

**Initiative #88
2,000-foot Oil and Gas Setback Requirement**

1 **Amendment ? proposes amending the Colorado Constitution to:**

- 2 ♦ require that all new oil and gas wells be located at least 2,000 feet from
3 an occupied structure; and
- 4 ♦ allow the owner of an occupied structure to waive the setback
5 requirement.

6 **Summary and Analysis**

7 ***Setback requirements for oil and gas wells.*** Current state regulations,
8 adopted in 2013, require that oil and gas wells and production facilities not be located
9 closer than:

- 10 • 500 feet from a home or other occupied building;
11 • 350 feet from a playground or other outdoor activity area; and
12 • 1,000 feet from high occupancy buildings such as schools, health
13 care institutions, correctional facilities, and child care centers, as
14 well as neighborhoods with at least 22 buildings.

15 This distance requirement, or setback, may be waived by the surface or building
16 owner.

17 Amendment ? requires that all new oil and gas wells be located at least
18 2,000 feet, or almost 0.4 miles, in any direction from any existing occupied structure,
19 unless the owner of the occupied structure waives the setback requirement.

20 ***State regulation of oil and gas.*** The Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation
21 Commission (commission) establishes and enforces regulations on oil and gas
22 development in Colorado. The commission is charged with promoting oil and gas
23 development while protecting public health and preventing negative environmental
24 impacts. The commission is also responsible for protecting surface land owners from
25 unreasonable damage caused by oil and gas development and ensuring that surface
26 owners are consulted about the location of drill pads and roads.

27 ***Mineral ownership in Colorado.*** In Colorado, surface land and the
28 underlying oil and gas resource may be owned by different parties. When this occurs,
29 surface owners are legally required to provide mineral owners with reasonable access
30 to their resources. Under existing regulations, the commission consults with surface
31 owners regarding their concerns prior to issuing drilling permits.

1 **Improved oil and gas extraction technologies.** Oil and gas operators
2 currently use hydraulic fracturing to increase production on roughly 90 percent of wells
3 drilled in Colorado. Hydraulic fracturing, or "fracking," involves injecting a mixture of
4 water, chemicals, and sand into geologic formations containing oil or natural gas. This
5 process fractures the formation and enhances the flow of oil or natural gas into the
6 well. Although hydraulic fracturing has been used in Colorado since the 1940s, the
7 technology has improved and become more prevalent as an efficient means to access
8 oil and gas in deep rock formations. Wells are also drilled horizontally to improve their
9 efficiency.

10 **Oil and gas production in Colorado.** Colorado's oil and gas industry
11 generated about \$8.9 billion in oil and gas production value in 2012. According to the
12 U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, the industry accounted for about 27,600 direct jobs
13 including drilling, extraction, and product transportation. This represents about
14 1.2 percent of total jobs in the state and about 2.5 percent of total wages and salaries.

15 Improved oil and gas extraction technologies have led to substantial
16 production increases in Colorado. Oil production more than doubled between 2008
17 and 2013, with much of the new production occurring near populated areas in
18 northeastern Colorado.

19 **Local concerns about oil and gas production.** The increasing use of
20 hydraulic fracturing has raised concerns in some communities about potential
21 contamination of ground water, risks to local air quality, increased noise, and vehicle
22 traffic. While discharges and emissions are regulated by the state and federal
23 governments, uncertainty remains about the health impacts of these operations.

*For information on those issue committees that support or oppose the
measures on the ballot at the November 4, 2014, election, go to the
Colorado Secretary of State's elections center web site hyperlink for ballot
and initiative information:*

<http://www.sos.state.co.us/pubs/elections/Initiatives/InitiativesHome.html>

24 **Arguments For**

25 1) Expanding the setback requirement for new oil and gas wells helps
26 respond to health and safety concerns associated with rapidly growing production. Oil
27 and gas wells release pollutants into the environment, and the measure helps keep
28 this pollution away from homes, schools, and hospitals while still allowing oil and gas
29 development to occur.

30 2) Expanding the setback requirement for new oil and gas wells helps protect
31 property values. Production facilities can be an eyesore, and drilling increases traffic
32 and noise. By keeping oil and gas drilling away from occupied structures, the

1 measure helps protect property owners. It also empowers property owners to
2 negotiate mitigation measures like sound barriers before allowing wells within the
3 setback area.

4 **Arguments Against**

5 1) Oil and gas development is important to Colorado's economy. By
6 increasing the setback from occupied structures, this measure will make it more
7 difficult and expensive to access the state's mineral resources, leading to decreased
8 oil and gas production. This will diminish the benefits the oil and gas industry
9 provides, including jobs, royalty payments, and tax revenue. Further, by placing the
10 setback in the constitution, the measure limits the state's ability to respond to
11 changing conditions and technology and undermines the state's collaborative
12 rulemaking process.

13 2) This measure may impair the property rights of mineral owners.
14 Amendment ? increases the setback area for any new well from about 18 to 288
15 acres. It does not require that mineral owners be compensated if the setback
16 requirement makes it more costly or impossible to develop their resources. This may
17 lead to court challenges from mineral owners, who expect to be able to make use of
18 their property.

19 **Estimate of Fiscal Impact**

20 This analysis assumes that the measure will not impact oil and gas wells that
21 are currently producing, or the revenue derived from these existing wells. Based on
22 the potential amount of surface area affected by increased setbacks, the measure is
23 expected to reduce the number of new oil and gas wells drilled in Colorado. However,
24 it is not possible to quantify the decrease because it depends on:

- 25 • the location of potential well sites relative to occupied structures;
- 26 and
- 27 • the extent to which structure owners agree to waive setback
- 28 requirements.

29 **Revenue.** Under current law, oil and gas activities contribute a sizable amount
30 of revenue to state and local governments. This measure is expected to result in a
31 reduction in oil and gas drilling that will cause either slower growth in state tax revenue
32 attributable to oil and gas production or a decline. Similarly, the measure will also
33 result in either slower growth in local tax revenue from oil and gas production or a
34 decline.

35 **Expenditures.** Workload and expenditures will increase for the Colorado Oil
36 and Gas Conservation Commission (COGCC) in the Department of Natural
37 Resources for approximately one budget year after the measure takes effect, as the
38 COGCC will need to amend its oil and gas drilling rules to conform with the measure.