# Initiative #89 Local Government Regulation of Environment

	Amendment	? proposes ame	ending the Color	ado Constitution to:
--	-----------	----------------	------------------	----------------------

<b>*</b>	create a right to the environment for Colorado's residents and
	declare Colorado's environment, including clean air, pure water, and
	natural and scenic values to be common property;

- establish state and local governments as trustees of the environment charged with conserving the environment; and
- allow local governments to enact laws more restrictive and protective of the environment than state laws in order to facilitate environmental conservation.

### **Summary and Analysis**

Common property. Amendment? lists clean air, pure water, and natural and scenic values as elements of Colorado's environment, and declares the environment to be the common property of the state's residents. Common property can have a variety of meanings. One example of common property is public access to a resource that is not owned or managed by an individual or entity, such as fish in rivers where fishing rights have not been established. Another example is land in which all members of the community hold equal rights, such as a plot where community members may graze livestock. All land and most water in Colorado is owned by either a private individual or a government. It is unclear how the measure's declaration of the environment as common property will impact existing property rights, either in scope or enforcement.

Federal and state environmental protections. The mission of the federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is to protect human health and the environment, including air, water, and land. Together, the EPA and state, local, and tribal agencies enforce environmental laws. States that administer federal laws, like Colorado, are required to adopt laws that are at least as stringent as national standards. Certain industrial activities are exempt from some of the federal government's environmental standards. To address environmental problems, the EPA uses incentives, monitoring, and enforcement tools.

The Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment has environmental responsibilities that include protecting and improving the quality of land, air, and water; hazardous and solid waste management; and pollution prevention. The Colorado Department of Natural Resources is responsible for managing the water, land, wildlife, mineral, energy, geology, and outdoor recreation resources of the state. Both agencies have authority to adopt rules, after a public input period, concerning the regulation of certain environmental matters.

# 1st Draft

Amendment? would give new responsibilities to state and local governments to act as trustees charged with conserving the environment. A trustee typically acts as a guardian of property for another's benefit.

**Role of local governments**. Colorado currently has about 340 counties, cities, and towns that have the authority to make certain decisions about how land within their boundaries is used. For example, cities, towns, and counties can regulate zoning, noise, access, and visual impacts. State laws may take precedence over conflicting local ordinances in matters of statewide concern. Amendment? allows local governments to enact laws more restrictive or protective of the environment than those at the state level. Where such laws are in conflict with state law, the more restrictive and protective law governs.

**Pennsylvania constitutional environmental protections.** In 1971, Pennsylvania adopted a constitutional provision similar to Amendment?. The Pennsylvania Supreme Court recently used the provision in December 2013 to rule unconstitutional laws that required local governments to allow for state-regulated oil and gas development.

For information on those issue committees that support or oppose the measures on the ballot at the November 4, 2014, election, go to the Colorado Secretary of State's elections center web site hyperlink for ballot and initiative information:

http://www.sos.state.co.us/pubs/elections/Initiatives/InitiativesHome.html

#### Arguments For

- 1) Cities, towns, and counties are better suited for regulating land use and resource development, since they are able to account for local impacts not addressed by state law. Development that might be suitable for one area of the state is not necessarily suitable in all areas of the state. Amendment ? allows local communities to make their own priorities concerning environmental preservation and economic development.
- 2) Amendment ? allows for stronger protection of the environment where existing state and federal protections prove to be inadequate. The environment is a finite resource requiring stewardship. By empowering local governments to enact sensible regulations that protect the environment, Amendment ? allows for a reasonable balance between natural resource development and environmental conservation.

## Arguments Against

1

2

3

4

5

6

12

- 1) Amendment? is vague and overly broad, leaving the state vulnerable to potentially sweeping impacts on long-established property and water rights. The measure does not define key terms or explain what it means to have a right to the environment. Placing new, unclear responsibilities on state and local governments may require these governments to act against the interests of property owners.
- 2) Amendment? threatens to harm the state's economy by allowing local governments to create a confusing patchwork of laws that hinder business development. The federal and state governments already have an effective framework in place to protect the environment while allowing for the development of natural resources. Amendment? jeopardizes this regulatory balance.

# Estimate of Fiscal Impact

- 13 (Please Note: A summary of the fiscal impact will be included in this space in the
- second draft of the analysis, and an official fiscal note will be prepared and placed on
- the web when the final blue book is sent to voters.)