JBC STAFF REVISED FISCAL ANALYSIS HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

(Reflects Amendment Adopted by the House Appropriations Committee 04/19/11)

CONCERNING THE INCIDENTAL USE OF PROPERTY OWNED BY A FRATERNAL ORGANIZATION OR VETERANS' ORGANIZATION THAT IS EXEMPT FROM PROPERTY TAX, AND MAKING AN APPROPRIATION IN CONNECTION THEREWITH.

Prime Sponsors: Representative Acree JBC Analyst: Carolyn Kampman

Senator Brophy Phone: 303-866-2061 Date Prepared: April 25, 2011

<u>Summary of Amendments Made to the Bill After the 01/13/11 Legislative Council Staff Fiscal Note Was Prepared (Amended by the House Appropriations Committee 04/19/11)</u>

The House Appropriations Committee adopted amendment J.001 on April 19, 2011. This amendment adds an appropriation clause, which is described below in the "Amendments/Appropriation Status" section.

JBC Staff Concurrence with Legislative Council Staff Fiscal Note

vvv	Concurs*	Does Not Concur	Undeted Analysis
XXX	Concurs*	Does Not Concur	Updated Analysis

Amendments/Appropriation Status

The bill, as amended by the House Appropriations Committee on April 19, 2011, includes an appropriation clause that provides \$1,664 General Fund to the Department of Education for FY 2011-12 for the State Share of Districts' Total Program Funding.

Bill Sponsor Amendments

Staff is not aware of any sponsor amendments to be offered.

Points to Consider

The Joint Budget Committee has introduced a balanced budget package for FY 2011-12 based on the March 2011 Office of State Planning and Budgeting forecast. The budget package appropriates all General Fund revenues projected to be available, less a statutorily required four percent General Fund reserve. This bill requires a General Fund appropriation of \$1,664 for FY 2011-12. If this bill is enacted, other General Fund appropriations for existing programs will need to be reduced by \$1,664 in order to maintain the statutorily required General Fund reserve.

^{*}Page one of the Legislative Council Staff Fiscal Note indicates that the expenditure required by this bill could be either from the General Fund or the State Education Fund (SEF). However, it is not clear that including an appropriation of moneys from the SEF to offset the loss of local property tax revenues that would result from a statutory change is consistent with the authorized uses of SEF moneys that are listed in Section 17 (4) of Article IX of the State Constitution.