## Second Regular Session Sixty-seventh General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

## PREAMENDED

This Unofficial Version Includes Committee Amendments Not Yet Adopted on Second Reading HOUSE BILL 10-1394

LLS NO. 10-1006.01 Jery Payne

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

Rice,

Scheffel,

## SENATE SPONSORSHIP

House Committees Business Affairs and Labor **Senate Committees** 

# A BILL FOR AN ACT

101 CONCERNING COMMERCIAL LIABILITY INSURANCE POLICIES ISSUED TO

102 CONSTRUCTION PROFESSIONALS.

#### **Bill Summary**

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at http://www.leg.state.co.us/billsummaries.)

In General Security Indemnity Company of Arizona v. Mountain States Mutual Casualty Company, 205 P.3d 529 (Colo. App. 2009), the court excluded claims for certain construction defects claims and imposed no obligation to defend in a contractor's professional liability insurance policy. **Section 1** of the bill imposes the following rules of contract construction to guide a court in such cases:

- A court should presume that: Compliance with a construction professional's objective, reasonable expectations is intended; the entire policy is to be effective and read as a whole; a just and reasonable result is intended; ambiguity in a policy is to be construed in favor of coverage; a result that renders a part of coverage illusory is not intended; and the work of a construction professional that results in property damage is an accident unless the property damage is intended and expected by the insured.
- ! When weighing conflicting provisions, the court should construe the contract to favor coverage.
- ! The insurer bears the burden of proving that a policy provision limits or bars coverage.

Section 2 prohibits a professional liability insurer from excluding or limiting coverage of acts arising before the policy was issued unless the insured knows of defects that have a likelihood to subject the insurer to damages and fails to disclose this to the insurer. A policy that conflicts with section 2 is unenforceable.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:
 SECTION 1. Part 8 of article 20 of title 13, Colorado Revised
 Statutes, is amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SECTION to
 read:
 13-20-808. Insurance policies issued to construction
 professionals. (1) (a) THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY FINDS AND DETERMINES
 THAT:

8 (I) THE INTERPRETATION OF INSURANCE POLICIES ISSUED TO 9 CONSTRUCTION PROFESSIONALS IS OF VITAL IMPORTANCE TO THE 10 ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL WELFARE OF THE CITIZENS OF COLORADO AND IN 11 FURTHERING THE PURPOSES OF THIS PART 8.

(II) INSURANCE POLICIES ISSUED TO CONSTRUCTION
 PROFESSIONALS HAVE BECOME INCREASINGLY COMPLEX, OFTEN
 CONTAINING MULTIPLE, LENGTHY ENDORSEMENTS AND EXCLUSIONS

-2-

1 CONFLICTING WITH THE REASONABLE EXPECTATIONS OF THE INSURED.

2 (III) THE CORRECT INTERPRETATION OF COVERAGE FOR DAMAGES
3 ARISING OUT OF CONSTRUCTION DEFECTS IS IN THE BEST INTEREST OF
4 INSURERS, CONSTRUCTION PROFESSIONALS, AND PROPERTY OWNERS.

(b) THE

5

(b) THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY DECLARES THAT:

6 (I) THE POLICY OF COLORADO FAVORS THE INTERPRETATION OF
7 INSURANCE COVERAGE BROADLY FOR THE INSURED.

8 (II) THE LONG-STANDING AND CONTINUING POLICY OF COLORADO
9 FAVORS A BROAD INTERPRETATION OF AN INSURER'S DUTY TO DEFEND THE
10 INSURED UNDER INSURANCE POLICIES AND THAT THIS DUTY IS A
11 FIRST-PARTY BENEFIT TO AND CLAIM ON BEHALF OF THE INSURED.

(III) THE DECISION OF THE COLORADO COURT OF APPEALS IN *GENERAL SECURITY INDEMNITY COMPANY OF ARIZONA V. MOUNTAIN STATES MUTUAL CASUALTY COMPANY*, 205 P.3d 529 (COLO. APP. 2009) DOES NOT
PROPERLY CONSIDER A CONSTRUCTION PROFESSIONAL'S REASONABLE
EXPECTATION THAT AN INSURER WOULD DEFEND THE CONSTRUCTION
PROFESSIONAL AGAINST AN ACTION OR NOTICE OF CLAIM CONTEMPLATED
BY THIS PART 8.

(IV) FOR THE PURPOSES OF GUIDING PENDING AND FUTURE
ACTIONS INTERPRETING LIABILITY INSURANCE POLICIES ISSUED TO
CONSTRUCTION PROFESSIONALS, WHAT HAS BEEN AND CONTINUES TO BE
THE POLICY OF COLORADO IS HEREBY CLARIFIED AND CONFIRMED IN THE
INTERPRETATION OF INSURANCE POLICIES THAT HAVE BEEN AND MAY BE
ISSUED TO CONSTRUCTION PROFESSIONALS.

25 (2) FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION:

26 (a) "INSURANCE" HAS THE SAME MEANING AS SET FORTH IN
27 SECTION 10-1-102, C.R.S.

-3-

(b) "INSURER" HAS THE SAME MEANING AS SET FORTH IN SECTION
 10-1-102, C.R.S.

3 (c) "INSURANCE POLICY" MEANS A CONTRACT OF INSURANCE. 4 (3) IN INTERPRETING AN INSURANCE POLICY ISSUED TO A 5 CONSTRUCTION PROFESSIONAL, A COURT SHALL PRESUME THAT: 6 (a) COMPLIANCE WITH A CONSTRUCTION PROFESSIONAL'S 7 **OBJECTIVE, REASONABLE EXPECTATIONS IS INTENDED;** 8 (b) THE ENTIRE POLICY IS TO BE EFFECTIVE AND IS INTENDED TO BE 9 READ HARMONIOUSLY AS A WHOLE; 10 (c) A JUST AND REASONABLE RESULT IS INTENDED: 11 (d) AMBIGUITY OR UNCERTAINTY IN THE INTERPRETATION OF A 12 POLICY IS TO BE CONSTRUED IN FAVOR OF COVERAGE; 13 (e) AN INTERPRETATION THAT RENDERS A COVERAGE PROVISION 14 ILLUSORY IS NOT INTENDED; AND 15 (f) THE WORK OF A CONSTRUCTION PROFESSIONAL THAT RESULTS 16 IN PROPERTY DAMAGE, INCLUDING DAMAGE TO THE WORK ITSELF, OTHER 17 WORK, OR PROPERTY, IS AN ACCIDENT UNLESS THE PROPERTY DAMAGE IS 18 INTENDED AND EXPECTED FROM THE STANDPOINT OF THE INSURED.

19 (4) (a) IN CONSTRUING AN INSURANCE POLICY TO MEET A
20 CONSTRUCTION PROFESSIONAL'S OBJECTIVE, REASONABLE EXPECTATIONS,
21 THE COURT SHALL CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING:

(I) THE OBJECT SOUGHT TO BE OBTAINED BY THE CONSTRUCTION
 PROFESSIONAL IN THE PURCHASE OF THE INSURANCE POLICY; AND

24 (II) WHETHER A CONSTRUCTION DEFECT HAS RESULTED, DIRECTLY
25 OR INDIRECTLY, IN BODILY INJURY, PROPERTY DAMAGE, OR LOSS OF THE
26 USE OF PROPERTY.

27 (b) IN CONSTRUING AN INSURANCE POLICY TO MEET A

-4-

CONSTRUCTION PROFESSIONAL'S OBJECTIVE, REASONABLE EXPECTATIONS,
 A COURT MAY CONSIDER AND GIVE WEIGHT TO THE FOLLOWING:

3 (I) ANY WRITING GENERATED OR RELIED UPON BY THE INSURER OR 4 ITS PARENT OR SUBSIDIARY COMPANY, AND ANY WRITING GENERATED BY 5 AN INSURANCE RATING OR POLICY DRAFTING ORGANIZATION EXCEPT 6 WRITINGS PROTECTED BY THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE, SUCH AS THE 7 INSURANCE SERVICES OFFICE, INC., OR ITS PREDECESSOR OR SUCCESSOR 8 ORGANIZATION, THAT PERTAINS TO THE POLICY PROVISION IN DISPUTE; 9 EXCEPT THAT THE WRITING SHALL NOT BE USED TO RESTRICT, LIMIT, 10 EXCLUDE, OR CONDITION COVERAGE OR THE OBLIGATION OF THE INSURER 11 BEYOND THAT WHICH IS REASONABLY INFERRED FROM THE WORDS USED 12 IN THE INSURANCE CONTRACT; AND

(II) WRITINGS BY THE INSURER OR ITS PARENT OR SUBSIDIARY
COMPANY CONCERNING COVERAGE.

15 (5) IF AN INSURANCE POLICY PROVISION THAT APPEARS TO GRANT
16 OR RESTORE COVERAGE CONFLICTS WITH AN INSURANCE POLICY
17 PROVISION THAT APPEARS TO EXCLUDE OR LIMIT COVERAGE, THE COURT
18 SHALL CONSTRUE THE INSURANCE POLICY TO FAVOR COVERAGE IF
19 REASONABLY AND OBJECTIVELY POSSIBLE.

20 (6) IF AN INSURER DISCLAIMS OR LIMITS COVERAGE UNDER AN
21 INSURANCE POLICY ISSUED TO A CONSTRUCTION PROFESSIONAL, THE
22 INSURER SHALL BEAR THE BURDEN OF PROVING BY A PREPONDERANCE OF
23 THE EVIDENCE THAT:

(a) ANY POLICY'S LIMITATION, EXCLUSION, OR CONDITION IN THE
INSURANCE POLICY BARS OR LIMITS COVERAGE FOR THE INSURED'S LEGAL
LIABILITY IN AN ACTION OR NOTICE OF CLAIM CONCERNING A
CONSTRUCTION DEFECT; AND

1394

-5-

(b) ANY EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITATION, EXCLUSION, OR
 CONDITION IN THE INSURANCE POLICY DOES NOT RESTORE COVERAGE
 UNDER THE POLICY.

4 (7) (a) AN INSURER'S DUTY TO DEFEND A CONSTRUCTION
5 PROFESSIONAL OR OTHER INSURED UNDER A LIABILITY INSURANCE POLICY
6 ISSUED TO A CONSTRUCTION PROFESSIONAL SHALL BE TRIGGERED:

7 (I) BY A POTENTIALLY COVERED LIABILITY DESCRIBED IN THE
8 NOTICE OF CLAIM MADE PURSUANT TO SECTION 13-20-803.5; OR

9 (II) BY A POTENTIALLY COVERED LIABILITY DESCRIBED IN THE 10 COMPLAINT, CROSS -CLAIM, COUNTERCLAIM, OR THIRD-PARTY CLAIM FILED 11 IN AN ACTION AGAINST THE CONSTRUCTION PROFESSIONAL CONCERNING 12 A CONSTRUCTION DEFECT.

(b) AN INSURER SHALL NOT DENY ITS DEFENSE OF AN INSURED
14 CONSTRUCTION PROFESSIONAL UNLESS AUTHORIZED BY LAW. AN INSURER
15 SHALL NOT WITHDRAW ITS DEFENSE OF AN INSURED CONSTRUCTION
16 PROFESSIONAL UNLESS AUTHORIZED BY LAW AND UNLESS THE INSURER
17 HAS RESERVED THE RIGHT IN WRITING WHEN ACCEPTING THE DEFENSE
18 OBLIGATION.

SECTION 2. Part 1 of article 4 of title 10, Colorado Revised
Statutes, is amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SECTION to
read:

10-4-110.4. Exclusion - claims involving loss in progress not
known to insured. (1) For purposes of this section, "actual
KNOWLEDGE" MEANS THE ACTUAL KNOWLEDGE OF ONLY:

(a) A CORPORATE OFFICER, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
MANAGER, PRINCIPAL, PARTNER, OR OWNER OF THE INSURED
CONSTRUCTION PROFESSIONAL; OR

-6-

(b) A PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR INSURANCE MATTERS ON BEHALF
 OF THE INSURED CONSTRUCTION PROFESSIONAL.

3 (2) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (3) OF THIS SECTION, AN 4 INSURER SHALL NOT ISSUE A LIABILITY INSURANCE POLICY TO A 5 CONSTRUCTION PROFESSIONAL THAT INCLUDES A PROVISION THAT 6 EXCLUDES OR LIMITS COVERAGE UNDER THE POLICY FOR ONE OR MORE 7 CLAIMS ARISING FROM BODILY INJURY, PROPERTY DAMAGE, ADVERTISING 8 INJURY, OR PERSONAL INJURY THAT OCCURRED BEFORE THE INCEPTION 9 DATE OF THE POLICY AND IS OTHERWISE AFFORDED COVERAGE BY THE 10 POLICY.

(3) AN INSURER SHALL NOT ISSUE A LIABILITY INSURANCE POLICY
TO A CONSTRUCTION PROFESSIONAL THAT EXCLUDES OR LIMITS COVERAGE
UNDER THE POLICY FOR ONE OR MORE CLAIMS ARISING FROM BODILY
INJURY, PROPERTY DAMAGE, ADVERTISING INJURY, OR PERSONAL INJURY
THAT OCCURRED BEFORE THE INCEPTION DATE OF THE POLICY UNLESS THE
EXCLUSION OR LIMITATION APPLIES TO THE FOLLOWING:

17 (a) THE INSURED HAD ACTUAL KNOWLEDGE BEFORE THE 18 INCEPTION DATE OF THE POLICY OF THE MANIFESTATION OF THE BODILY 19 INJURY, PROPERTY DAMAGE, ADVERTISING INJURY, OR PERSONAL INJURY; 20 THE INSURED HAD ACTUAL KNOWLEDGE BEFORE THE (b) 21 INCEPTION DATE OF THE POLICY OF THE REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT 22 THE INSURED HAS A LEGAL OBLIGATION TO PAY DAMAGES TO ANOTHER 23 PERSON BECAUSE OF A LOSS ARISING OUT OF THE BODILY INJURY, 24 PROPERTY DAMAGE, ADVERTISING INJURY, OR PERSONAL INJURY; AND 25 (c) THE INSURED KNOWINGLY FAILED TO DISCLOSE KNOWLEDGE

26 DESCRIBED IN PARAGRAPHS (a) AND (b) OF THIS SUBSECTION (1) IN
27 RESPONSE TO THE INSURER'S WRITTEN REQUEST FOR THE INFORMATION

1394

-7-

1 BEFORE THE INSURER ISSUED THE POLICY.

2 (4) IN AN ACTION ARISING OUT OF AN INSURANCE POLICY
3 PROVISION DESCRIBED IN THIS SECTION, THE INSURER SHALL BEAR THE
4 BURDEN OF PROVING BY A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE:

5 (a) THAT THE INSURANCE POLICY PROVISION IS NOT SUBJECT TO
6 SUBSECTION (2) OF THIS SECTION; AND

7 (b) THE MATERIAL FACTS DESCRIBED BY PARAGRAPHS (a) TO (c)
8 OF SUBSECTION (3) OF THIS SECTION.

9 (5) ANY PROVISION IN AN INSURANCE POLICY ISSUED IN VIOLATION
10 OF THIS SECTION IS VOID AND UNENFORCEABLE AS AGAINST PUBLIC
11 POLICY. A COURT SHALL CONSTRUE AN INSURANCE POLICY CONTAINING
12 A PROVISION THAT IS UNENFORCEABLE UNDER THIS SECTION AS IF THE
13 PROVISION WAS NOT A PART OF THE POLICY WHEN THE POLICY WAS ISSUED.
14 (6) THIS SECTION APPLIES ONLY TO INSURANCE POLICIES THAT

15 COVER THE WORK OF A CONSTRUCTION PROFESSIONAL.

SECTION 3. Applicability. This act applies to all insurance
 policies currently in existence or issued on or after the effective date of
 this act.

SECTION 4. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds,
 determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate
 preservation of the public peace, health, and safety.