			G. CADITAL CO	NOTE VIOLEN DE	OUEOT FOR EV	2010 10		
				NSTRUCTION RE	QUEST FOR FY 2			
		Richardson Hall Lif Renovation	e Sarety	State 0	Controller Project No.		Name and Email of Preparer:	Erik van de Boogaard- evdb@adams.edu
	Project Year(s):	FY 2012 - 2013		Signature of Dep	artment or Institution Approval:		Date:	
	Agency or Institution:	CDHE: Adams State	e College	Signat	ure CCHE Approval:		Date:	
	Agency or Institution Priority Number:	1		Signat	ture OSPB Approval:		Date:	* .
	vision? Yes Nor s, last submission date:	Total Project Costs	Prior- Year Appropriation(s)	Current Request FY 2012-13	Year 2 Request	Year 3 Request	Year 4 Request	Year 5 Request
A.	Land Acquisition		•				<u> </u>	
_	Land /Building Acquisition	\$0	\$0	. \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	, \$
В.	Professional Services							
(<u>1)</u> (2)	Master Plan/PP Site Surveys, Investigations,	\$0 \$51,578	\$0 \$0	\$0 i \$51,578	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$ \$
3)	Reports Architectural/Engineering/ Basic Services	\$1,950,000	\$0	\$1,150,000	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$0	\$
(4)	Code Review/Inspection	\$38,000	\$0	\$18,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$0	\$
(5)	Construction Management	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
(6)	Advertisements	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
Ĺ	Inflation for Professional Services Inflation Percentage Applied	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
	Other (specialty Consultants)	\$50,700	0.00%	0.00% \$25,700	0.00% \$15,000	0,00% \$10,000	0.00%	0.00
	Total Professional Services	\$2,090,278	\$0	\$1,245,278	\$425,000	\$420,000	\$0	J 9
Ć.	Construction or Improvement	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					·
(1)	Infrastructure	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	(a) Service/Utilities	\$32,488	\$0	\$12,488	\$20,000	\$0	\$0	
	(b) Site Improvements	\$97,463	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$97,463	\$0	
2)	Structure/Systems/ Components							
	(a) New (GSF):4000	\$1,200,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,200,000	\$0	\$0	
	New \$300/GSF			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	(b) Renovate GSF:71,340 Renovate \$180/GSF	\$12,862,480	\$0	\$3,690,000	\$5,486,240	\$3,686,240	\$0	San Santa Sulana ang Santa Sa
(3)		\$207,920	\$0	\$57,920	\$100,000	\$50,000	\$0	T
4)	abatement High Performance Certification	\$0	\$0	\$37,920	\$100,000	\$50,000	\$0	
	Program							
	Inflation for Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Inflation Percentage Applied Total Construction Costs	644 400 254	0,00%		0.00%			
(6) D.	Equipment and Furnishings	\$14,400,351	\$0	\$3,760,408	\$6,806,240	\$3,833,703	\$0	
	<u> </u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,			
_	Equipment	\$859,682	\$0	. \$0	\$429,841	\$429,841	\$0	
(2)	Furnishings Communications	\$453,935 \$193,405	\$0	\$285,450	\$84,242	\$84,243	\$0	
	Inflation on Equipment and	\$193,405	\$0 \$0	\$123,405 \$0	\$35,000 \$0	\$35,000 \$0	\$0 \$0	
	Furnishings) Inflation Percentage Applied	40						
	Total Equipment and	\$1,507,022	0.00% \$0		0.00%			
(3)	Furnishings Cost	\$1,507,022	90	\$408,855	\$549,083	\$549,084	\$0	
E.	Miscellaneous			·				
(1)	Art in Public Places=1% of State Total Construction Costs	\$144,003	\$0	\$37,604	\$68,062	\$38,337	\$0	<u> </u>
(2)	(see SB 10-94) Annual Payment for Certificates	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
<i>(</i> ^.	of Participation	0//0.500						
	Relocation Costs Other Costs [specify]	\$110,500 \$0	\$0 \$0		\$60,500 \$0	\$50,000 \$0	\$0 \$0	
	Other Costs [specify]	\$0	\$0		\$0			
	Other Costs [specify]	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		
(7)	Other Costs [specify]	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		
	Total Misc. Costs	\$254,503	\$0		\$128,562		·	
F. G.	Total Project Costs Project Contingency	\$18,252,154	\$0	\$5,452,145	\$7,908,885	\$4,891,124	\$0	
_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1			1	T
(1) (2)	5% for New 10% for Renovation	\$60,000 \$1,825,215			\$60,000	\$0		
	Total Contingency	\$1,825,215			\$790,889 \$850,889	\$490,112 \$490,112		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
H.		\$20,137,369			\$8,759,774	\$5,381,236	\$0	
11. 1.	Source of Funds	ψευ,101,009	1 30	\$5,550,559	φο,133,174	Ψυ,υα 1,∠υα	1 30	<u> </u>
"	<u> </u>	B00 40→ 000		05.000.000	A0 750 77 .		T	
	CCF CF				\$8,759,774 \$0			
							0	
	RF	= \$0	l \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

CC-C: CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION REQUEST FY 2012-13

1.	SUMMARY INFORMATION	Complete Every Row in this Column
a.	Agency or Institution Name:	Adams State College
b.	Project Name:	Richardson Hall Life Safety Renovation
c.	State Controller Project Number:	
d.	Project's Year (1, 2, etc.):	1 of 1
e.	Date Sent to DHE:	June 30, 2011 revised 4-9-12
f.	Date Sent to OSPB:	August 2011-revised TBD
g.	Date Sent to CDC with copy to JBC:	
h.	Date of Project's Most Recent Program Plan:	July 2006
i.	Date of Governing Board Approval (for institutions of higher education):	8-2009 or
j.	Continuation Project (there is a corresponding project appropriated in prior year)	☐Yes ☐No If yes, list project numbers here: ####
k.	Request 6-month encumbrance waiver?	☐Yes ☐No (If yes, justify below)
1.	Anticipated Project Completion Date:	May 2016 3-Phases
m.	Purpose Code	D1, D3, F2, G5
n.	New construction or modification?	□New ☑Modification
0.	Total Square Footage	<u>51,358</u> ASF <u>71,340</u> GSF
p.	Cost per Square Foot (using construction cost per section C of CC-C form and GSF)	\$300 new and \$189 renovate

2.	TYPE OF REQUEST	"X" <u>All</u> that Apply	Instructions
a.	State-funded Project – Higher Education	X	Requires CDHE then OSPB approval before submission to CDC and JBC. Use CC-C Excel Form and CC-C Word form.
b.	State-funded Project – Non Higher Education		Requires OSPB approval only before submission to CDC and JBC. Use CC-C Excel Form and CC-C Word form.
c.	100% Cash Funded Project for higher education institution participating in the Intercept Program		Requires CDHE approval only before submission to CDC. Use CC-C Excel Form and CC-C Word form.
d.	Under 100% Cash-Funded Project — Higher Education	X	Requires CDHE then OSPB approval before submission to CDC and JBC. Use CC-C Excel Form and CC-C Word form.
e.	Cash-Funded Project – Non Higher Education		Requires OSPB approval only before submission to CDC and JBC. Use CC-C Excel Form and CC-C Word form.
f.	Federally Funded Project		Requires CDHE (if Higher Ed) then OSPB approval before submission to CDC and JBC. Use CC-C Excel Form and Word form.
g.	IT Project		Use CC-IT Excel form and CC-C Word form. Non Higher Ed agencies must approve with OIT before submission to OSPB.

	CRITERIA FOR FY 2010-11 ROJECT	"X" Applicable Item(s)	Describe How Criterion is Met for Marked Items
a.	100% Cash or Federally Funded Project		
b.	Priority #1 for department or #1-5 for DHS		
c.	Meets Priority Criteria for Higher Education		
d.	Project Originally in HJR 08-1042	X	The project was #12 in HJR 08-1042 and was passed over due to lack of available funding of the COP's.
e.	Continuation Project from FY 2010-11 CCF Appropriation		
f.	Statutorily required COP payment for capital construction		
σ'n.	Project requires CDHE approval for program review but does not meet FY 11-12 criteria for submission to OSPB. This request does not have OSPB review.		

4. BRIEF SUMMARY OF FY 2010-11 CAPITAL PROJECT	Enter summary below, this column
State exactly what is requested, why, for how much, over what period of time.	\$20,137,369 for design, construction and FF&E over a three year funding period. The project would select a team in the summer of 2012, begin construction in December 2012 and complete the construction by May 2016.

5. CONTINUATION HISTORY		compl Includ	If this is a continuation project (a project with a former appropriation), complete the following table including all appropriations and expenditures. Include the bill numbers for each appropriation. If not a continuation project, mark here:			
	FY 20 Approp		FY 2008-09 Appropriated	FY 2009-10 Appropriated	Spent to Date	FY 2010-11 Appropriated
Total Funds						
General Fund						
Cash Funds						
Cash Funds Exempt / Reappropriated Funds						
Federal Funds						
Bill Number(s)						

6. OBJECTIVES	Enter summary below, this column		
a. List key objectives of the entire project – big picture This row not applicable as this is a single year project: ☑ N/A	Renovations will tackle all life safety issues and provide structural repairs due to past issues associated with overloading of floor areas and areas not suited for storage in attic spaces. The project will address and enhance the energy efficiency and sustainability of this 86 year old building. Renovation of the existing facility to meet the projected needs of the programs housed within the building will provide for greater utilization of that space and realign the programs to better meet the future needs of the College. Academic and administrative spaces will include teaching laboratories, ITV classrooms, offices and office support spaces as well as the music auditorium. An IT Business Continuity (disaster recover) space allocation within the building will provide the College with the security necessary by providing redundancy and continuity at this second location on campus. The project has been divided into three logical steps to allow the legislature an opportunity to fund over a three year period. However, the project could be accomplished within two years if funding became available.		
b. List key objectives of this year's specific request - detailed	This year's request would provide for the overall design of the building renovation and tackle an initial construction phase for approximately 15,000 GSF of space in the center core of the building primarily addressing the life safety and ADA components of the auditorium. In addition to this scope the project will address the concrete fascia problems which have recently resulted in the need for two covered walkways to building entrances along with fencing off portions of the site due to impending hazards.		

7. ESTIMATED ENTIRE PROJECT TIMETABLE:

Delineate how many years this project crosses from start to finish, describing what portion of the project each year will accomplish.

Steps to be Completed	Start Date(s)	Completion Date(s)	Year
Advertise and Select Team	7/12	9/12	1
Design	9/12	4/13	1
Construction-Phase 1	12/12	7/13	1
Equipment-Phase 1	4/13	7/13	1
Construction Phase 2	7/13	6/14	2
Equipment-Phase 2	4/14	6/14	2
Construction-Phase 3	7/15	5/16	3
Equipment-Phase 3	2/16	5/16	3

8. FY 2010-11 SPECIFIC TIMETABLE:

Delineate the steps that will be taken in FY 2012-13 to complete this project or this phase of the project.

Steps to be Completed	Start Date(s)	Completion Date(s)
Advertise and Select Team	7/12	9/12
Design	9/12	4/13
Construction	12/12	7/13

Equipment	4/13	7/13

9.	IMPACT	Enter summary below, this column
a.	Describe actual impact to program if this year's project is not funded	Not renovating Richardson Hall will require that the existing programs simply try to continue to cope in the existing facility with its current and future limitations. The 86 year old structure has many code deficiencies, structural and the life safety issues that need to be resolved. The college has been told by local fire officials that if there were a fire in this building, that the likelihood of a total loss of property and potentially lives is highly probable given the lack of fire suppression and many wood construction aspects of the building. That given the age and construction type, the probability of fire fighters entering the building if engulfed in flames is likely not to occur and the fire would be fought from the outside only.
b.	Describe how this project will affect State operating expenditures, including dollars and FTE for each project component.	N/A
c.	Describe consistency with Agency or Institutional Master Plan and 5-Year Capital Improvement Plan Schedule, explain variances	This project has been identified as a need for multiple master plans and was one of the few projects not completed under the 1994 master plan. The new master plan update approved by CDHE July 2008, continues to place a priority on this project.

10. JUSTIFICATION

Enter summary below, this column

Fully justify and defend this request. This will be the most lengthy section of the request. Include all necessary detail and specific scope of work. Describe how much space is needed, what types of rooms or equipment are included in the request and why, and illustrate where on campus the project will be executed. Explain what is wrong with the current situation and why a new or different building or capital expenditure is needed. Focus more on why the current facilities are insufficient, less on why the current programs are driving change.

Richardson Hall was constructed in June 1925 as Adams State College's original building and housed the College's classrooms, offices, library, and auditorium. Today, Richardson Hall remains the centerpiece of Adam State's campus as a versatile space, housing a number of community and campus cultural events, academic programs, administrative offices, and other campus wide support programs. The Adams State College Facilities Master Plan is explicit in detailing the need for a major renovation of Richardson Hall to rectify major life safety and other code related issues, structural concerns along with meeting the future needs of the academic and academic support functions located within the facility. Electrical wiring is suspect as many small projects were done within the facility over the years. Renovation of the existing facility to meet the projected needs of the programs housed within the building will provide for greater utilization of that space and realign the programs to better meet the future needs of the College. Academic and administrative spaces will include teaching laboratories, ITV classrooms, offices and office support spaces as well as the music auditorium. An IT Business Continuity (disaster recover) space allocation within the building will provide the College with the security necessary by providing redundancy and continuity at this second location on campus.

The detailed program summary calculates approximately 54,500 assignable square feet (ASF) for which there currently are slightly over 51,000 ASF in the building. Therefore, a small, two-story addition was recommended to provide a new "front door" off the southwest corner of the existing building. This addition will also provide ADA compliant access to Richardson Auditorium and provide a Welcome Center for visitors to campus. Much of the existing building no longer meets current building codes, although a capital project to provide ADA access and compliance to the building was completed in the late 1990's. Most of the plumbing, heating, ventilation, and electrical systems within the building have reached the end of their useful life and are in need of full replacement to provide the occupants of the facility with adequate environmental conditions.

This program plan recommends a complete renovation of the existing building, plus a small addition on the southwest corner. A complete renovation will provide the opportunity to realign spaces and departments to meet the current and future needs of the programs as they have evolved over time. The renovation will also address all current controlled maintenance requirements and include partial replacement of the roof systems, exterior upgrades, and complete replacement of HVAC and electrical infrastructure within the building.

Adams State College is requesting all funds for the renovation of and minor addition to Richardson Hall as capital construction dollars from the State of Colorado.

Richardson Hall is the primary administration building for Adams State College. Dissolution of the Office of State Colleges and decentralization of various responsibilities at State Offices have significantly increased administration demands at Adams State College. Administrative Offices located in Richardson Hall include:

- o Academic Affairs
- o Office of the Provost and Assistant Provost
- o Enrollment Management
- o Finance and Administration
- Student Affairs
- o Counseling and Career Center
- o Upward Bound
- o Office of the President
- Development
- o Luther Bean Museum

The Extended Studies program is housed in Richardson Hall. This program has experienced tremendous growth requiring increased administrative personnel related directly to that program and those who provide secondary administrative support.

The Nursing program is located in Richardson Hall. Current projections indicate continued growth creating increased infrastructure demands for ventilation, related technology, and related office space.

Richardson Hall Auditorium is the performance venue for required large performances for the Music Program. Richardson Hall also serves the community as an important cultural events center. There is no reasonable ADA accessibility to the stage area without significant modifications and/or facilities.

With the array of academic, academic support, and administrative functions

contained within Richardson Hall there are very few alternatives for increasing the utilization of the facility or relocation of programs. As the academic programs continue to grow, it will become more and more difficult to find adequate space to house faculty, staff, and academic delivery facilities within the existing structure. Since the facility has not had substantial renovation for decades, the only real solution to providing adequate space for new paradigms in educational and educational support delivery will be the complete renovation and rehabilitation of this facility. Not renovating Richardson Hall will require that the existing programs simply try to continue
to cope in the existing facility with its current and future limitations as well as
continue to be at high risk for a life safety issue either with air quality and or catastrophic event such as fire.

11. CALCULATIONS	Describe how the numbers on the CC-C Excel form were calculated; describe in this column, FY 2012-13 only. Out years will be requested separately
Assumptions and calculations for land purchase	N/A
Assumptions and calculations for professional services	Based on a percentage of project cost 11% anticipated for A/E, IT, mechanical and electrical engineering. Other fees are for site surveys and reports required for the isolation of the building for requirements related to funding through FML funds and in depth structural analysis, project management fees to assist in administration of the work, for code review and inspections, and asbestos consultants used in testing and clearance monitoring during construction.
Assumptions and calculations for construction	A new estimate was developed based on recent project costs for a similar type of construction project at Western State's Taylor Hall. Estimates were gathered from the contractor doing that project. In June the estimator from Nunn Construction visited ASC's Richardson Hall. He was given a tour and reviewed the program plan. After the visit he was able to draw several comparisons from the WSC project to ours. By taking current cost information from Taylor Hall and applying them to Richardson, we developed the current construction estimate. This real time estimate gives us a better understanding of the costs required to renovate the original campus building.
Provide list of equipment and furnishings to total on CC-C Excel form	The majority of equipment is for the telecom room along with office chairs, desks and related equipment. The cost totaled \$1,290,770 and has been adjusted by 15%, 5% a year since the program was approved. In addition there is a cash equipment for a nursing simulation lab including manikins, computers and software and video monitoring systems for an additional \$378,000
Art in public places: describe what portions of project apply and calculation used. The calculation should apply only to appropriated State funds (typically CCF)	1% of construction cost only.
Discuss all inflation assumptions, as delineated on the CC-C form, by year and by component (professional services, construction or improvement, and equipment and furnishings)	No assumptions at this time.
Discuss HPCP cost assumptions	These are included in the square foot estimates used for Taylor and assumed in this project. The estimate does not break out costs associated with HPCP as all projects strive to achieve this requirement. In discussions with several agencies experiencing cost escalations the range has been 2-5%.

12. CASH FUND PROJE	ECTION				
Does request include cash funds?	⊠Yes	□No (If:	no, proceed to que	estion #13)	
If the project is being financed, describe the terms of the bond, including the length of the bond, the expected interest rate, when the agency plans to go to market, and the expected average annual payment.	□N/A				
Cash Fund Sources Lists (list all separately; projected balances must account for other obligations)	Actual FY 20010-11 Cash Fund Balance	End Fund Balance FY 2010-11	Projected FY 2011-12 End Cash Fund Balance	Projected End Cash Fund Balance FY 2012-13	Projected End Cash Fund Balance FY 2013-14
a. Fund Number:	· 中国的原则是是是				
Cash Funds	\$778,000	\$0	\$0	\$	\$
Described how revenue accrues to the fund		\$340,000 from Federal Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) Grants and \$438,000 in institutional reserve funds. Grant projects for nursing simulation lab complete and due to closed out in November 30, 2010.			
Describe other obligations and of the fund	encumbrances to	N/A			
b. Fund Number:	and a second			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	each at the last of the least o
Cash Funds	\$	9	\$	\$	\$
Described how revenue accrues	to the fund				
Describe other obligations and the fund	encumbrances to				

13. RELATED PROJECTS		Delineate capital construction and controlled maintenance projects for this department, DHS Office, or higher education institution appropriated since FY 2008-09. 100% cash funded projects for higher education do not need to be listed.			
Year	Project #	Item	CCF Cost	Pending Underway, or Requested	
2006	P0612	Plachy Hall Renovation and Addition, Ph 1 of 1	\$ 4,999,974		
2007	P0725	Plachy Hall Renovation and Addition, Ph 1 of 1	\$ 5,194,700		
2006	M06052	Roof Replacement, Various Buildings, Ph 1 of 3	\$ 673,116		
2007	M07021 M08018	Facilities Services Switchgear Replacement, Ph 1 of 1 Plachy Fascia/Soffit Replacement, Ph 1 of 1	\$ 113,586 \$ 568,608		
2009	EM-510	Music Roof Repair	\$ 7,000		
2010	EM-528	Track Subsurface Investigation	\$7,295		
2012	EM-719	Investigate/Repair Stone Roof Fascia at ASC Richardson Hall	\$133,800	Underway	

14. PROGRAM PLAN	
	□No changes □Changes are described below
Describe any changes to this	Cost adjustments based on similar projects being constructed. ASC contacted the
project on the Program Plan,	team performing CMGC services for Taylor Hall at WSC to get accurate up to
Master Plan, or Five Year	date cost information. Taylor Hall and Richardson Hall have very many similar
Plan since its submission to	characteristics.
the Capital Development	Due to the inability to totally vacate the building, it will require a phased approach
Committee	to accomplish the project. It is possible to complete in two years however given
	funding constraints has been broken into three phases.

15. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION			
Provide any additional information to best justify the request.	This project was identified in previous years as a priority by CDHE, CDC and JBC. Due to funding limitations the project was bumped from the COP list. The project as stated is a high life safety project and requires the same attention given to the State Capital Building, Taylor Hall and other projects previously funded and set as priorities within the State.		