUT NEED
27	NOT BE LIMITED TO, GLOBAL PAYMENTS, RISK ADJUSTMENT, RISK SHARING,

-4- 1281

1	AND ALIGNED PAYMENT INCENTIVES TO ACHIEVE IMPROVED QUALITY AND
2	TO CONTROL COSTS.
3	(II) THE DESIGN OF THE PAYMENT PROJECT OR PROJECTS SHALL
4	ADDRESS THE CLIENT POPULATION OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT'S CURRENT
5	MEDICAID COORDINATED CARE SYSTEM AND BE TAILORED TO THE
6	REGION'S HEALTH CARE NEEDS AND THE RESOURCES OF THE STATE
7	DEPARTMENT'S CURRENT MEDICAID COORDINATED CARE SYSTEM.
8	(III) A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT'S CURRENT
9	MEDICAID COORDINATED CARE SYSTEM SHALL WORK IN COORDINATION
10	WITH THE PROVIDERS AND MANAGED CARE ENTITIES CONTRACTED WITH
11	THE CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT'S CURRENT MEDICAID
12	COORDINATED CARE SYSTEM IN DEVELOPING THE PAYMENT PROJECT OR
13	PROJECTS.
14	(c) (I) On or before July 1, 2013, the state department
15	SHALL COMPLETE ITS REVIEW OF PAYMENT PROJECTS AND SHALL SELECT
16	PAYMENT PROJECTS TO BE INCLUDED IN THE PILOT PROGRAM.
17	(II) FOR PURPOSES OF SELECTING PAYMENT PROJECTS FOR THE
18	PILOT PROGRAM, THE STATE DEPARTMENT SHALL CONSIDER, AT A
19	MINIMUM:
20	(A) THE LIKELY EFFECT OF THE PAYMENT PROJECT ON QUALITY
21	MEASURES, HEALTH OUTCOMES, AND CLIENT SATISFACTION;
22	(B) THE POTENTIAL OF THE PAYMENT PROJECT TO REDUCE THE
23	STATE'S MEDICAID EXPENDITURES;
24	(C) THE OVERALL GOALS OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT'S CURRENT
25	MEDICAID COORDINATED CARE SYSTEM AND THE ALIGNMENT OF THE
26	PAYMENT PROJECT WITH THOSE GOALS;
27	(D) THE CLIENT POPULATION SERVED BY THE STATE DEPARTMENT'S

-5- 1281

1	CURRENT MEDICAID COORDINATED CARE SYSTEM AND THE PARTICULAR
2	HEALTH NEEDS OF THE REGION;
3	(E) THE BUSINESS STRUCTURE OR STRUCTURES LIKELY TO FOSTER
4	COOPERATION, COORDINATION, AND ALIGNMENT AND THE ABILITY OF THE
5	CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT'S CURRENT MEDICAID
6	COORDINATED CARE SYSTEM TO IMPLEMENT THE PAYMENT PROJECT,
7	INCLUDING THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE TO THE CONTRACTOR OF THE
8	STATE DEPARTMENT'S CURRENT MEDICAID COORDINATED CARE SYSTEM
9	AND THE TECHNOLOGICAL INFRASTRUCTURE REQUIRED; AND
10	(F) THE ABILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE
11	DEPARTMENT'S CURRENT MEDICAID COORDINATED CARE SYSTEM TO
12	COORDINATE AMONG PROVIDERS OF PHYSICAL HEALTH CARE, BEHAVIORAL
13	HEALTH CARE, ORAL HEALTH CARE, AND THE SYSTEM OF LONG-TERM CARE
14	SERVICES AND SUPPORTS.
15	(III) FOR PAYMENT PROJECTS NOT SELECTED BY THE STATE
16	DEPARTMENT, THE STATE DEPARTMENT SHALL RESPOND TO THE
17	CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT'S CURRENT MEDICAID
18	COORDINATED CARE SYSTEM, IN WRITING, ON OR BEFORE JULY 1, 2013,
19	STATING THE REASON OR REASONS WHY THE PAYMENT PROJECT WAS NOT
20	SELECTED. THE STATE DEPARTMENT SHALL SEND A COPY OF THE RESPONSE
21	TO THE JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY, THE
22	HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES COMMITTEE OF THE SENATE, OR ANY
23	SUCCESSOR COMMITTEE, AND THE HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE
24	OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, OR ANY SUCCESSOR COMMITTEE.
25	(d) (I) THE PAYMENT PROJECTS SELECTED FOR THE PROGRAM
26	SHALL BE FOR A PERIOD OF TWO TO FIVE YEARS, AND THE PROVIDER
27	CONTRACT SHALL SPECIFY THE PAYMENT METHODOLOGY UTILIZED IN THE

-6-

1	PAYMENT PROJECT.
2	(II) THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 25.5-5-408 DO NOT APPLY TO
3	THE RATE-CALCULATION PROCESS FOR PAYMENTS MADE TO MCES
4	PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION.
5	(III) MCES PARTICIPATING IN THE PILOT PROGRAM ARE SUBJECT
6	TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 25.5-5-404 (1) (k) AND (1) (l), AS
7	APPLICABLE.
8	(IV) PAYMENTS MADE TO MCES UNDER THE PILOT PROGRAM
9	SHALL ACCOUNT FOR PROSPECTIVE, LOCAL COMMUNITY OR HEALTH
10	SYSTEM COST TRENDS AND VALUES, AS MEASURED BY QUALITY AND
11	SATISFACTION MEASURES, AND SHALL INCORPORATE COMMUNITY COST
12	EXPERIENCE AND REPORTED ENCOUNTER DATA TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE
13	TO ADDRESS REGIONAL VARIATION AND IMPROVE LONGITUDINAL
14	PERFORMANCE.
15	(V) NOTWITHSTANDING ANY PROVISIONS OF THIS SECTION OR
16	STATE BOARD RULES TO THE CONTRARY, IT IS THE INTENT OF THE GENERAL
17	ASSEMBLY THAT TOTAL PAYMENTS, ADJUSTMENTS, AND INCENTIVES WILL
18	BE BUDGET-NEUTRAL WITH RESPECT TO STATE EXPENDITURES. THE STATE
19	DEPARTMENT SHALL NOT ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH A PROVIDER
20	PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION IF THE STATE DEPARTMENT ESTIMATES THAT
21	TOTAL PAYMENTS TO THE PROVIDER WILL BE GREATER THAN WITHOUT THE
22	CONTRACT.
23	(3) PILOT PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS SHALL PROVIDE DATA AND
24	INFORMATION TO THE STATE DEPARTMENT AND ANY DESIGNATED
25	EVALUATOR CONCERNING HEALTH OUTCOMES, COST, PROVIDER
26	PARTICIPATION AND SATISFACTION, CLIENT SATISFACTION, AND ANY
27	OTHER DATA AND INFORMATION NECESSARY TO EVALUATE THE EFFICACY

-7- 1281

1	OF THE PAYMENT METHODOLOGY.
2	(4) (a) THE STATE DEPARTMENT SHALL SUBMIT A REPORT TO THE
3	JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY, THE HEALTH AND
4	HUMAN SERVICES COMMITTEE OF THE SENATE, OR ANY SUCCESSOR
5	COMMITTEE, AND THE HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE OF THE
6	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, OR ANY SUCCESSOR COMMITTEE, AS
7	FOLLOWS:
8	(I) On or before February 1, 2013, concerning the design
9	AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PILOT PROGRAM, INCLUDING A DESCRIPTION
10	OF ANY PAYMENT PROJECTS RECEIVED BY THE STATE DEPARTMENT AND
11	THE TIME FRAME FOR IMPLEMENTATION;
12	(II) On or before September 15, 2014, concerning the pilot
13	PROGRAM AS IMPLEMENTED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO AN ANALYSIS
14	OF THE INITIAL DATA AND INFORMATION CONCERNING THE UTILIZATION OF
15	THE PAYMENT METHODOLOGY, QUALITY MEASURES, AND THE IMPACT OF
16	THE PAYMENT METHODOLOGY ON HEALTH OUTCOMES, COST, PROVIDER
17	PARTICIPATION AND SATISFACTION, AND PATIENT SATISFACTION; AND
18	(III) On or before September 15, 2015, and each September
19	15 THAT THE PROGRAM IS BEING IMPLEMENTED, CONCERNING THE
20	PROGRAM AS IMPLEMENTED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO AN ANALYSIS
21	OF THE DATA AND INFORMATION CONCERNING THE UTILIZATION OF THE
22	PAYMENT METHODOLOGY, INCLUDING AN ASSESSMENT OF HOW THE
23	PAYMENT METHODOLOGY DRIVES PROVIDER PERFORMANCE AND
24	PARTICIPATION AND THE IMPACT OF THE PAYMENT METHODOLOGY ON
25	QUALITY MEASURES, HEALTH OUTCOMES, COST, PROVIDER SATISFACTION,
26	AND PATIENT SATISFACTION, COMPARING THOSE OUTCOMES ACROSS ALL

PATIENTS UTILIZING EXISTING STATE DEPARTMENT DATA.

27

-8-

2	PAYMENT METHODOLOGIES, THE STATE DEPARTMENT MAY COLLABORATE
3	WITH A NONPROFIT ENTITY OR AN INSTITUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION TO
4	ANALYZE AND VERIFY DATA AND INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM PILOT
5	PARTICIPANTS AND TO EVALUATE QUALITY MEASURES AND THE COST
6	EFFECTIVENESS OF THE PAYMENT REFORMS.
7	(5) The state department shall seek any federal
8	AUTHORIZATION NECESSARY TO IMPLEMENT THE PILOT PROGRAM.
9	(6) The state department may promulgate any rules
10	NECESSARY TO IMPLEMENT THE PILOT PROGRAM.
11	25.5-5-416. Report concerning efficient contracting in
12	managed care - legislative declaration - repeal. (1) The General
13	ASSEMBLY FINDS AND DECLARES THAT THE STATE DEPARTMENT
14	ADMINISTERS A WIDE VARIETY OF CONTRACTS THAT ARE AUTHORIZED
15	PURSUANT TO THIS PART 4. EACH CONTRACT REQUIRES A SEPARATE
16	ADMINISTRATIVE INFRASTRUCTURE AND THE COMMITMENT OF STATE
17	DEPARTMENT RESOURCES. STREAMLINING AND SIMPLIFYING THE
18	ADMINISTRATIVE STRUCTURE MAY MAKE THE STATE DEPARTMENT MORE
19	EFFICIENT AND ALLOW THE STATE DEPARTMENT TO FOCUS MORE
20	RESOURCES ON IMPROVING VALUE IN HEALTH CARE.
21	(2) On or before January 1, 2013, the state department
22	SHALL REPORT TO THE JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE OF THE GENERAL
23	ASSEMBLY, THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES COMMITTEE OF THE
24	SENATE, OR ANY SUCCESSOR COMMITTEE, AND THE HEALTH AND
25	ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, OR ANY
26	SUCCESSOR COMMITTEE, CONCERNING:
27	(a) AN ASSESSMENT OF THE POLICY GOAL AND EFFICACY OF EACH

1 (b) FOR PURPOSES OF EVALUATING THE PILOT PROGRAM AND

-9- 1281

1	TYPE OF CONTRACT ADMINISTERED PURSUANT TO THIS PART 4;
2	(b) A COMPARISON OF THE POLICY GOAL WITH THE RELATIVE
3	AMOUNT OF ADMINISTRATIVE COST NECESSARY TO APPROPRIATELY
4	MANAGE EACH PROGRAM; AND
5	(c) RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY FOR
6	STATUTORY OR OTHER CHANGES NECESSARY TO STREAMLINE AND
7	SIMPLIFY CONTRACTS AUTHORIZED PURSUANT TO THIS PART 4.
8	(3) This section is repealed, effective July 1, 2013.
9	SECTION 3. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 25.5-5-402, add (6),
10	(7), and (8) as follows:
11	25.5-5-402. Statewide managed care system. (6) FOR REQUESTS
12	FOR PROPOSALS OCCURRING ON AND AFTER JANUARY 1, 2015, THE
13	DEPARTMENT SHALL ALLOW GLOBAL PAYMENT FOR HEALTH BENEFITS AND
14	SERVICES PROVIDED TO MEDICAL ASSISTANCE CLIENTS PURSUANT TO
15	SECTIONS 25.5-5-404 (1) (k) AND (1) (l), 25.5-5-406 (2), AND PARAGRAPH
16	(b) OF SUBSECTION (2) OF THIS SECTION. THE STATE DEPARTMENT SHALL
17	HAVE THE DISCRETION TO DETERMINE WHICH PROPOSALS SATISFY THE
18	REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL AND ARE APPROPRIATE FOR THE STATE'S
19	COORDINATED CARE SYSTEM.
20	(7) An entity that provides for the coordination and
21	INTEGRATION OF CARE WITHIN THE STATE DEPARTMENT'S MEDICAID
22	COORDINATED CARE SYSTEM, THAT SUBMITS A PAYMENT PROJECT FOR A
23	GLOBAL PAYMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 25.5-5-415 OR SECTION
24	25.5-5-406, SHALL SEEK PROPOSALS FROM EACH ESSENTIAL COMMUNITY
25	PROVIDER IN THE REGION IN WHICH THE ENTITY HAS CLIENTS FOR THOSE
26	SERVICES THAT THE ESSENTIAL COMMUNITY PROVIDER PROVIDES OR IS
27	CAPABLE OF PROVIDING. TO ASSIST AN ENTITY THAT PROVIDES FOR THE

-10-

1	COORDINATION AND INTEGRATION OF CARE WITHIN THE STATE
2	DEPARTMENT'S MEDICAID COORDINATED CARE SYSTEM IN SEEKING
3	PROPOSALS FROM ESSENTIAL COMMUNITY PROVIDERS WITHIN THE
4	ENTITY'S CLIENT REGION, THE STATE DEPARTMENT SHALL PROVIDE THE
5	ENTITY WITH A LIST OF ESSENTIAL COMMUNITY PROVIDERS WITHIN THE
6	ENTITY'S CLIENT REGION. THE ENTITY THAT PROVIDES FOR THE
7	COORDINATION AND INTEGRATION OF CARE WITHIN THE STATE
8	DEPARTMENT'S MEDICAID COORDINATED CARE SYSTEM SHALL CONSIDER
9	SUCH PROPOSALS IN GOOD FAITH AND SHALL, WHEN DEEMED REASONABLE
10	BY THE ENTITY, BASED ON THE NEEDS OF ITS CLIENTS, CONTRACT WITH
11	ESSENTIAL COMMUNITY PROVIDERS. EACH ESSENTIAL COMMUNITY
12	PROVIDER SHALL BE WILLING TO NEGOTIATE ON REASONABLY EQUITABLE
13	TERMS WITH THE ENTITY THAT PROVIDES FOR THE COORDINATION AND
14	INTEGRATION OF CARE WITHIN THE STATE DEPARTMENT'S MEDICAID
15	COORDINATED CARE SYSTEM. EACH ESSENTIAL COMMUNITY PROVIDER
16	MAKING A PROPOSAL TO THE ENTITY THAT PROVIDES FOR THE
17	COORDINATION AND INTEGRATION OF CARE WITHIN THE STATE
18	DEPARTMENT'S MEDICAID COORDINATED CARE SYSTEM SHALL BE ABLE TO
19	MEET THE CONTRACTUAL REQUIREMENTS OF THE ENTITY. THE
20	REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SUBSECTION (7) DO NOT APPLY TO A MANAGED
21	CARE ORGANIZATION WITHIN AN ENTITY THAT PROVIDES FOR THE
22	COORDINATION AND INTEGRATION OF CARE WITHIN THE STATE
23	DEPARTMENT'S MEDICAID COORDINATED CARE SYSTEM THAT OPERATES
24	ENTIRELY AS A GROUP MODEL HEALTH MAINTENANCE ORGANIZATION.
25	(8) IN SELECTING GLOBAL PAYMENT PROJECTS PURSUANT TO
26	SECTION 25.5-5-415 OR SECTION 25.5-5-406, THE DEPARTMENT SHALL
27	GIVE PREFERENCE TO THOSE PAYMENT PROJECTS IN WHICH AN ENTITY

-11-

1	THAT PROVIDES FOR THE COORDINATION AND INTEGRATION OF CARE
2	WITHIN THE STATE DEPARTMENT'S MEDICAID COORDINATED CARE SYSTEM
3	HAS EXECUTED CONTRACTS FOR SERVICES WITH ONE OR MORE ESSENTIAL
4	COMMUNITY PROVIDERS UNLESS CONTRACTING WITH ESSENTIAL
5	COMMUNITY PARTNERS RESULTS IN A NET INCREASE IN THE COST OF
6	PROVIDING SERVICES UNDER THE PAYMENT PROJECT. THE FACT THAT A
7	GLOBAL PAYMENT PROJECT INCLUDES COST-BASED REIMBURSEMENTS TO
8	FEDERALLY QUALIFIED HEALTH CENTERS, AS DEFINED IN THE FEDERAL
9	"SOCIAL SECURITY ACT," SHALL NOT NEGATIVELY AFFECT AN ENTITY
10	THAT PROVIDES FOR THE COORDINATION AND INTEGRATION OF CARE
11	WITHIN THE STATE DEPARTMENT'S MEDICAID COORDINATED CARE SYSTEM
12	IN THE SELECTION PROCESS FOR GLOBAL PAYMENT PROJECTS PURSUANT TO
13	SECTION 25.5-5-415 OR SECTION 25.5-5-406.
14	SECTION 4. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 25.5-5-403, add (2.5)
15	as follows:
16	25.5-5-403. Definitions. As used in this part 4, unless the context
17	otherwise requires:
18	(2.5) "GLOBAL PAYMENT" MEANS A POPULATION-BASED PAYMENT
19	MECHANISM THAT IS CONSTRUCTED ON A PER-MEMBER, PER-MONTH
20	CALCULATION. GLOBAL PAYMENTS SHALL ACCOUNT FOR PROSPECTIVE
21	LOCAL COMMUNITY OR HEALTH SYSTEM COST TRENDS AND VALUE, AS
22	MEASURED BY QUALITY AND SATISFACTION METRICS, AND SHALL
23	INCORPORATE COMMUNITY COST EXPERIENCE AND REPORTED ENCOUNTER
24	DATA TO THE GREATEST EXTENT POSSIBLE TO ADDRESS REGIONAL
25	VARIATION AND IMPROVE LONGITUDINAL PERFORMANCE. RISK
26	ADJUSTMENTS, RISK-SHARING, AND ALIGNED PAYMENT INCENTIVES MAY
27	BE UTILIZED TO ACHIEVE PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT. THE RATE

-12-

1	CALCULATIONS FOR GLOBAL PAYMENT ARE EXEMPT FROM THE PROVISIONS
2	OF SECTION 25.5-5-408. AN ENTITY THAT USES GLOBAL PAYMENT
3	PURSUANT TO SECTION 25.5-5-406 SHALL MEET THE APPLICABLE
4	FINANCIAL SOLVENCY REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 25.5-5-406(1)(k) AND
5	(1) (1).
6	SECTION 5. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 25.5-5-406, add (2)
7	as follows:
8	25.5-5-406. Required features of managed care system.
9	(2) (a) After January 1, 2015, the state department shall open
10	FOR COMPETITIVE BID THE STATE DEPARTMENT'S MEDICAID COORDINATED
11	CARE SYSTEM WITHIN REGIONS OF THE STATE. BEFORE ISSUING A REQUEST
12	FOR PROPOSAL, THE STATE DEPARTMENT SHALL ANALYZE THE REGIONS OF
13	THE STATE TO DETERMINE THE APPROPRIATE NUMBER OF CARE
14	COORDINATION CONTRACTS THAT SHOULD BE AWARDED. FURTHER,
15	BEFORE ISSUING A REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL, THE STATE DEPARTMENT
16	SHALL ALSO ANALYZE THE APPROPRIATE NUMBER OF CARE COORDINATION
17	CONTRACTS IN EACH REGION OF THE STATE.
18	(b) Nothing in this subsection (2) shall delay the
19	IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MEDICAID PAYMENT REFORM AND INNOVATION
20	PILOT PROGRAM CREATED IN SECTION 25.5-5-415.
21	SECTION 6. Appropriation. (1) In addition to any other
22	appropriation, there is hereby appropriated, to the department of health
23	care policy and financing, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2012, the
24	sum of \$213,079 and 0.8 FTE, or so much thereof as may be necessary,
25	to be allocated for the implementation of this act as follows:
26	(a) \$47,538 and 0.8 FTE for personal services, of which sum
27	\$23,769 is from the general fund and \$23,769 is from federal funds;

-13-

1	(b) \$5,541 for operating expenses, of which sum \$2,771 is from
2	the general fund and \$2,770 is from federal funds; and,
3	(c) \$160,000 for general professional services, of which sum
4	\$80,000 is from the general fund and \$80,000 is from federal funds.
5	SECTION 7. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds,
6	determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate
7	preservation of the public peace, health, and safety.

-14- 1281