2nd Draft

Use of Colorado Water Streams

1 Amendment __ proposes amending the Colorado Constitution to:

- expand public ownership to all waters in the state's natural streams;
 - make the public ownership of natural streams legally superior to all water rights, contracts, and property law, and prohibit the state from transferring its water rights;
- grant unrestricted public access in and along any natural stream up to
 the naturally wetted high water mark;
- require that all branches of Colorado government protect and enforce
 the public's interest in water; and

Summary and Analysis

Overview of Colorado's water law. A water right provides a water user the right to divert water from a stream for a legally recognized purpose, such as for agricultural, industrial, domestic, and power generation purposes. Over 150,000 water rights are currently owned by farmers, municipalities, homeowners, and other water users in Colorado. According to Colorado law, a water right is a real property interest that is separate from the land. This allows water to be moved across the state from where it occurs naturally to where it is used. Under current law, water is also administered during droughts and seasonal shortages based on the seniority of a water right. The earlier the date of the initial water use from a stream, also called an appropriation, the more "senior" the water right and the more valuable it is. Some of Colorado's most senior water rights date to the 1850s when the first appropriators used water for farms and mining operations.

Under the Colorado Constitution, water that is not claimed by a water right owner, called unappropriated water, is the property of the public; however, most of Colorado's water has been appropriated. Water rights have either been granted for most Colorado stream flows or the water is legally owed to downstream states. This measure extends the public's ownership to all waters in natural streams, including waters that have been appropriated by public and private water rights owners. It also gives the public interest a priority over all other water uses in Colorado, including water used for irrigation and municipal, industrial, and residential purposes. Under this measure, a water right owner may be required to limit his or her water use to protect the natural environment and the public's enjoyment and use of water.

2nd Draft

State's role in allocation of water. Currently, the state is responsible for the administration of water by stopping water uses that injure water rights or are not being used beneficially. Water courts determine new water rights and approve changes of water rights and plans to protect water rights from new uses. The state has the unique authority to obtain water rights that help protect minimum stream flows and lake levels and provides funding for water development projects and studies. The state is also authorized to enforce federal clean water requirements and regulate water pollution discharges from municipal water treatment facilities, mining operations, oil and gas development, and other sources. This measure requires that all branches of Colorado government protect and enforce the public's rights and interest in water.

Public access to Colorado streams. Under current law, the beds and banks of larger, navigable streams are owned by the state and are generally open to boaters and anglers. If a stream is smaller and nonnavigable, the owner of the adjoining property owns the beds and banks of the stream. Current law is silent on whether land owners may exclude boaters on nonnavigable streams if they do not touch the beds or banks. This measure requires that the public be allowed access to all streams and stream banks in the state up to the "naturally wetted high water mark of the stream." It also declares that the natural streams and their banks are public highways for commerce and public use.

For information on those issue committees that support or oppose the measures on the ballot at the November 6, 2012, election, go to the Colorado Secretary of State's elections center web site hyperlink for ballot and initiative information:

www.sos.state.co.us/pubs/elections/Initiatives/InitiativesHome.html

Arguments For

- 1) Amendment __ gives the citizens of Colorado more influence over water allocation decisions by granting any Colorado citizen the right to sue to enforce the provisions of the amendment. The current system prioritizes historical water uses regardless of whether the use is in the public interest. This measure ensures that citizens have access to the court to defend the public's ownership rights and interests in its waters.
- 2) Amendment __ opens all public waters that face restrictions under current law to recreational activities such as fishing, rafting, and wading. Under current law, private property owners may be able to prohibit recreational water users from touching the bed or banks of streams on private property, making many of these activities not possible. Some of the state's best fisheries and white water are on private property and are closed to the public. Amendment __ would allow public access to the bed and banks of all streams in the state.

3) The state's existing water laws and public health and environmental protection laws are insufficient to protect the environment and the public's interest in water. Under Amendment __, the state would be required to protect the public's enjoyment and use of water, which may provide better water quality and leave more water in streams for recreation and wildlife.

Arguments Against

- 1) The measure creates a currently undefined public interest and makes it superior to all water rights in the state, which may make it difficult for water users to plan for the future. A reliable water supply is essential to the health and safety of all citizens in the state, as well as to the economy. The measure may lead to litigation and possible reallocation of long-held existing water rights, including those held by water utilities serving residential and commercial customers, agricultural water users, and industrial users. As a result, the cost of providing a secure water supply may increase.
- 2) Amendment __ creates regulatory uncertainty as the state's numerous laws to protect water availability, water quality, recreational use, public access, and the environment are reinterpreted through the courts. The measure broadly requires protection of the public's use and enjoyment of waters. However, rafters use and enjoy water differently than farmers, and the measure does not clarify which use is more beneficial to the public's interest, or offer any remedy when the public's use and enjoyment of water inevitably changes.
- 3) Amendment __ allows unrestricted public access to streams, creating issues for watershed protection, public safety, liability, and damage to sensitive habitat. The measure negatively impacts the rights of private and public property owners and takes away their ability to limit access to streams flowing across their property even if there are no recreational opportunities available or if such access impacts sensitive habitat. As a result, conflicts between property owners and the public may increase.

27 Estimate of Fiscal Impact

28 [A summary of the fiscal impact will be provided in the third draft.]