

The law treats unborn children one of two ways: treats them as property, like a dog or a slave, or treats them as human beings with human rights.

Roe v Wade treats unborn children as disposable property and affirms a right to decide to kill a child.

Anti-abortion regulations treat unborn children as disposable property and affirm a right to decide to kill a child, within limits.

"Heartbeat bills" treat unborn children at 20 weeks as "valuable property" limiting disposal but treat younger unborn children as disposable, inhuman clumps of cells, denying the humanity of any unborn and affirming a right to decide to kill any child under 20 weeks.

Personhood and Personhood-compliant regulations treat unborn children as human beings with human rights, denying anybody an imaginary "right" to kill unborn human beings, just as Personhood for slaves denied the imaginary "right" to own human beings.

The fewer people who are invested in the abortion industry, the better, right?

Yes, each anti-abortion regulation has the effect of moving some women to keep their babies. What about the other women??

An informed consent law "saves some babies" but makes the women who decide to carry through *invested* – she knows she's killing a human being with feelings, heartbeat, etc. but will do it anyway because the law (*our pro-life law!*) affirms her right to choose.

Parental consent laws "save some babies" but makes parents who choose to support their daughter's "choice" willing partners in the murder of their grandchild. Those who are invested in abortion are also invested in opposing pro-life laws!

Regulating abortion clinics — offering them a license for passing inspection — brings the **GOVERNMENT** in as a partner to make sure unborn children are killed and disposed of *properly*!

Why Compromised Regulations Backfire

Unalterable truth: Government cannot regulate something people have no right to do. Anti-abortion regulations *inherently* bolster the legal fiction that women have a right to dispose of unborn children as property, so long as they do so "properly" (as the government sees it).

The public also sees regulations as politicians bickering over where to draw the line on what is "merely a policy issue."

By contrast, Personhood forces the public to consider whether unborn children are human beings, deserving of human rights – a matter of principle! Principles are always more inspiring and motivating when presented to the public.

Examples of GOOD Regulations Which Uphold the Sanctity of Life

Principled Fetal Crimes Laws
Divesting Pension Funds from Abortion Industry
Parental Involvement in Medical Care
Bans on Destructive Embryonic Research

Prohibiting Insurance Coverage for Abortion
Prohibiting Public Funding of Abortion
Regulating ALL Medical Facilities - most facilities already abide by
health standards – abortion clinics impacted w/o affirming abortion

Do the Math! Personhood is the ONLY strategy that makes sense!

Ultimately, arguing for Personhood – the inviolable right to life for every human being by nature of their being human – is the only way to significantly impact public opinion on the short term and to end abortion on the long term.

Colorado Right to Life ran a Personhood ballot measure in 2008 which got 500,000 votes (27%). Colorado Right to Life and Personhood Colorado ran a Personhood ballot measure in 2014 which got 700,000 votes (36%). That's 200,000 more Coloradans who *changed their minds* in the past 6 years and decided that *all abortions should be banned!!!* And that's despite NARAL's millions of dollars of anti-Personhood advertising and only thousands of dollars to oppose them. *No other strategy changes hearts & minds like Personhood!*

If regulations save 12,000 babies a year for 20 years that's 240,000 babies saved over 20 years.

If regulations save 100,000 babies a year for 20 years that's 2 million babies saved over 20 years.

If Personhood takes 10 years to pass, but protects 100% of babies from legal abortion, that's 10-20 million babies saved over 20 years!

Personhood will save more babies than regulations in the long term. But pro-lifers are busy pushing regulations instead of Personhood!

Why should pro-lifers waste time and money on regulations when we have a winning strategy – Personhood – that's changing hearts and minds and has already shown a dramatic ability to push public opinion against abortion??!

OFFICIAL ABORTION LICENSE

Herson

This License certifies that the holder has satisfied all REQUIREMENTS OF THE GRIGGS ABORTION LIMITATION ACT,

BY MEANS OF (CHECK ANY THAT APPLY):

- PERMISSION NOTE FROM PARTICIPATING PARENT (APPROVAL CO-SIGNATURE):
- ☐ HAVING WAITED 24 HOURS WITHOUT CHANGING MIND, CAN WE GET THIS DONE, I HAVE A DATE!
- VIEWED ULTRASOUND IMAGE OF MY LIVING, MOVING UNBORN CHILD, STILL DON'T CARE
- □ UNDERSTAND THAT MY UNBORN CHILD CAN FEEL PAIN, STILL DON'T CARE

AND WITH HARDENED HEART STILL CHOOSING TO FOLLOW THROUGH WITH A LEGAL SURGICAL ABORTION, SHE IS BY APPOINTMENT WITH A TRAINED MEDICAL PROFESSIONAL ACCREDITED BY THE STATE OF JEFFERSON BY AUTHOR-THE HOLDER HAVING MET AT LEAST ONE REQUIREMENT OF THE GRIGGS ABORTION LIMITATION ACT. EMPOWERED AND ENABLED BY THIS PRO-LIFE LEGISLATURE TO END THE LIFE OF HER UNBORN CHILD TY OF THE STATE AND THE UNDERSIGNED APPROVING AUTHORITY.

A Modest Proposal - "The Abortion License" © 2010 Ed Hanks - www.lookonthenightside.com (an attempt to show sincere pro-life legislators the unintended consequences of regulating evil.)

Lexter Grags

Hon. Lester Griggs (pro-life legislator)