Initiative #117 State Spending

1 Proposition ? proposes amending the Colorado statutes to:

- allow the state to keep and spend all revenue it collects through June 30, 2026;
- raise the limit on the amount the state may keep and spend beginning July 1, 2026; and
 - ♦ require that any money the state keeps over its existing limit be spent on education, transportation projects, mental health services, and senior services, rather than refunding the money to taxpayers.

Summary and Analysis

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

State spending limit. A state constitutional provision (TABOR) limits the amount of revenue the state can spend or save each year. This amount is adjusted annually for inflation and population growth. In 2005, voters approved a measure allowing the state to keep and spend all revenue it collected between 2006 and 2010. Beginning in 2010, the same measure increased the revenue limit. Any revenue collected above the current limit must be refunded to taxpayers. For example, in 2015, the state collected \$169.7 million more than allowed by the limit. This amount was refunded on 2015 state income tax returns.

About 37 percent of state revenue is subject to the limit, including most taxes and fees. The remaining 63 percent of state revenue is not subject to the limit and includes voter-approved revenue increases, revenue collected by government-owned businesses, and revenue from the federal government.

State revenue limit under Proposition ?. The measure suspends the revenue limit for ten years, allowing the state to keep and spend all revenue it collects between July 1, 2016, and June 30, 2026. Beginning July 1, 2026, Proposition ? limits revenue

based on the highest amount of money the state collects in any year between 2017 and 2026. This new Proposition? limit must be adjusted annually for inflation

and population growth. After

2026, any revenue collectedabove the Proposition ? limit will

33 be refunded to taxpayers.

Proposition? does not affect annual income tax refunds. Taxpayers who pay more income tax than they owe receive an income tax refund after they file their annual tax returns. Refunds for overpayment of income taxes are unaffected by Proposition?.

1st Draft

Use of revenue through June 30, 2026. For the next ten years, any state revenue kept as a result of Proposition? will be spent on the following:

- at least 35 percent must be spent on public education, including early childhood, K-12, vocational schools, colleges, and universities;
- at least 35 percent must be spent on transportation projects, including highways, bridges, and mass transit; and
- the remaining amount, if any, must be spent on mental health services and senior services.

If Proposition? does not pass, any revenue above the current limit will be refunded to taxpayers.

Use of revenue beginning July 1, 2026. Proposition? raises the limit on the amount the state may keep and spend beginning July 1, 2026. Any revenue kept after this date as a result of the new Proposition? limit will be used for the purposes listed above. If Proposition? does not pass, any revenue above the current limit will be refunded to taxpayers. In either case, any revenue collected above the new Proposition? limit will be refunded to taxpayers.

Amount of money affected by Proposition ?. The state is not expected to collect revenue above its current limit in 2016 or 2017. For 2018, the state is expected to collect \$267.7 million¹ above the limit. If this amount is refunded, each full-year Colorado taxpayer will receive a refund of approximately \$80¹ on average. If this amount is spent as outlined in the measure, education and transportation programs are each required to receive at least \$93.7 million, and could receive more. Any amount not spent on education or transportation must be spent on mental health services and/or senior services.

For all future years, the amounts affected by the measure will depend on the state's economic performance. When the state economy performs well, collection of revenue over the existing limit is more likely. When the economy worsens, revenue may fall below the limit.

For information on those issue committees that support or oppose the measures on the ballot at the November 8, 2016, election, go to the Colorado Secretary of State's elections center web site hyperlink for ballot and initiative information:

http://www.sos.state.co.us/pubs/elections/Initiatives/InitiativesHome.html

¹These numbers are based on the March 2016 Legislative Council Staff forecast and the 2016 budget package signed by the Governor. Projections will be revised to reflect expectations at the time of the Blue Book's publication.

Arguments For

- 1) One of the government's most important functions is to provide students with a high-quality education. Colorado currently ranks near the bottom among states in per-pupil spending on both K-12 and higher education, while it ranks near the top in household income. Allowing the state to spend money it is already collecting on education is a decision that demonstrates a commitment to Colorado's students and the future of the state.
- 2) A state-of-the-art transportation system is vital to our state's economy and quality of life. Roads and mass transit allow Coloradans to sell their products, purchase goods, get to work, and travel the state. Vehicle travel on Colorado's highways nearly doubled over the past 25 years, and congestion may hamper future economic growth. Forty percent of Colorado's highways are in poor condition, and 17 percent of its bridges are failing. By investing revenue Colorado already collects in transportation, Proposition? helps our state realize its economic potential.

Arguments Against

- 1) Proposition? is effectively a tax increase. The measure eliminates any tax refunds required by the Colorado Constitution for at least ten years. Taxpayers are being asked to give up potential refunds to pay for programs that can already be funded in the state budget. Over the past 25 years, state government revenue has significantly outpaced inflation and population growth. Any perceived shortfall in education and transportation funding is the result of prioritizing state spending on other areas of government. The measure assumes that tax refunds are better spent by the government than by its citizens.
- 2) Even if the state keeps revenue as a result of the measure, Proposition? does not guarantee that the amounts spent on education or transportation will increase. The broad spending categories outlined in the measure cover a large percentage of the state budget. Revenue that the state keeps could replace current spending on education or transportation, allowing increased spending for any government program.

Estimate of Fiscal Impact

- 30 (Please Note: A summary of the fiscal impact will be included in this space in the second draft of the analysis, and an official fiscal note will be prepared and placed on the web when the final blue heads is contained.
- 32 the web when the final blue book is sent to voters.)