

Peg Ackerman

From: Donetta Davidson [davidsondonetta@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, May 04, 2012 2:32 PM
To: Mike Beasley; Michelle Batey; Margaret (Peg) Ackerman
Subject: Fwd: Draft Bill for Special Mobile Machinery Fleet Registration

Here is info from the working group

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Carolyn Ringler <CRingler@douglas.co.us>
Date: May 3, 2012 4:53:20 PM MDT
To: "jonathan.senft@state.co.us" <jonathan.senft@state.co.us>
Cc: Pam Anderson <panderso@co.jefferson.co.us>, "Donetta Davidson (davidsondonetta@gmail.com)" <davidsondonetta@gmail.com>
Subject: Draft Bill for Special Mobile Machinery Fleet Registration

Jonathan,

Per our conversation I am listing some of the areas we feel will have a fiscal impact:

1. If they are wanting to replace the license fee with the \$7.00 this is unacceptable. License Fees on SMM because of the weight could potentially be hundreds or thousands of dollars on each transaction. State wide this could be huge. This would decrease HUTF funds, material fees, clerk hire fees and many additional fees that have been added through statute over the years. Such as the road and bridge (faster bill), CSTARS, insurance program, and medical.
2. On page 3 line 9, they speak about reducing registration fees by 25%. Not sure if they are thinking license fees or the SOT 2% they would owe us. This could potentially be another decrease in revenue. This would affect the schools and all the other special districts we send revenue to.
3. If they are not coming in until the 20th day of the quarter, AFTER the quarter the equipment arrives, they would not be reporting and submitting the 2% SOT (specific ownership tax) until after the vehicle is registered.
 For example, they bring the equipment into Colorado beginning of January, they have until April 20th to come in and register. Is it at that time that they start reporting the 2% monthly SOT. If so, the entities we spread this revenue out to would have to wait 4 months for their money. Huge impact to the special districts.
4. When they talk about a multi-year, are they thinking they would pay the fee once or would the fee be multiplied by the number of years they are registered for. This could potentially be a fiscal impact as well. Not sure what they are wanting.
5. I hope they realize that if the SMM is on a truck or trailer chassis, the equipment must be titled. Hopefully this bill does not eliminate them from having to title and pay the title fees. If so, there is another fiscal impact.

As I stated in our phone conversation, I was unable to contact several of the counties to give me their input. This is input from Douglas and Jefferson County.