Use of Colorado Water Streams

Amendment	proposes amending the Colorado Constitution to:

- create a public trust doctrine for Colorado's water by granting the public certain ownership rights in the waters of the state's natural streams;
- make the public ownership of water legally superior to all water rights, contracts, and property law, and prohibit the state from transferring its water rights;
- grant unrestricted public access to any natural stream up to the
 naturally wetted high water mark;
 - require that all branches of Colorado government protect and enforce the public's interest in water; and
- grant every Colorado citizen the right to sue the state for failing to
 enforce the provisions in the measure.

Summary and Analysis

 Overview of Colorado's water law. Over 150,000 [to be confirmed] water rights are currently owned by farmers, municipalities, homeowners, and other water users in Colorado. According to Colorado law, a water right is a property interest that is separate from the land. This allows water to be moved across the state from where it occurs naturally to where it is needed. Current law also allocates water during droughts and seasonal shortages based on the seniority of a water right, called the doctrine of prior appropriation. The earlier the date of the initial water use from a stream, also called an appropriation, the more "senior" the water right and the more valuable it is. Some of Colorado's most senior water rights date to the 1860s when the first appropriators used water for farms and mining operations. More recently, Colorado has allowed water rights to be granted for environmental and recreational purposes.

State's role in allocation of water. The Colorado Department of Natural Resources' Division of Water Resources and the state's water courts are primarily responsible for the allocation of water in Colorado. The division administers waters in the state according to the priority system by stopping water uses that injure senior water rights or are not being used beneficially. Water court judges determine new water rights and approve changes of water rights and plans to protect senior water rights from new uses. A water judge may also order a water user to obey an order from the Division of Water Resources to cease injury to senior water rights or to cease diversions that are not being used beneficially. Another state agency, the Colorado Water Conservation Board, has the unique authority to obtain water rights that help protect minimum stream flows and lake levels, called instream flow rights.

Effect on water rights under Amendment ___. Amendment ___ creates a public trust doctrine to protect the public's interest in water. Water used for the environment and public health is granted a priority over all other water uses in Colorado, including water used for irrigation and municipal, industrial, and residential purposes. Consequently, any water right owner may be required to limit his or her water use if it would harm the natural environment or human health.

Public access to Colorado streams. This measure requires that the public be allowed access to all streams and stream banks in the state up to the high water mark of the stream. It also declares that the natural streams and their banks are public highways for commerce and public use. Under current law, the beds and banks of larger, navigable streams are owned by the state and are generally open to boaters and anglers. If a stream is smaller and nonnavigable, the owner of the adjoining property owns the beds and banks of the stream and may prohibit public access to the stream.

Arguments For

- 1) Amendment _ gives the citizens of Colorado more influence over water allocation decisions by granting every Colorado citizen the right to sue the state for failing to enforce the provisions of the amendment. The current system prioritizes historical water uses over newer water uses for the environment and recreation. This measure helps ensure that citizens have access to the court to defend these new and important water uses.
- 2) Amendment _ opens all streams that face restrictions under current law to recreational activities such as fishing, rafting, and wading. Under current law, private property owners may prohibit recreational water users from touching the bed or banks of streams on private property, making many of these activities not possible. Many of the state's best fisheries and white water are on private property and are closed to the public. Amendment _ would allow public access to the bed and banks of all streams in the state.
- 3) The state's existing water laws are insufficient to protect the environment and the public's interest in water. The water rights for the state's instream flow program are generally junior rights and may not prevent senior water right holders from using water during water shortages. Colorado's current water law system has been in place since the 1800s and needs to be updated to address new challenges that the state's water use is placing on the environment and public health.

Arguments Against

1) Having a reliable water supply is important to the health and safety of all citizens in the state, as well as to the state's economy. Amendment __ creates uncertainty in the state's water supply system and may overburden the judicial system with the number of legal challenges likely to occur as a result of this measure. The

measure creates a super water right over all water rights in the state which may lead to litigation and possible reallocation of long-held existing water rights, including those held by water utilities serving residential and commercial customers, agricultural water users, and industrial users. Moreover, the measure may also lead to litigation over the meaning of undefined key phrases in the amendment such as "public estate," "natural streams," and "naturally wetted high water mark."

- 2) Amendment __ negatively impacts the rights of private property owners. The measure puts long-held water rights into jeopardy, many of which are essential to the economic livelihood and lifestyle of water right holders. It also limits the ability of private landowners to restrict access to streams flowing across their property even if there are no recreational opportunities available or if such access impacts sensitive habitat. As a result, conflicts between property owners and the public may increase.
- 3) Amendment __ is unnecessary because there are already sufficient laws to protect water availability, quality, and the environment. The state already has an "instream flow" program under which water rights are provided to keep minimum flows in stream segments and in natural lakes to preserve or improve the natural environment. Water quality is protected through the federal Clean Water Act, and the state has water quality programs that monitor and report on the quality of state waters, issue discharge permits to prevent water pollution, and ensure that safe drinking water is provided from all public water systems.