

**JBC STAFF FISCAL ANALYSIS
HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE**

CONCERNING A REDUCTION TO THE JUVENILE DETENTION BED CAP, AND MAKING AN APPROPRIATION IN CONNECTION THEREWITH.

Prime Sponsors: Senator Hodge
Representative Ferrandino

JBC Analyst: Amanda Bickel
Phone: 303-866-2061
Date Prepared: April 11, 2011

Summary of Amendments Made to the Bill After the 04/05/11 Legislative Council Staff Fiscal Note Was Prepared (Amended by the Senate Appropriations Committee 04/07/11)

The Senate Appropriations Committee Report, as adopted by the Senate and included in the reengrossed bill, made a technical correction to paragraph numbering in the appropriation clause. This change had no fiscal impact.

JBC Staff Concurrence with Legislative Council Staff Fiscal Note

Concurs Does Not Concur Updated Analysis

Reason for the Update

Consistent with a Joint Budget Committee common policy, the Legislative Council Staff Fiscal Note does not include items usually addressed through the annual budget process and centrally appropriated in the Long Bill or supplemental appropriations in the table on the front of the Fiscal Note. These include employee insurance, supplemental employee retirement payments, and shift differential. However, this bill is part of the Joint Budget Committee's balancing package and part of the annual budget process. Thus, the appropriations clause includes these items. This explains the difference between the table on the front of the Legislative Council Staff Fiscal Note and the appropriations clause in the bill.

Amendments/Appropriation Status

The bill includes an appropriation clause that reduces the appropriation to the Department of Human Services by \$1,078,828 General Fund and 8.3 FTE for FY 2011-12.

Bill Sponsor Amendments

Staff is not aware of any sponsor amendments to be offered.

Points to Consider

The Joint Budget Committee (JBC) has introduced a balanced budget package for FY 2011-12 based on the March 2011 Office of State Planning and Budgeting revenue forecast. The JBC has included as part of its plan the General Fund savings that would result from the passage of this bill, as introduced. Thus, if this bill does not become law with at least \$1,078,828 in General Fund savings for FY 2011-12, General Fund expenditures will need to be reduced elsewhere in the FY 2011-12 budget by \$1,078,828.