

Six Statewide Races
House Resubmitted Plan Ev1

Attachment X

Summary		Including Third Party Candidates			Excluding Third Party Candidates*			Normalized Statewide Average		
		All Races	Democrat	Republican	All Races	Democrat	Republican	Dem.	Rep.	
		65	36	29	65	36	29	50.1%	49.9%	
All Races		45	21	24	45	21	24			
Safe		14	11	3	14	11	3			
Competitive		6	4	2	6	4	2			
Highly Comp										
House District	Incumbent		Democrat	Republican		Democrat	Republican	Diff. from Statewide Average		
			0%	50%	100%	0%	50%	100%		
8	McCann (D)	Safe - D	8	83.3%	14.7%	Safe - D	8	85.0%	15.0%	D + 34.9%
7	Williams (D)	Safe - D	7	79.4%	18.7%	Safe - D	7	80.9%	19.1%	D + 30.9%
10	Kerr (D)/Summers (R)	Safe - D	10	79.0%	19.0%	Safe - D	10	80.7%	19.3%	D + 30.6%
4	Pabon (D)	Safe - D	4	76.9%	20.2%	Safe - D	4	79.2%	20.8%	D + 29.2%
5	Duran (D)	Safe - D	5	75.7%	21.5%	Safe - D	5	77.9%	22.1%	D + 27.8%
2	Ferrandino (D)	Safe - D	2	71.6%	26.0%	Safe - D	2	73.4%	26.6%	D + 23.3%
6	Court (D)	Safe - D	6	67.4%	30.8%	Safe - D	6	68.7%	31.3%	D + 18.6%
13	Hullinghorst (D)	Safe - D	13	66.0%	31.1%	Safe - D	13	68.0%	32.0%	D + 17.9%
34	Soper (D)	Safe - D	34	60.5%	35.1%	Safe - D	34	63.3%	36.7%	D + 13.2%
46	Pace (D)	Safe - D	46	60.0%	37.6%	Safe - D	46	61.5%	38.5%	D + 11.4%
12	Jones (D)	Safe - D	12	59.9%	37.8%	Safe - D	12	61.3%	38.7%	D + 11.3%
9	Miklosi (D)	Safe - D	9	59.8%	37.9%	Safe - D	9	61.2%	38.8%	D + 11.2%
42	Fields (D)	Safe - D	42	59.0%	37.7%	Safe - D	42	61.0%	39.0%	D + 10.9%
61	Wilson (D)	Safe - D	61	58.5%	38.4%	Safe - D	61	60.4%	39.6%	D + 10.3%
41	Todd (D)	Safe - D	41	58.2%	39.0%	Safe - D	41	59.8%	40.2%	D + 9.8%
53	Fischer (D)	Safe - D	53	57.7%	39.2%	Safe - D	53	59.5%	40.5%	D + 9.5%
1	Labuda (D)	Safe - D	1	57.5%	39.4%	Safe - D	1	59.3%	40.7%	D + 9.3%
62	Vigil (D)	Safe - D	62	56.8%	40.1%	Safe - D	62	58.6%	41.4%	D + 8.5%
32	Casso (D)	Safe - D	32	56.6%	39.6%	Safe - D	32	58.9%	41.1%	D + 8.8%
31	Solano (D)	Safe - D	31	53.5%	42.7%	Safe - D	31	55.6%	44.4%	D + 5.6%
52	Kefalas (D)	Competitive - D	52	53.4%	43.8%	Competitive - D	52	55.0%	45.0%	D + 4.9%
56	Levy (D)	Safe - D	56	53.3%	42.7%	Safe - D	56	55.5%	44.5%	D + 5.5%
24	Schafer (D)	Competitive - D	24	53.0%	43.6%	Competitive - D	24	54.9%	45.1%	D + 4.8%
11	Gardner (D)	Competitive - D	11	52.7%	44.3%	Competitive - D	11	54.3%	45.7%	D + 4.3%
22	Summers (R)	Competitive - D	22	52.5%	44.3%	Competitive - D	22	54.2%	45.8%	D + 4.2%
23	Tyler (D)	Competitive - D	23	52.5%	44.2%	Competitive - D	23	54.3%	45.7%	D + 4.2%
33	Beezley (R)	Competitive - D	33	52.5%	44.8%	Competitive - D	33	53.9%	46.1%	D + 3.9%
18	Lee (D)	Competitive - D	18	52.2%	44.6%	Competitive - D	18	53.9%	46.1%	D + 3.9%
26	Hamner (D)	Competitive - D	26	52.1%	45.0%	Competitive - D	26	53.6%	46.4%	D + 3.6%
36	Ryden (D)	Competitive - D	36	51.7%	45.1%	Competitive - D	36	53.4%	46.6%	D + 3.4%
35	Peniston (D)	Competitive - D	35	51.4%	45.7%	Competitive - D	35	53.0%	47.0%	D + 2.9%
29	Ramirez (R)	Competitive - D	29	51.3%	45.1%	Competitive - D	29	53.2%	46.8%	D + 3.2%
59	Brown (R)	Highly Comp - D	59	50.8%	46.4%	Highly Comp - D	59	52.3%	47.7%	D + 2.2%
40	Acree (R)	Highly Comp - D	40	50.1%	47.2%	Highly Comp - D	40	51.5%	48.5%	D + 1.5%
3	Kagan (D)	Highly Comp - D	3	49.9%	47.1%	Highly Comp - D	3	51.4%	48.6%	D + 1.4%

House District	Incumbent		Democrat			Republican			Diff. from Statewide Average	
			0%	50%	100%	0%	50%	100%		
50	Young (D)	Highly Comp - D	50	49.0%	47.4%	Highly Comp - D	50	50.8%	49.2%	D + 0.8%
17	Barker (R)	Highly Comp - R	17	47.9%	48.0%	Highly Comp - R	17	49.9%	50.1%	R + 0.1%
47	Swerdfefer (R)	Highly Comp - R	47	46.6%	50.8%	Highly Comp - R	47	47.8%	52.2%	R + 2.2%
27	Szabo (R)	Competitive - R	27	45.3%	51.7%	Competitive - R	27	46.7%	53.3%	R + 3.3%
30	Priola (R)	Competitive - R	30	45.2%	51.6%	Competitive - R	30	46.7%	53.3%	R + 3.4%
25	Gerou (R)	Competitive - R	25	44.0%	53.4%	Competitive - R	25	45.2%	54.8%	R + 4.9%
38	Conti (R)	Safe - R	38	43.3%	54.4%	Safe - R	38	44.3%	55.7%	R + 5.7%
28	Kerr (R)	Safe - R	28	42.5%	54.7%	Safe - R	28	43.7%	56.3%	R + 6.3%
37	Swalm (R)	Safe - R	37	41.0%	56.8%	Safe - R	37	41.9%	58.1%	R + 8.1%
51	DelGrosso (R)	Safe - R	51	40.3%	56.2%	Safe - R	51	41.8%	58.2%	R + 8.3%
49	Nikkel (R)	Safe - R	49	40.2%	56.6%	Safe - R	49	41.6%	58.4%	R + 8.5%
60	Massey (R)	Safe - R	60	39.0%	57.2%	Safe - R	60	40.6%	59.4%	R + 9.5%
43	McNulty (R)	Safe - R	43	38.5%	59.6%	Safe - R	43	39.2%	60.8%	R + 10.8%
21	Gardner (R)	Safe - R	21	37.4%	59.8%	Safe - R	21	38.5%	61.5%	R + 11.6%
48	Vaad (R)	Safe - R	48	37.1%	60.2%	Safe - R	48	38.1%	61.9%	R + 11.9%
57	Becker (R)	Safe - R	57	36.3%	60.2%	Safe - R	57	37.6%	62.4%	R + 12.4%
55	Bradford (R)	Safe - R	55	35.6%	60.9%	Safe - R	55	36.9%	63.1%	R + 13.2%
44	Holbert (R)	Safe - R	44	35.4%	62.0%	Safe - R	44	36.3%	63.7%	R + 13.7%
16	Liston (R)	Safe - R	16	35.3%	62.2%	Safe - R	16	36.2%	63.8%	R + 13.8%
58	Coram (R)	Safe - R	58	33.8%	62.7%	Safe - R	58	35.0%	65.0%	R + 15.0%
63	Open	Safe - R	63	33.8%	62.5%	Safe - R	63	35.1%	64.9%	R + 15.0%
39	Balmer (R)	Safe - R	39	32.6%	64.8%	Safe - R	39	33.5%	66.5%	R + 16.6%
45	Murray (R)	Safe - R	45	31.8%	65.9%	Safe - R	45	32.6%	67.4%	R + 17.5%
15	Waller (R)	Safe - R	15	31.4%	65.8%	Safe - R	15	32.3%	67.7%	R + 17.7%
64	McKinley (D)	Safe - R	64	31.1%	65.6%	Safe - R	64	32.2%	67.8%	R + 17.8%
54	Scott (R)	Safe - R	54	30.2%	66.3%	Safe - R	54	31.3%	68.7%	R + 18.7%
65	Sonnenberg (R)	Safe - R	65	30.0%	67.0%	Safe - R	65	30.9%	69.1%	R + 19.1%
19	Looper (R)	Safe - R	19	29.7%	66.4%	Safe - R	19	30.9%	69.1%	R + 19.1%
14	Joshi (R)	Safe - R	14	29.1%	68.5%	Safe - R	14	29.8%	70.2%	R + 20.3%
20	Stephens (R)	Safe - R	20	23.8%	74.2%	Safe - R	20	24.3%	75.7%	R + 25.8%

Note: In "highly comp" (highly competitive) districts, voter shares for both candidates fall within a 5 percent margin.

In "competitive" districts, voter shares fall with a 5 to 10 percent margin.

In "safe" districts, margins exceed 10 percent.

*The percent that voted for Democrat and Republican candidates was normalized to represent the total voting population.

Results summarize the average percentage received by Democrats, Republicans, and third party candidates in the following races:

2010 Treasurer - Kennedy (D) & Stapleton (R)

2010 Regent - Hart (D) & Bosley (R)

2010 U.S. Senate - Bennet (D) & Buck (R)

2008 President - Obama (D) & McCain (R)

2010 Attorney General - Garnett (D) & Suthers (R)

2008 U.S. Senate - Udall (D) & Schaffer (R)

2010 State Treasurer Race - Kennedy (D) & Stapleton (R)
House Plan Comparison

Summary	House Resubmitted Plan Ev1			Existing House Districts					
	All Races	Democrat	Republican	All Races	Democrat	Republican			
	65	35	30	65	35	30			
Safe	43	19	24	45	20	25			
Competitive	12	9	3	13	11	2			
Highly Comp	10	7	3	7	4	3			
House District	Incumbent	Democrat			Republican				
		0%	50%	100%	0%	50%	100%		
8	McCann (D)	Safe - D	8	84.8%	15.2%	Safe - D	8	84.5%	15.5%
7	Williams (D)	Safe - D	7	80.3%	19.7%	Safe - D	7	80.3%	19.7%
10	Kerr (D)/Summers (R)	Safe - D	10	79.8%	20.2%	Safe - D	10	75.4%	24.6%
4	Pabon (D)	Safe - D	4	78.8%	21.2%	Safe - D	4	78.7%	21.3%
5	Duran (D)	Safe - D	5	77.2%	22.8%	Safe - D	5	78.4%	21.6%
2	Ferrandino (D)	Safe - D	2	72.7%	27.3%	Safe - D	2	72.5%	27.5%
6	Court (D)	Safe - D	6	69.6%	30.4%	Safe - D	6	69.1%	30.9%
13	Hullinghorst (D)	Safe - D	13	66.5%	33.5%	Safe - D	13	74.7%	25.3%
46	Pace (D)	Safe - D	46	62.4%	37.6%	Safe - D	46	61.7%	38.3%
9	Miklosi (D)	Safe - D	9	61.8%	38.2%	Competitive - D	9	53.4%	46.6%
34	Soper (D)	Safe - D	34	61.6%	38.4%	Safe - D	34	60.9%	39.1%
12	Jones (D)	Safe - D	12	61.2%	38.8%	Safe - D	12	59.3%	40.7%
42	Fields (D)	Safe - D	42	59.6%	40.4%	Safe - R	42	32.2%	67.8%
1	Labuda (D)	Safe - D	1	59.4%	40.6%	Safe - D	1	59.3%	40.7%
41	Todd (D)	Safe - D	41	58.8%	41.2%	Safe - D	41	57.7%	42.3%
62	Vigil (D)	Safe - D	62	58.7%	41.3%	Safe - D	62	58.7%	41.3%
53	Fischer (D)	Safe - D	53	58.1%	41.9%	Safe - D	53	56.9%	43.1%
61	Wilson (D)	Safe - D	61	57.3%	42.7%	Competitive - D	61	53.7%	46.3%
32	Casso (D)	Safe - D	32	56.8%	43.2%	Safe - D	32	57.1%	42.9%
24	Schafer (D)	Competitive - D	24	54.4%	45.6%	Competitive - D	24	54.2%	45.8%
31	Solano (D)	Competitive - D	31	54.2%	45.8%	Competitive - D	31	53.0%	47.0%
52	Kefalas (D)	Competitive - D	52	54.2%	45.8%	Safe - D	52	55.3%	44.7%
22	Summers (R)	Competitive - D	22	54.2%	45.8%	Safe - R	22	44.1%	55.9%
23	Tyler (D)	Competitive - D	23	54.2%	45.8%	Competitive - D	23	54.6%	45.4%
11	Gardner (D)	Competitive - D	11	53.8%	46.2%	Safe - D	11	55.1%	44.9%
18	Lee (D)	Competitive - D	18	53.6%	46.4%	Competitive - D	18	54.7%	45.3%
56	Levy (D)	Competitive - D	56	53.5%	46.5%	Safe - R	56	34.5%	65.5%
33	Beezley (R)	Competitive - D	33	53.4%	46.6%	Competitive - D	33	53.7%	46.3%
29	Ramirez (R)	Highly Comp - D	29	52.4%	47.6%	Competitive - D	29	53.6%	46.4%
35	Peniston (D)	Highly Comp - D	35	52.3%	47.7%	Highly Comp - D	35	50.9%	49.1%
36	Ryden (D)	Highly Comp - D	36	51.6%	48.4%	Competitive - D	36	52.8%	47.2%
3	Kagan (D)	Highly Comp - D	3	50.8%	49.2%	Safe - D	3	61.8%	38.2%
40	Acree (R)	Highly Comp - D	40	50.8%	49.2%	Highly Comp - R	40	47.9%	52.1%
26	Hamner (D)	Highly Comp - D	26	50.3%	49.7%	Highly Comp - D	26	52.4%	47.6%

House District	Incumbent		Democrat			Republican			
			0%	50%	100%	0%	50%	100%	
59	Brown (R)	Highly Comp - D	59	50.2%	49.8%	Highly Comp - D	59	50.2%	49.8%
50	Young (D)	Highly Comp - R	50	49.5%	50.5%	Highly Comp - D	50	50.9%	49.1%
47	Swedfeger (R)	Highly Comp - R	47	49.0%	51.0%	Highly Comp - R	47	49.5%	50.5%
17	Barker (R)	Highly Comp - R	17	48.1%	51.9%	Highly Comp - R	17	49.0%	51.0%
27	Szabo (R)	Competitive - R	27	46.6%	53.4%	Competitive - R	27	46.5%	53.5%
38	Conti (R)	Competitive - R	38	45.3%	54.7%	Competitive - R	38	46.5%	53.5%
30	Priola (R)	Competitive - R	30	45.2%	54.8%	Safe - R	30	44.9%	55.1%
25	Gerou (R)	Safe - R	25	44.6%	55.4%	Safe - R	25	42.7%	57.3%
28	Kerr (R)	Safe - R	28	43.7%	56.3%	Competitive - D	28	53.8%	46.2%
60	Massey (R)	Safe - R	60	41.6%	58.4%	Safe - R	60	43.7%	56.3%
37	Swalm (R)	Safe - R	37	41.6%	58.4%	Safe - R	37	41.7%	58.3%
51	DelGrosso (R)	Safe - R	51	41.5%	58.5%	Safe - R	51	41.7%	58.3%
49	Nikkel (R)	Safe - R	49	40.9%	59.1%	Safe - R	49	35.1%	64.9%
43	McNulty (R)	Safe - R	43	38.6%	61.4%	Safe - R	43	38.5%	61.5%
21	Gardner (R)	Safe - R	21	38.1%	61.9%	Safe - R	21	36.9%	63.1%
48	Vaad (R)	Safe - R	48	38.0%	62.0%	Safe - R	48	37.5%	62.5%
16	Liston (R)	Safe - R	16	36.9%	63.1%	Safe - R	16	32.2%	67.8%
57	Becker (R)	Safe - R	57	36.4%	63.6%	Safe - R	57	37.9%	62.1%
55	Bradford (R)	Safe - R	55	35.5%	64.5%	Safe - R	55	31.9%	68.1%
44	Holbert (R)	Safe - R	44	35.3%	64.7%	Safe - R	44	35.3%	64.7%
63	Open	Safe - R	63	34.5%	65.5%	Competitive - D	63	52.8%	47.2%
58	Coram (R)	Safe - R	58	33.3%	66.7%	Safe - R	58	31.8%	68.2%
64	McKinley (D)	Safe - R	64	33.2%	66.8%	Safe - R	64	33.8%	66.2%
65	Sonnenberg (R)	Safe - R	65	32.9%	67.1%	Safe - R	65	33.9%	66.1%
39	Balmer (R)	Safe - R	39	32.8%	67.2%	Safe - D	39	60.6%	39.4%
15	Waller (R)	Safe - R	15	32.4%	67.6%	Safe - R	15	28.8%	71.2%
45	Murray (R)	Safe - R	45	31.8%	68.2%	Safe - R	45	31.8%	68.2%
14	Joshi (R)	Safe - R	14	30.3%	69.7%	Safe - R	14	35.1%	64.9%
19	Looper (R)	Safe - R	19	30.0%	70.0%	Safe - R	19	34.4%	65.6%
54	Scott (R)	Safe - R	54	29.9%	70.1%	Safe - R	54	40.2%	59.8%
20	Stephens (R)	Safe - R	20	24.7%	75.3%	Safe - R	20	25.1%	74.9%

Note: In "highly comp" (highly competitive) districts, voter shares for both candidates fall between 47.5 percent and 52.5 percent.

In "competitive" districts, voter shares fall between 45 and 47.5 percent for the trailing party and between 52.5 and 55 percent

Percent Hispanic
House Plan Comparison

House District	Incumbent	House Resubmitted Plan Ev1			Existing House Districts		
		Hispanic	Non-Hispanic		Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	
		0%	50%	100%	0%	50%	100%
34	Soper (D)	34	53.7%	46.3%	34	40.8%	59.2%
4	Pabon (D)	4	53.0%	47.0%	4	49.5%	50.5%
5	Duran (D)	5	52.0%	48.0%	5	34.3%	65.7%
62	Vigil (D)	62	50.5%	49.5%	62	54.3%	45.7%
32	Casso (D)	32	50.1%	49.9%	32	48.2%	51.8%
1	Labuda (D)	1	50.0%	50.0%	1	44.4%	55.6%
50	Young (D)	50	47.1%	52.9%	50	45.3%	54.7%
56	Levy (D)	56	46.1%	53.9%	56	25.1%	74.9%
46	Pace (D)	46	44.3%	55.7%	46	47.3%	52.7%
7	Williams (D)	7	40.9%	59.1%	7	35.0%	65.0%
17	Barker (R)	17	33.1%	66.9%	17	28.8%	71.2%
47	Swedfeger (R)	47	32.2%	67.8%	47	28.5%	71.5%
42	Fields (D)	42	31.5%	68.5%	42	44.9%	55.1%
31	Solano (D)	31	30.9%	69.1%	31	22.0%	78.0%
30	Priola (R)	30	27.4%	72.6%	30	43.4%	56.6%
63	Open	63	25.5%	74.5%	63	23.7%	76.3%
35	Peniston (D)	35	25.1%	74.9%	35	44.7%	55.3%
22	Summers (R)	22	24.6%	75.4%	22	13.4%	86.6%
11	Gardner (D)	11	23.4%	76.6%	11	16.9%	83.1%
64	McKinley (D)	64	23.0%	77.0%	64	36.4%	63.6%
61	Wilson (D)	61	22.9%	77.1%	61	21.3%	78.7%
65	Sonnenberg (R)	65	22.8%	77.2%	65	21.6%	78.4%
41	Todd (D)	41	21.0%	79.0%	41	19.5%	80.5%
36	Ryden (D)	36	20.5%	79.5%	36	24.8%	75.2%
8	McCann (D)	8	19.2%	80.8%	8	19.6%	80.4%
26	Hamner (D)	26	18.4%	81.6%	26	21.7%	78.3%
23	Tyler (D)	23	18.3%	81.7%	23	17.8%	82.2%
48	Vaad (R)	48	17.9%	82.1%	48	20.2%	79.8%
3	Kagan (D)	3	16.9%	83.1%	3	11.4%	88.6%
29	Ramirez (R)	29	16.2%	83.8%	29	13.3%	86.7%
24	Schafer (D)	24	15.5%	84.5%	24	23.7%	76.3%
21	Gardner (R)	21	15.5%	84.5%	21	9.3%	90.4%
19	Looper (R)	19	15.2%	84.8%	19	16.2%	83.8%
55	Bradford (R)	55	15.1%	84.9%	55	14.1%	85.9%
58	Coram (R)	58	15.0%	85.0%	58	14.1%	86.0%
57	Becker (R)	57	14.8%	85.2%	57	12.7%	87.3%
9	Miklosi (D)	9	14.5%	85.5%	9	15.6%	84.4%

House District	Incumbent	House Resubmitted Plan Ev1			Existing House Districts		
		Hispanic		Non-Hispanic	Hispanic		Non-Hispanic
		0%	50%	100%	0%	50%	100%
15	Waller (R)	15	14.0%	86.0%	15	16.1%	83.9%
18	Lee (D)	18	13.9%	86.1%	18	17.3%	82.7%
60	Massey (R)	60	13.8%	86.2%	60	8.2%	91.8%
6	Court (D)	6	13.3%	86.7%	6	11.6%	88.4%
40	Acree (R)	40	13.2%	86.8%	40	11.9%	88.1%
54	Scott (R)	54	13.1%	86.9%	54	13.5%	86.5%
33	Beezley (R)	33	13.1%	86.9%	33	10.8%	89.2%
52	Kefalas (D)	52	12.7%	87.3%	52	13.1%	86.9%
59	Brown (R)	59	12.0%	88.0%	59	13.0%	87.0%
51	DelGrosso (R)	51	11.4%	88.6%	51	11.3%	88.7%
16	Liston (R)	16	11.0%	89.0%	16	17.2%	82.8%
14	Joshi (R)	14	10.8%	89.2%	14	10.1%	89.9%
27	Szabo (R)	27	10.5%	89.5%	27	12.5%	87.5%
2	Ferrandino (D)	2	10.2%	89.8%	2	60.7%	39.3%
12	Jones (D)	12	10.2%	89.8%	12	21.2%	78.8%
28	Kerr (R)	28	10.0%	90.0%	28	8.7%	91.3%
53	Fischer (D)	53	9.8%	90.2%	53	8.9%	91.1%
10	Kerr (D)/Summers (R)	10	9.8%	90.2%	10	8.7%	91.3%
49	Nikkel (R)	49	8.3%	91.7%	49	8.8%	91.2%
37	Swalm (R)	37	8.2%	91.8%	37	6.1%	93.9%
45	Murray (R)	45	8.1%	91.9%	45	7.2%	92.8%
44	Holbert (R)	44	8.1%	91.9%	44	7.6%	92.4%
43	McNulty (R)	43	7.3%	92.7%	43	7.2%	92.8%
20	Stephens (R)	20	6.7%	93.3%	20	7.1%	92.9%
38	Conti (R)	38	6.1%	93.9%	38	11.3%	88.7%
39	Balmer (R)	39	5.9%	94.1%	39	10.1%	89.9%
13	Hullinghorst (D)	13	4.7%	95.3%	13	6.0%	94.0%
25	Gerou (R)	25	4.7%	95.3%	25	4.6%	95.4%