We, the undersigned citizens of the State of Colorado, are opposed to Senate Bill 10-004.

We oppose the striking of the words "and as future conditions require" from statue 37-90-106 which is contrary to the original intent of being able to relying on engineering data, well testing, and other scientific data that becomes available to adjust water pumping and that the chance for conservation measures to protect the ground water supplies will be diminished if we don't continually monitor and adjust for future conditions. The proponents claim that little or no designated ground water in the Northern High Plains designated basin is tributary to stream flows and that ground water pumping has little or no direct negative effect on stream flows contrary to proven water modeling as signed in the Republican River Compact Compliance agreement.

We believe that Senate Bill 10-052 will have a direct negative impact on Surface Water Right holders by imposing a "Takings" by the state with the passage of this bill. This bill will have an indirect negative impact to surface water users as they could very well lose their surface rights because of stream flow declines caused by ground water pumping. The proposed bill is an attempt to circumvent and even negate the ability for the first in time first in right water law to be used in the event of further declines in stream flows which diminishes the potential value of the property as well as creating undue cost and expense to the Land Owner to attempt to access their surface water rights.

We believe that the Bill is denying the Surface Water Users as Citizens of Colorado our Due Process. Print Name Occupation/ County Bohnen DVm - Veterina - Ranker Verme popper. 12. 15.\_\_\_\_\_\_ 20.

We, the undersigned citizens of the State of Colorado, are opposed to Senate Bill 10-052.

We oppose the striking of the words "and as future conditions require" from statue 37-90-106 which is contrary to the original intent of being able to relying on engineering data, well testing, and other scientific data that becomes available to adjust water pumping and that the chance for conservation measures to protect the ground water supplies will be diminished if we don't continually monitor and adjust for future conditions. The proponents claim that little or no designated ground water in the Northern High Plains designated basin is tributary to stream flows and that ground water pumping has little or no direct negative effect on stream flows contrary to proven water modeling as signed in the Republican River Compact Compliance agreement.

We believe that Senate Bill 10-052 will have a direct negative impact on Surface Water Right holders by imposing a "Takings" by the state with the passage of this bill. This bill will have an indirect negative impact to surface water users as they could very well lose their surface rights because of stream flow declines caused by ground water pumping. The proposed bill is an attempt to circumvent and even negate the ability for the first in time first in right water law to be used in the event of further declines in stream flows which diminishes the potential value of the property as well as creating undue cost and expense to the Land Owner to attempt to access their surface water rights.

We believe that the Bill is denying the Surface Water Users as Citizens of Colorado our Due Process.

By our signature we acknowledge that we have read and agree with the above Petition.

Print Name Occupation/ County

reck, Juna Co.

4. Harry E. Chberry y una count
FARRY E, EKDERE
5. Marianne Karli MARIANNE KARLI
Juna Cty - Rancher/ Jeweler
6. Les Buch
Rex Buck Rancher
7. Sleven D. Dun
Steven D. Ivins Yung County
8/16/
Chris Stults Yuma County /6th generation Rancher
/
a M A Stalle Vincola /
9. Many Ann States Yuma County al
9. Many Ann Statts Yuma County al
MARY ANN Stucts  10.
Mary Ann Stucts
MARY ANN Stucts  10.
MARY ANN Stucts  10.
MARY ANN Stucts  10.  11.
MARY ANN Stucts  10.
MARY ANN Stucts  10.  11.
MARY ANN Stucts  10.  11.

14						
15						
16				·	- 1. i.	
17				<u> </u>		
<del></del>		<del></del>				
18	······································				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
	·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
9				-9:		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<del></del>	
0	6	<del></del>		**		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

We, the undersigned citizens of the State of Colorado, are opposed to Senate Bill 10-052.

We oppose the striking of the words "and as future conditions require" from statue 37-90-106 which is contrary to the original intent of being able to relying on engineering data, well testing, and other scientific data that becomes available to adjust water pumping and that the chance for conservation measures to protect the ground water supplies will be diminished if we don't continually monitor and adjust for future conditions. The proponents claim that little or no designated ground water in the Northern High Plains designated basin is tributary to stream flows and that ground water pumping has little or no direct negative effect on stream flows contrary to proven water modeling as signed in the Republican River Compact Compliance agreement.

We believe that Senate Bill 10-052 will have a direct negative impact on Surface Water Right holders by imposing a "Takings" by the state with the passage of this bill. This bill will have an indirect negative impact to surface water users as they could very well lose their surface rights because of stream flow declines caused by ground water pumping. The proposed bill is an attempt to circumvent and even negate the ability for the first in time first in right water law to be used in the event of further declines in stream flows which diminishes the potential value of the property as well as creating undue cost and expense to the Land Owner to attempt to access their surface water rights.

We believe that the Bill is denying the Surface Water Users as Citizens of Colorado our Due Process.

Print Name Occupation/ County

1. Ellenlengel, Ellen Lengel, Surface, water owner,  Juna County, Co.
2. Mark LENGEI SURFACE WATER OWNER  Yema County Co
3 Heorg Homm George Homm Surface Water Owner
4 Kenneth County KENNY CONDREY = BAIT SHOP OWNER Landowner Dugence Lengul VIVginia Lengel Lond Owner
Ougenea Lengel Vivginia Lengel Lond Owner
5. Una Oo,

6 Giris Serge L- 2949 Hay 385 Burlington
6. Enix Sugel - 2949 Hay 385 Burlington Fric Lenge   Yuma County
1. Bell Beaumon & Lobor Bill Beaumon & Kit Carson
Bill Beaumon T. M.T. (arson
8. Daniel Craffen 3937 CoRd LL,5 Danif Jahr 80735
9. Mille Patter 3937 Co Rall. 5 Idaile Colo 80735
Middel Patte
10. Ph & Tim 4738 Rollis Hale, Co 80735 Satery Mainten 4738 Rollis Idalia, Co 80735
11. pobert J. Brown - Kit CARSON CO
Land Cluner
12. Joh w. Pharr P.O. Box 124 Burtish K. + Carson anty
1/ 1/ / / 1218 Co LL. 5 Idalia Co Harold-Sha, Noty
Tend Donne
14. ROBERT VELTEN HALE, COLO 80735 Sim Vally Estate
Robert Kelter
15. Alam Jausen Adam Fanson 1999 Lowell Budinston 808. Creed Walkinshew creed walkinshaw 290 main St. Limon 808.

	16. Tenry Mook derry Melh
	Yuma county Land owner
	17 1 to 1 from a de Helen Human Meek
	Yuma County, co Surface water owner
2	18 fill ord Homm Clifford Homm
	18 Life ord John Clifford Homm June County, Co surface water owner
	19. Carolyn Homm Carolyn Homon
	Yuma County, Co landowner
	20 Benda Cordies Branda Condrey
	Juma County Business own Backhap-
í	<b>!</b>

We, the undersigned citizens of the State of Colorado, are opposed to Senate Bill 10-052.

We oppose the striking of the words "and as future conditions require" from statue 37-90-106 which is contrary to the original intent of being able to relying on engineering data, well testing, and other scientific data that becomes available to adjust water pumping and that the chance for conservation measures to protect the ground water supplies will be diminished if we don't continually monitor and adjust for future conditions. The proponents claim that little or no designated ground water in the Northern High Plains designated basin is tributary to stream flows and that ground water pumping has little or no direct negative effect on stream flows contrary to proven water modeling as signed in the Republican River Compact Compliance agreement.

We believe that Senate Bill 10-052 will have a direct negative impact on Surface Water Right holders by imposing a "Takings" by the state with the passage of this bill. This bill will have an indirect negative impact to surface water users as they could very well lose their surface rights because of stream flow declines caused by ground water pumping. The proposed bill is an attempt to circumvent and even negate the ability for the first in time first in right water law to be used in the event of further declines in stream flows which diminishes the potential value of the property as well as creating undue cost and expense to the Land Owner to attempt to access their surface water rights.

We believe that the Bill is denying the Surface Water Users as Citizens of Colorado our Due Process.

By our signature we acknowledge that we have read and agree with the above Petition.

1. I Amos Prop Faylo Z Timothy J. Taylor 711 Web Stel Lot # 3 Bullington Co. 80807 Kit carson county KUNLINGTON, CO.

Print Name Occupation/ County

4. Jin Ca De 16934 Huy 388
Burlington Co 3080)
5. Kogni M. Forrade 625 10WA Ave.
Strattop Co. 80836
6. My 783 Lowell are Bru-Ington Co 80807
Bu-Ington Co 80807
7.04 oward Mullis 5603 4357
Bunlingran Co 80807
8. Just Rudwid 17450 Rd. 42
Budington Co. 80807
Le Belis
Busington Co. 80607
10. J. W Tuply
Bulington, Co. 80807
11. All Buselly 1711 Rose
Bustinifes (0 8280)
12. Pobrich, & Wiam
17 Gedar Cirale E. Buslington CO 20807
13 Jan Johlonse
Burlington Copo. 80807
755 & th ST.

g Stults oppose 52 petition.do	С		Page 3 of 3
14 Josen Lambe	ed		
810 19 5T	Bul Co		
15			
16.			mangangan ang at an
		<del></del>	
17			
18.		-12	
	45.		
19.			
20.	a ja		

### BEFORE THE HOUSE AGRICULTURAL COMMITTEE in the STATE OF COLORADO

We, the undersigned citizens of the State of Colorado, are opposed to Senate Bill 10-052.

We oppose the striking of the words "and as future conditions require" from statue 37-90-106 which is contrary to the original intent of being able to relying on engineering data, well testing, and other scientific data that becomes available to adjust water pumping and that the chance for conservation measures to protect the ground water supplies will be diminished if we don't continually monitor and adjust for future conditions. The proponents claim that little or no designated ground water in the Northern High Plains designated basin is tributary to stream flows and that ground water pumping has little or no direct negative effect on stream flows contrary to proven water modeling as signed in the Republican River Compact Compliance agreement.

We believe that Senate Bill 10-052 will have a direct negative impact on Surface Water Right holders by imposing a "Takings" by the state with the passage of this bill. This bill will have an indirect negative impact to surface water users as they could very well lose their surface rights because of stream flow declines caused by ground water pumping. The proposed bill is an attempt to circumvent and even negate the ability for the first in time first in right water law to be used in the event of further declines in stream flows which diminishes the potential value of the property as well as creating undue cost and expense to the Land Owner to attempt to access their surface water rights.

We believe that the Bill is denying the Surface Water Users as Citizens of Colorado our Due Process.

By our signature we acknowledge that we have read and agree with the above Petition.
Signed Print Name Occupation/ County
· Short & Bol = 500 TI. Bouries - Welder - K. H.
3707680
_ = That Edmundson - STUARTLEdmundson shop owner
KI+ CARSON CO
Daylattertucky
Lit Carson-Burlington Co. Lete timo Resident

Chule It I dreasen Clarge A. Andreasen
- Claude F. J. dreaser Clarge A. Andreaser 2351 Syndan Gre Builington Gr 80807
5. Jany Kaster Sales
7 30h Hellowell st. Sols.
Burlington, Colo.
6. Darren Dennest
2582 Ponen
Darl. C. 80807
7 Sey Hars Casey Hays Rancher
47251 Rd y
Burlington Co. 80807
8. Kenneth M. Author Kenneth McArthur Rancher
34965 County Road 39 STRATION Colo 80836
9. Sarah Mcmanigal
215 E 2nd Bethune Co. 80805 Clerk Landowner Rit Carson County
10. Rya Cole
260 B Street Bethroe Co. 80805 KitCarson County
11. Steve McMangal
289 8th Burlington Co. 80807 Landonner Kit Carson Courty
12. All. M. DAVID RICHARDSON
358 OAK ST BURLINGTEN CO. 80807 FIT CARSON COUNTY MANAGER TRAVEL SHOP CONOCO BURLINGTO
MANAGER TRAVEL SHIP CONOCO BURLANGO
13. Dale Tousing
156 15th CTREAT Burling Jon Wald 8080 Burling Ton cold 9580
Color Gard and Burlington cold 80881

### We, the undersigned citizens of the State of Colorado, are opposed to Senate Bill 10-052.

We oppose the striking of the words "and as future conditions require" from statue 37-90-106 which is contrary to the original intent of being able to relying on engineering data, well testing, and other scientific data that becomes available to adjust water pumping and that the chance for conservation measures to protect the ground water supplies will be diminished if we don't continually monitor and adjust for future conditions. The proponents claim that little or no designated ground water in the Northern High Plains designated basin is tributary to stream flows and that ground water pumping has little or no direct negative effect on stream flows contrary to proven water modeling as signed in the Republican River Compact Compliance agreement.

We believe that Senate Bill 10-052 will have a direct negative impact on Surface Water Right holders by imposing a "Takings" by the state with the passage of this bill. This bill will have an indirect negative impact to surface water users as they could very well lose their surface rights because of stream flow declines caused by ground water pumping. The proposed bill is an attempt to circumvent and even negate the ability for the first in time first in right water law to be used in the event of further declines in stream flows which diminishes the potential value of the property as well as creating undue cost and expense to the Land Owner to attempt to access their surface water rights.

We believe that the Bill is denying the Surface Water Users as Citizens of Colorado our Due Process. Signed Print Name Occupation/ County