

**Six Statewide Races**  
Senate Resubmitted Plan Dv1

Summary	All Races	Including Third Party Candidates			Excluding Third Party Candidates*			Normalized Statewide Average		
		All Races	Democrat	Republican	All Races	Democrat	Republican	Dem.	Rep.	
		35	14	21	35	14	21	50.1%	49.9%	
	Safe	26	12	14	26	12	14			
	Competitive	1	0	1	1	0	1			
	Highly Comp	8	2	6	8	2	6			
Senate District	Incumbent		Democrat Republican				Democrat Republican			Diff. from Statewide Average
			0%	50%	100%		0%	50%	100%	
33	Johnston (D)	Safe - D	33	82.0%	16.0%	Safe - D	33	83.7%	16.3%	D + 33.6%
34	Guzman (D)	Safe - D	34	76.4%	20.7%	Safe - D	34	78.7%	21.3%	D + 28.7%
18	Heath (D)	Safe - D	18	75.7%	22.2%	Safe - D	18	77.3%	22.7%	D + 27.2%
31	Open	Safe - D	31	69.6%	28.2%	Safe - D	31	71.2%	28.8%	D + 21.2%
32	Aguilar (D)	Safe - D	32	64.3%	33.2%	Safe - D	32	66.0%	34.0%	D + 15.9%
29	Carroll (D)	Safe - D	29	62.3%	34.5%	Safe - D	29	64.4%	35.6%	D + 14.3%
21	Steadman (D)	Safe - D	21	58.7%	37.3%	Safe - D	21	61.1%	38.9%	D + 11.1%
17	Shaffer (D)	Safe - D	17	58.1%	39.2%	Safe - D	17	59.8%	40.2%	D + 9.7%
3	Giron (D)	Safe - D	3	56.9%	40.7%	Safe - D	3	58.3%	41.7%	D + 8.3%
20	Jahn (D)	Safe - D	20	56.3%	40.1%	Safe - D	20	58.4%	41.6%	D + 8.4%
14	Bacon (D)	Safe - D	14	55.8%	41.3%	Safe - D	14	57.4%	42.6%	D + 7.4%
24	Tochtrop (D)	Safe - D	24	53.7%	42.9%	Safe - D	24	55.6%	44.4%	D + 5.5%
16	Open	Highly Comp - D	16	49.8%	47.2%	Highly Comp - D	16	51.3%	48.7%	D + 1.3%
5	Schwartz (D)	Highly Comp - D	5	49.2%	47.4%	Highly Comp - D	5	50.9%	49.1%	D + 0.9%
28	Williams (D)	Highly Comp - R	28	47.4%	50.1%	Highly Comp - R	28	48.6%	51.4%	R + 1.4%
27	Spence (R)	Highly Comp - R	27	47.4%	49.8%	Highly Comp - R	27	48.7%	51.3%	R + 1.3%
19	Hudak (D)	Highly Comp - R	19	47.1%	49.8%	Highly Comp - R	19	48.6%	51.4%	R + 1.4%
26	Newell (D)	Highly Comp - R	26	46.9%	50.5%	Highly Comp - R	26	48.1%	51.9%	R + 1.9%
25	Hodge (D)	Highly Comp - R	25	46.6%	50.0%	Highly Comp - R	25	48.3%	51.7%	R + 1.8%
8	White (R)	Highly Comp - R	8	46.1%	50.7%	Highly Comp - R	8	47.6%	52.4%	R + 2.5%
35	Nicholson (D)	Competitive - R	35	45.5%	51.3%	Competitive - R	35	47.0%	53.0%	R + 3.1%
22	Kopp (R)	Safe - R	22	43.2%	54.0%	Safe - R	22	44.5%	55.5%	R + 5.6%
6	Roberts (R)	Safe - R	6	42.9%	54.0%	Safe - R	6	44.3%	55.7%	R + 5.8%
13	Renfroe (R)	Safe - R	13	42.8%	54.1%	Safe - R	13	44.2%	55.8%	R + 5.8%
15	Lundberg (R)	Safe - R	15	42.1%	54.4%	Safe - R	15	43.6%	56.4%	R + 6.4%
23	Mitchell (R)	Safe - R	23	42.0%	55.0%	Safe - R	23	43.3%	56.7%	R + 6.8%
9	Lambert (R)	Safe - R	9	41.7%	55.5%	Safe - R	9	42.9%	57.1%	R + 7.1%
11	Morse (D)	Safe - R	11	40.8%	55.7%	Safe - R	11	42.2%	57.8%	R + 7.8%
30	Harvey (R)	Safe - R	30	37.1%	60.9%	Safe - R	30	37.9%	62.1%	R + 12.2%
7	King (R)	Safe - R	7	32.8%	63.8%	Safe - R	7	33.9%	66.1%	R + 16.1%
4	Scheffel (R)	Safe - R	4	32.5%	65.0%	Safe - R	4	33.3%	66.7%	R + 16.7%
2	Grantham (R)	Safe - R	2	32.1%	64.8%	Safe - R	2	33.1%	66.9%	R + 16.9%
12	King (R)	Safe - R	12	31.2%	65.4%	Safe - R	12	32.3%	67.7%	R + 17.7%
10	Cadman (R)	Safe - R	10	29.6%	67.9%	Safe - R	10	30.3%	69.7%	R + 19.7%

Senate District	Incumbent		Democrat			Republican			Diff. from Statewide Average	
			0%	50%	100%	0%	50%	100%		
1	Brophy (R)	Safe - R	1	28.2%	68.5%	Safe - R	1	29.2%	70.8%	R + 20.9%

Note: In "highly comp" (highly competitive) districts, voter shares for both candidates fall within a 5 percent margin.  
 In "competitive" districts, voter shares fall with a 5 to 10 percent margin.  
 In "safe" districts, margins exceed 10 percent.

\*The percent that voted for Democrat and Republican candidates was normalized to represent the total voting population.

Results summarize the average percentage received by Democrats, Republicans, and third party candidates in the following races:

- 2010 Treasurer - Kennedy (D) & Stapleton (R)
- 2010 U.S. Senate - Bennet (D) & Buck (R)
- 2010 Attorney General - Garnett (D) & Suthers (R)
- 2010 Regent - Hart (D) & Bosley (R)
- 2008 President - Obama (D) & McCain (R)
- 2008 U.S. Senate - Udall (D) & Schaffer (R)



**2010 State Treasurer Race - Kennedy (D) & Stapleton (R)**  
Senate Plan Comparison

Summary	All Races	Senate Resubmitted Plan Dv1			Existing Districts				
		All Races	Democrat	Republican	All Races	Democrat	Republican		
		35	13	22	35	16	19		
	Safe	25	11	14	23	10	13		
	Competitive	4	1	3	8	6	2		
	Highly Comp	6	1	5	4	0	4		
Senate District	Incumbent		Democrat	Republican		Democrat	Republican		
			0%	50%	100%	0%	50%	100%	
33	Johnston (D)	Safe - D	33	83.2%	16.8%	Safe - D	33	82.9%	17.1%
34	Guzman (D)	Safe - D	34	78.2%	21.8%	Safe - D	34	78.9%	21.1%
18	Heath (D)	Safe - D	18	76.4%	23.6%	Safe - D	18	77.6%	22.4%
31	Open	Safe - D	31	71.5%	28.5%	Safe - D	31	71.2%	28.8%
32	Aguilar (D)	Safe - D	32	66.0%	34.0%	Safe - D	32	66.1%	33.9%
29	Carroll (D)	Safe - D	29	62.9%	37.1%	Safe - D	29	62.5%	37.5%
17	Shaffer (D)	Safe - D	17	59.5%	40.5%	Safe - D	17	59.7%	40.3%
21	Steadman (D)	Safe - D	21	59.2%	40.8%	Competitive - D	21	54.3%	45.7%
3	Giron (D)	Safe - D	3	59.0%	41.0%	Safe - D	3	58.6%	41.4%
20	Jahn (D)	Safe - D	20	57.8%	42.2%	Competitive - D	20	55.0%	45.0%
14	Bacon (D)	Safe - D	14	56.3%	43.7%	Safe - D	14	56.7%	43.3%
24	Tochtrop (D)	Competitive - D	24	54.5%	45.5%	Competitive - D	24	53.6%	46.4%
16	Open	Highly Comp - D	16	51.4%	48.6%	Competitive - D	16	53.0%	47.0%
5	Schwartz (D)	Highly Comp - R	5	48.4%	51.6%	Highly Comp - R	5	49.3%	50.7%
28	Williams (D)	Highly Comp - R	28	48.4%	51.6%	Competitive - D	28	54.8%	45.2%
19	Hudak (D)	Highly Comp - R	19	48.3%	51.7%	Highly Comp - R	19	49.7%	50.3%
26	Newell (D)	Highly Comp - R	26	48.3%	51.7%	Highly Comp - R	26	48.5%	51.5%
35	Nicholson (D)	Highly Comp - R	35	48.1%	51.9%	Safe - D	35	65.3%	34.7%
27	Spence (R)	Competitive - R	27	47.5%	52.5%	Safe - R	27	44.7%	55.3%
25	Hodge (D)	Competitive - R	25	46.8%	53.2%	Highly Comp - R	25	49.4%	50.6%
8	White (R)	Competitive - R	8	45.5%	54.5%	Competitive - R	8	45.8%	54.2%
22	Kopp (R)	Safe - R	22	44.0%	56.0%	Safe - R	22	43.4%	56.6%
13	Renfroe (R)	Safe - R	13	43.6%	56.4%	Safe - R	13	39.5%	60.5%
15	Lundberg (R)	Safe - R	15	43.0%	57.0%	Safe - R	15	42.3%	57.7%
9	Lambert (R)	Safe - R	9	43.0%	57.0%	Safe - R	9	30.9%	69.1%
23	Mitchell (R)	Safe - R	23	42.6%	57.4%	Competitive - R	23	45.3%	54.7%
6	Roberts (R)	Safe - R	6	42.1%	57.9%	Safe - R	6	42.1%	57.9%
11	Morse (D)	Safe - R	11	40.8%	59.2%	Competitive - D	11	52.6%	47.4%
30	Harvey (R)	Safe - R	30	37.1%	62.9%	Safe - R	30	37.0%	63.0%
2	Grantham (R)	Safe - R	2	33.7%	66.3%	Safe - R	2	43.2%	56.8%
7	King (R)	Safe - R	7	32.4%	67.6%	Safe - R	7	32.3%	67.7%
4	Scheffel (R)	Safe - R	4	32.4%	67.6%	Safe - R	4	31.6%	68.4%
12	King (R)	Safe - R	12	31.8%	68.2%	Safe - R	12	39.5%	60.5%
10	Cadman (R)	Safe - R	10	30.8%	69.2%	Safe - R	10	32.2%	67.8%

Senate District	Incumbent		Democrat			Republican		
			0%	50%	100%	0%	50%	100%
1	Brophy (R)	Safe - R	1	30.3%	69.7%	1	30.8%	69.2%

Note: In "highly comp" (highly competitive) districts, voter shares for both candidates fall between 47.5 percent and 52.5 percent.

In "competitive" districts, voter shares fall between 45 and 47.5 percent for the trailing party and between 52.5 and 55 percent for the leading party.

In "safe" districts, voter shares are greater than 55 percent for either candidate.



**Percent Hispanic**  
*Senate Plan Comparison*

Senate District	Incumbent	Senate Resubmitted Plan Dv1			Existing Districts		
		Hispanic		Non-Hispanic	Hispanic		Non-Hispanic
		0%	50%	100%	0%	50%	100%
34	Guzman (D)	34	52.5%	47.5%	34	58.2%	41.8%
21	Steadman (D)	21	52.0%	48.0%	21	23.2%	76.8%
3	Giron (D)	3	44.0%	56.0%	3	43.3%	56.7%
13	Renfroe (R)	13	37.8%	62.2%	13	31.0%	69.0%
35	Nicholson (D)	35	36.2%	63.8%	35	14.3%	85.7%
29	Carroll (D)	29	35.4%	64.6%	29	37.2%	62.8%
24	Tochrop (D)	24	34.0%	66.0%	24	36.3%	63.7%
33	Johnston (D)	33	33.1%	66.9%	33	33.4%	66.6%
25	Hodge (D)	25	28.0%	72.0%	25	40.6%	59.4%
32	Aguilar (D)	32	28.0%	72.0%	32	28.2%	71.8%
20	Jahn (D)	20	25.9%	74.1%	20	16.2%	83.8%
11	Morse (D)	11	24.9%	75.1%	11	24.6%	75.4%
5	Schwartz (D)	5	19.4%	80.6%	5	23.8%	76.2%
17	Shaffer (D)	17	19.1%	80.9%	17	19.7%	80.3%
1	Brophy (R)	1	18.5%	81.5%	1	19.8%	80.2%
8	White (R)	8	17.6%	82.4%	8	23.4%	76.6%
27	Spence (R)	27	15.7%	84.3%	27	9.3%	90.7%
12	King (R)	12	14.3%	85.2%	12	14.4%	85.6%
16	Open	16	13.9%	86.1%	16	6.7%	93.3%
6	Roberts (R)	6	13.9%	86.1%	6	13.9%	86.1%
31	Open	31	13.5%	86.5%	31	35.6%	64.4%
7	King (R)	7	13.3%	86.7%	7	13.7%	86.3%
23	Mitchell (R)	23	12.7%	87.3%	23	18.2%	81.8%
26	Newell (D)	26	12.1%	87.9%	26	13.4%	86.6%
10	Cadman (R)	10	12.0%	88.0%	10	14.9%	85.1%
9	Lambert (R)	9	11.8%	88.2%	9	10.3%	89.7%
19	Hudak (D)	19	11.7%	88.3%	19	13.5%	86.4%
15	Lundberg (R)	15	10.8%	89.2%	15	10.9%	89.1%
28	Williams (D)	28	10.7%	89.3%	28	17.3%	82.7%
14	Bacon (D)	14	10.4%	89.6%	14	10.2%	89.8%
2	Grantham (R)	2	7.9%	92.1%	2	24.5%	75.5%
4	Scheffel (R)	4	7.7%	92.3%	4	7.8%	92.2%
18	Heath (D)	18	7.6%	92.4%	18	8.1%	91.9%
22	Kopp (R)	22	7.2%	92.6%	22	8.2%	91.2%
30	Harvey (R)	30	7.2%	92.8%	30	7.2%	92.4%