

Six Statewide Races
Senate Resubmitted Plan Ev1

Attachment L

Summary	Including Third Party Candidates			Excluding Third Party Candidates*			Normalized Statewide Average			
	All Races	Democrat	Republican	All Races	Democrat	Republican				
	Safe	22	10	12	22	10		12		
Competitive	4	2	2	4	2	2	Dem. 50.1% Rep. 49.9%			
Highly Comp	9	7	2	9	7	2				
Senate District	Incumbent	Democrat Republican			Democrat Republican			Diff. from Statewide Average		
		0%	50%	100%	0%	50%	100%			
33	Johnston (D)	Safe - D	33	82.0%	16.0%	Safe - D	33	83.7%	16.3%	D + 33.6%
34	Guzman (D)	Safe - D	34	76.5%	20.7%	Safe - D	34	78.7%	21.3%	D + 28.7%
18	Heath (D)	Safe - D	18	75.6%	22.4%	Safe - D	18	77.1%	22.9%	D + 27.1%
31	Open	Safe - D	31	67.9%	29.9%	Safe - D	31	69.4%	30.6%	D + 19.4%
32	Aguilar (D)	Safe - D	32	67.0%	30.5%	Safe - D	32	68.7%	31.3%	D + 18.6%
17	Shaffer (D)	Safe - D	17	58.4%	38.9%	Safe - D	17	60.0%	40.0%	D + 10.0%
21	Steadman (D)	Safe - D	21	58.2%	37.7%	Safe - D	21	60.7%	39.3%	D + 10.6%
3	Giron (D)	Safe - D	3	56.9%	40.7%	Safe - D	3	58.3%	41.7%	D + 8.3%
14	Bacon (D)	Safe - D	14	55.8%	41.3%	Safe - D	14	57.4%	42.6%	D + 7.4%
29	Carroll (D)	Safe - D	29	53.4%	43.3%	Safe - D	29	55.2%	44.8%	D + 5.2%
11	Morse (D)	Competitive - D	11	52.8%	43.6%	Competitive - D	11	54.8%	45.2%	D + 4.7%
28	Williams (D)	Competitive - D	28	52.1%	44.8%	Competitive - D	28	53.8%	46.2%	D + 3.7%
26	Newell (D)	Highly Comp - D	26	50.3%	46.9%	Highly Comp - D	26	51.7%	48.3%	D + 1.7%
24	Tochtrop (D)	Highly Comp - D	24	49.9%	47.0%	Highly Comp - D	24	51.5%	48.5%	D + 1.4%
25	Hodge (D)	Highly Comp - D	25	49.8%	46.4%	Highly Comp - D	25	51.8%	48.2%	D + 1.7%
22	Kopp (R)	Highly Comp - D	22	49.4%	47.5%	Highly Comp - D	22	51.0%	49.0%	D + 0.9%
5	Schwartz (D)	Highly Comp - D	5	49.2%	47.4%	Highly Comp - D	5	50.9%	49.1%	D + 0.9%
19	Hudak (D)	Highly Comp - D	19	48.9%	47.8%	Highly Comp - D	19	50.6%	49.4%	D + 0.5%
20	Jahn (D)	Highly Comp - D	20	48.9%	48.1%	Highly Comp - D	20	50.4%	49.6%	D + 0.4%
16	Open	Highly Comp - R	16	48.1%	49.1%	Highly Comp - R	16	49.5%	50.5%	R + 0.6%
8	White (R)	Highly Comp - R	8	46.1%	50.7%	Highly Comp - R	8	47.6%	52.4%	R + 2.5%
35	Nicholson (D)	Competitive - R	35	45.5%	51.3%	Competitive - R	35	47.0%	53.0%	R + 3.1%
27	Spence (R)	Competitive - R	27	44.7%	53.1%	Competitive - R	27	45.7%	54.3%	R + 4.3%
6	Roberts (R)	Safe - R	6	42.9%	54.0%	Safe - R	6	44.3%	55.7%	R + 5.8%
13	Renfroe (R)	Safe - R	13	42.8%	54.1%	Safe - R	13	44.2%	55.8%	R + 5.9%
15	Lundberg (R)	Safe - R	15	42.1%	54.4%	Safe - R	15	43.6%	56.4%	R + 6.4%
23	Mitchell (R)	Safe - R	23	42.0%	55.0%	Safe - R	23	43.3%	56.7%	R + 6.8%
30	Harvey (R)	Safe - R	30	37.1%	60.9%	Safe - R	30	37.9%	62.1%	R + 12.2%
2	Grantham (R)	Safe - R	2	35.2%	60.9%	Safe - R	2	36.6%	63.4%	R + 13.4%
12	King (R)	Safe - R	12	34.7%	62.4%	Safe - R	12	35.7%	64.3%	R + 14.3%
10	Cadman (R)	Safe - R	10	33.1%	64.1%	Safe - R	10	34.1%	65.9%	R + 16.0%
7	King (R)	Safe - R	7	32.8%	63.8%	Safe - R	7	33.9%	66.1%	R + 16.1%
4	Scheffel (R)	Safe - R	4	32.5%	65.0%	Safe - R	4	33.3%	66.7%	R + 16.7%
1	Brophy (R)	Safe - R	1	28.2%	68.5%	Safe - R	1	29.2%	70.8%	R + 20.9%

Senate District	Incumbent		Democrat			Republican			Diff. from Statewide Average	
			0%	50%	100%	0%	50%	100%		
9	Lambert (R)	Safe - R	9	27.5%	70.3%	Safe - R	9	28.1%	71.9%	R + 21.9%

Note: In "highly comp" (highly competitive) districts, voter shares for both candidates fall within a 5 percent margin.
 In "competitive" districts, voter shares fall with a 5 to 10 percent margin.
 In "safe" districts, margins exceed 10 percent.

*The percent that voted for Democrat and Republican candidates was normalized to represent the total voting population.

Results summarize the average percentage received by Democrats, Republicans, and third party candidates in the following races:
 2010 Treasurer - Kennedy (D) & Stapleton (R) 2010 Regent - Hart (D) & Bosley (R)
 2010 U.S. Senate - Bennet (D) & Buck (R) 2008 President - Obama (D) & McCain (R)
 2010 Attorney General - Garnett (D) & Suthers (R) 2008 U.S. Senate - Udall (D) & Schaffer (R)

2010 State Treasurer Race - Kennedy (D) & Stapleton (R)

Senate Plan Comparison

Summary	<i>Senate Resubmitted Plan Ev1</i>						<i>Existing Districts</i>						
			Democrat		Republican				Democrat		Republican		
	All Races	Safe	Competitive	Highly Comp	35	17	18	35	16	19	23	10	13
Senate District	Incumbent				0%	50%	100%				0%	50%	100%
33	Johnston (D)	Safe - D	33	83.2%	16.8%	Safe - D	33	82.9%	17.1%	Safe - D	34	78.9%	21.1%
34	Guzman (D)	Safe - D	34	78.2%	21.8%	Safe - D	34	78.9%	21.1%	Safe - D	18	77.6%	22.4%
18	Heath (D)	Safe - D	18	76.3%	23.7%	Safe - D	31	69.8%	30.2%	Safe - D	32	68.7%	31.3%
31	Open	Safe - D	31	69.8%	30.2%	Safe - D	17	59.8%	40.2%	Safe - D	17	59.7%	40.3%
32	Aguilar (D)	Safe - D	32	68.7%	31.3%	Safe - D	3	59.0%	41.0%	Safe - D	3	58.6%	41.4%
17	Shaffer (D)	Safe - D	17	59.8%	40.2%	Safe - D	21	58.9%	41.1%	Competitive - D	21	54.3%	45.7%
3	Giron (D)	Safe - D	3	59.0%	41.0%	Safe - D	14	56.3%	43.7%	Safe - D	14	56.7%	43.3%
21	Steadman (D)	Safe - D	21	58.9%	41.1%	Competitive - D	11	53.8%	46.2%	Competitive - D	11	52.6%	47.4%
14	Bacon (D)	Safe - D	14	56.3%	43.7%	Competitive - D	29	53.6%	46.4%	Safe - D	29	62.5%	37.5%
11	Morse (D)	Competitive - D	11	53.8%	46.2%	Competitive - D	28	52.6%	47.4%	Competitive - D	28	54.8%	45.2%
29	Carroll (D)	Competitive - D	29	53.6%	46.4%	Safe - D	26	51.2%	48.8%	Highly Comp - R	26	48.5%	51.5%
28	Williams (D)	Competitive - D	28	52.6%	47.4%	Competitive - D	22	50.9%	49.1%	Highly Comp - R	22	43.4%	56.6%
26	Newell (D)	Highly Comp - D	26	51.2%	48.8%	Highly Comp - R	24	50.5%	49.5%	Safe - R	24	53.6%	46.4%
22	Kopp (R)	Highly Comp - D	22	50.9%	49.1%	Highly Comp - R	20	50.3%	49.7%	Competitive - D	20	55.0%	45.0%
24	Tochtrop (D)	Highly Comp - D	24	50.5%	49.5%	Highly Comp - R	19	50.0%	50.0%	Highly Comp - R	19	49.7%	50.3%
20	Jahn (D)	Highly Comp - D	20	50.3%	49.7%	Highly Comp - R	25	49.9%	50.1%	Highly Comp - R	25	49.4%	50.6%
19	Hudak (D)	Highly Comp - D	19	50.0%	50.0%	Highly Comp - R	16	48.9%	51.1%	Competitive - D	16	53.0%	47.0%
25	Hodge (D)	Highly Comp - R	25	49.9%	50.1%	Highly Comp - R	5	48.4%	51.6%	Highly Comp - R	5	49.3%	50.7%
16	Open	Highly Comp - R	16	48.9%	51.1%	Highly Comp - R	35	48.1%	51.9%	Safe - D	35	65.3%	34.7%
5	Schwartz (D)	Highly Comp - R	5	48.4%	51.6%	Highly Comp - R	27	46.0%	54.0%	Safe - R	27	44.7%	55.3%
35	Nicholson (D)	Highly Comp - R	35	48.1%	51.9%	Highly Comp - R	8	45.5%	54.5%	Competitive - R	8	45.8%	54.2%
27	Spence (R)	Competitive - R	27	46.0%	54.0%	Highly Comp - R	13	43.6%	56.4%	Safe - R	13	39.5%	60.5%
8	White (R)	Competitive - R	8	45.5%	54.5%	Highly Comp - R	15	43.0%	57.0%	Safe - R	15	42.3%	57.7%
13	Renfroe (R)	Safe - R	13	43.6%	56.4%	Highly Comp - R	23	42.6%	57.4%	Safe - R	23	45.3%	54.7%
15	Lundberg (R)	Safe - R	15	43.0%	57.0%	Highly Comp - R	6	42.1%	57.9%	Competitive - R	6	42.1%	57.9%
23	Mitchell (R)	Safe - R	23	42.6%	57.4%	Highly Comp - R	30	37.1%	62.9%	Safe - R	30	37.0%	63.0%
6	Roberts (R)	Safe - R	6	42.1%	57.9%	Highly Comp - R	2	36.8%	63.2%	Safe - R	2	43.2%	56.8%
30	Harvey (R)	Safe - R	30	37.1%	62.9%	Highly Comp - R	12	35.6%	64.4%	Safe - R	12	39.5%	60.5%
2	Grantham (R)	Safe - R	2	36.8%	63.2%	Highly Comp - R	10	34.4%	65.6%	Safe - R	10	32.2%	67.8%
12	King (R)	Safe - R	12	35.6%	64.4%	Highly Comp - R	7	32.4%	67.6%	Safe - R	7	32.3%	67.7%
10	Cadman (R)	Safe - R	10	34.4%	65.6%	Highly Comp - R	4	32.4%	67.6%	Safe - R	4	31.6%	68.4%
7	King (R)	Safe - R	7	32.4%	67.6%	Highly Comp - R	1	30.3%	69.7%	Safe - R	1	30.8%	69.2%
4	Scheffel (R)	Safe - R	4	32.4%	67.6%	Highly Comp - R				Safe - R			
1	Brophy (R)	Safe - R	1	30.3%	69.7%	Highly Comp - R				Safe - R			

Senate District	Incumbent		Democrat			Republican		
			0%	50%	100%	0%	50%	100%
9	Lambert (R)	Safe - R	9	28.6%	71.4%	9	30.9%	69.1%

Note: In "highly comp" (highly competitive) districts, voter shares for both candidates fall between 47.5 percent and 52.5 percent.
 In "competitive" districts, voter shares fall between 45 and 47.5 percent for the trailing party and between 52.5 and 55 percent for the leading party.
 In "safe" districts, voter shares are greater than 55 percent for either candidate.

Percent Hispanic
Senate Plan Comparison

Senate District	Incumbent	Senate Resubmitted Plan Ev1			Existing Districts		
		Hispanic		Non-Hispanic	Hispanic		Non-Hispanic
		0%	50%	100%	0%	50%	100%
34	Guzman (D)	34	50.3%	49.7%	34	58.2%	41.8%
21	Steadman (D)	21	50.0%	50.0%	21	23.2%	76.8%
3	Giron (D)	3	44.0%	56.0%	3	43.3%	56.7%
25	Hodge (D)	25	41.1%	58.9%	25	40.6%	59.4%
13	Renfroe (R)	13	37.8%	62.2%	13	31.0%	69.0%
35	Nicholson (D)	35	36.2%	63.8%	35	14.3%	85.7%
33	Johnston (D)	33	33.0%	67.0%	33	33.4%	66.6%
29	Carroll (D)	29	32.7%	67.3%	29	37.2%	62.8%
32	Aguilar (D)	32	31.8%	68.2%	32	28.2%	71.8%
11	Morse (D)	11	25.7%	74.3%	11	24.6%	75.4%
24	Tochtrop (D)	24	23.0%	77.0%	24	36.3%	63.7%
22	Kopp (R)	22	22.0%	78.0%	22	8.3%	91.2%
17	Shaffer (D)	17	19.9%	80.1%	17	19.7%	80.3%
5	Schwartz (D)	5	19.4%	80.6%	5	23.8%	76.2%
1	Brophy (R)	1	18.5%	81.5%	1	19.8%	80.2%
28	Williams (D)	28	17.9%	82.1%	28	17.3%	82.7%
8	White (R)	8	17.6%	82.4%	8	23.4%	76.6%
26	Newell (D)	26	14.9%	85.1%	26	13.4%	86.6%
12	King (R)	12	14.1%	85.9%	12	14.4%	85.6%
19	Hudak (D)	19	14.1%	85.9%	19	13.5%	86.4%
6	Roberts (R)	6	13.9%	86.1%	6	13.9%	86.1%
31	Open	31	13.4%	86.6%	31	35.6%	64.4%
7	King (R)	7	13.3%	86.7%	7	13.7%	86.3%
10	Cadman (R)	10	13.3%	86.7%	10	14.9%	85.1%
23	Mitchell (R)	23	12.7%	87.3%	23	18.2%	81.8%
20	Jahn (D)	20	12.4%	87.6%	20	16.2%	83.8%
15	Lundberg (R)	15	10.8%	89.2%	15	10.8%	89.1%
2	Grantham (R)	2	10.7%	89.3%	2	24.5%	75.5%
14	Bacon (D)	14	10.4%	89.6%	14	10.2%	89.8%
16	Open	16	10.0%	90.0%	16	6.7%	93.3%
27	Spence (R)	27	8.1%	91.9%	27	9.3%	90.7%
4	Scheffel (R)	4	7.7%	92.3%	4	7.8%	92.2%
9	Lambert (R)	9	7.7%	92.3%	9	10.3%	89.7%
18	Heath (D)	18	7.5%	92.5%	18	8.1%	91.9%
30	Harvey (R)	30	7.2%	92.8%	30	7.5%	92.4%