

## State-Based Marketplaces Look for Financing Stability in Shifting Landscape

(/about-us/experts/miskell-sean) (/about-us/experts/giovannelli-justin) (/about-us/experts/luciakevin) (/about-us/experts/corlette-sabrina)

## Thursday, May 14, 2015

By Sean Miskell (/about-us/experts/miskell-sean), Justin Giovannelli (/about-us/experts/giovannelli-justin), Kevin Lucia (/about-us/experts/lucia-kevin) and Sabrina Corlette (/about-us/experts/corlette-sabrina)

## **Toplines**

- (#) S#ate-based marketplaces now have to raise their own revenue for operations
- Predicting marketplace revenue is challenging because of fluctuations in enrollment & (#) premiums

Over the past few months, state-based health insurance marketplaces have navigated a <u>largely successful (http://www.hhs.gov/news/press/2015pres/03/20150310a.html)</u> second open enrollment period and a <u>mostly uneventful (http://cms.gov/Newsroom/MediaReleaseDatabase/Press-releases/2015-Press-releases-items/2015-04-01.html)</u> first tax season for marketplace consumers. Yet state-based marketplaces continue to face important decisions, such as determining the size of their operating budgets and how to finance them.

Since the fall of 2010, state-based marketplaces have received approximately \$4.3 billion (http://kff.org/health-reform/state-indicator/total-exchange-grants/) in establishment funds under the Affordable Care Act. These grants were limited-time offers; as of January 1, 2015, no new funds

Of the state-based marketplaces reevaluating their approaches to financing, some are turning to state appropriations to help bridge the gap. Rhode Island's marketplace has requested \$6.2 million (http://www.budget.ri.gov/Documents/CurrentFY/BudgetVolumeI/1\_Department Of Administration.pdf) in state funding for the upcoming fiscal year. State officials there also proposed a number of strategies (https://s3.amazonaws.com/s3.documentcloud.org/documents/1364492/final-fy-2016-hsri-budget-transmission-1.pdf) for the marketplace to raise its own revenue, including a broad-based assessment currently pending in the governor's budget (http://www.governor.ri.gov/budget/) and proposed legislation (http://webserver.rilin.state.ri.us/BillText15/HouseText15/Article-028.pdf).

However, the state budget process involves its own complex politics and tradeoffs. For example, marketplace officials in Washington State have tentatively <u>raised the per-member-per-month</u> assessment

(http://wahbexchange.org/files/9614/2911/5992/OC Budget Update - April 2015.pdf) as the state legislature debates reallocating the revenue raised from Washington's 2 percent assessment to budget items apart from the marketplace. In Hawaii, the <a href="legislature granted">legislature granted</a> (http://www.bizjournals.com/pacific/news/2015/05/05/legislature-approves-2m-for-hawaii-health.html) the marketplace \$2 million in supplemental funds, \$8 million less than they had requested. As a result, the board approved a <a href="contingency plan">contingency plan</a> (http://www.hawaiihealthconnector.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/150508-PublicAgenda 15 4 29.pdf) to transfer operations to the federal government, subject to federal approval.

## General Operating Costs Remain Undetermined for Nascent Marketplaces

Marketplace costs are also hard to forecast. During the most recent open enrollment period, marketplace officials in <a href="Colorado">Colorado</a> (<a href="https://connectforhealthco.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/04/February-Service-Center-Budget-Update-Feb-2015.pdf">Center-Budget-Update-Feb-2015.pdf</a>) requested an additional \$2.8 million to handle call center volume while <a href="Minnesota">Minnesota</a> (<a href="https://www.mnsure.org/images/bd-2015-01-28-MNsure-annual-operating-budget-comparison.pdf">Minnesota</a> (<a href="https://www.mnsure.org/images/bd-2015-01-28-MNsure-annual-operating-budget-comparison.pdf">Minnesota</a> (<a href="https://www.mnsure.org/images/bd-2015-01-28-MNsure-annual-operating-budget-comparison.pdf">Minnesota</a> (<a href="https://www.mnsure.org/images/bd-2015-01-28-MNsure-annual-operating-budget-comparison.pdf</a>) plans to spend more on outreach and enrollment than initially proposed.

Information technology has been another source of uncertainty. Marketplaces in <u>Colorado</u> (<a href="http://connectforhealthco.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/04/20150112-Agenda.pdf">http://connectforhealthco.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/04/20150112-Agenda.pdf</a>) and <a href="Massachusetts">Massachusetts (http://bit.ly/1CgwLOB)</a>) have had to spend more money than planned to address technical issues. Meanwhile, <a href="Maryland">Maryland (http://thedailyrecord.com/2015/02/18/maryland-working-on-legal-action-on-health-exchange-problems/">http://thedailyrecord.com/2015/02/18/maryland-working-on-legal-action-on-health-exchange-problems/</a>) and <a href="Hawaii-h

California	Assessment only on plans offered through the marketplace	\$13.95 PMPM	\$13.95 PMPM	
Colorado <sup>1</sup>	Broad-based assessment on plans inside and outside of the marketplace	1.4% plus \$1.80 PMPM broad-based fee	1.4% plus \$1.25 PMPM broad-based fee	The board is considering a range of options to increase its assessment and PMPM fee
Connecticut	Broad-based assessment on plans inside and outside of the marketplace	1.35%	1.35%	
District of Columbia <sup>2</sup>	Broad-based assessment on plans inside and outside of the marketplace	_	1%	
Hawaii	Assessment only on plans offered through the marketplace	2%	2%	The marketplace has asked the board to approve an increase in the issuer fee to 3.5%, effective July 1, 2015, though a contingency plan to transfer operations to the federal government is pending approval
Idaho	Assessment only on plans offered through the marketplace	1.5%	1.5%	The marketplace is raising the premium assessment to 1.99%
Kentucky*	Broad-based assessment on plans inside and outside of the marketplace	1%	1%	
Maryland*	Broad-based assessment on plans inside and outside of the marketplace	2%	2%	
Massachusetts <sup>3</sup>	Assessment only on plans offered through the marketplace	_	2.5%	
Minnesota	Assessment only on plans offered through the marketplace	1.5%	3.5%	
Nevada	Assessment only on plans offered through the marketplace	\$4.95 PMPM	\$13 PMPM	Adopted a 3% fee on the presubsidized premium for QHPs

the approval of the state legislature.

<sup>9</sup> Washington instituted an additional \$4.19 PMPM assessment on marketplace carriers to supplement its share of the state's existing 2% premium tax.

Tags: health insurance marketplace (/publications/blog#f:@ftagsfacet12610=[health insurance marketplace])

Affordable Care Act (/publications/blog#f:@ftagsfacet12610=[Affordable Care Act])