Blue Book

State Personnel System

1	The proposed	amendment to the Colorado Constitution:
2 3	•	exempts about 140 additional state employees from the state civil service system, also known as the state personnel system;
4 5	•	changes testing and hiring procedures for filling vacancies in the state personnel system;
6 7 8	•	transfers certain oversight responsibilities from the State Personnel Board to the executive director of the Department of Personnel and Administration;
9 10	•	allows the legislature to change certain state personnel policies and procedures by law; and
11 12	•	expands veterans' hiring preferences to include members of the National Guard.

13 Background

What is the state personnel system? Colorado voters amended the state constitution in 1918 to create the state personnel system. It requires that state employees be hired and promoted according to merit. This proposal makes a number of changes to the constitution and in certain instances gives the legislature the authority to change the personnel system.

19 Currently, there are about 31,000 state employees in the state personnel system. 20 Most are employees of the state's 19 departments, and some are employees of state higher 21 education institutions. About 29,000 additional state employees are exempt from the state 22 personnel system, including department heads, faculty of public universities, and employees 23 of the legislature, the Governor's Office, and the state courts. This proposal exempts an 24 additional 0.45 percent of the number of employees in the state personnel system, or about 25 140 senior state officers and support staff combined. Table 1 shows the personnel system 26 employment requirements under the constitution and this proposal.

2	Issue	The Current Personnel System:	The Proposed Personnel System:
3 4 5	Hiring and Promotions	Prohibits discrimination based on race, religion, and political affiliation.	Adds prohibitions on discrimination based on sex and age, unless otherwise permitted by law.
6 7 8 9	Residency	Requires state employees to reside in Colorado.	Allows the legislature to make exceptions to the Colorado residency requirement, provided employees are United States residents.
10 11	Discipline	Sets criteria for disciplining an employee in the constitution.	Allows the legislature to address certain disciplinary policies in law.
12 13	Temporary Employees	Limits temporary employment to six months.	Limits temporary employment to nine months in any 12-month period.

Table 1: Current and Proposed State Personnel System

14 How is the state personnel system governed? The five-member State Personnel 15 Board sets the policy for the state personnel system, and the executive director of the 16 Department of Personnel and Administration takes care of day-to-day operations. Table 2 17 describes the current duties of the board and the executive director and the proposed 18 changes.

19

Table 2: Oversight of State Personnel System

20	Issue	The Current Personnel System:	The Proposed Personnel System:
21 22 23	Board Membership	Limits members' terms to five years. Prohibits state employees from serving on the board.	Limits members' terms to two five-year terms. Allows state employees to serve on the board.
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Board Duties	Requires the board to make rules governing the state personnel system and to hear appeals from employees and job applicants.	Transfers the board's rule-making authority over hiring, job classifications, compensation, performance standards, and voluntary departures to the executive director. Retains the board's powers over grievances, discipline, involuntary dismissals, and appeals. Allows the legislature to transfer duties between the board and executive director.
35 36 37 38 39	Executive Director Duties	Manages the state personnel system and approves temporary employment of up to six months.	Expands the executive director's duties to include rule-making over hiring, job classifications, compensation, performance standards, and voluntary departures.

1 2

3

the proposed changes.

4

Table 3: Hiring of State Personnel System Employees

promoted. Table 3 describes current law pertaining to testing and hiring procedures and

How are job applicants hired? Current law identifies how employees are hired and

5	Issue	The Current Personnel System:	The Proposed Personnel System:
6 7 8 9 10	Testing	Requires applicants for jobs in the state personnel system to be hired based on competitive testing.	Requires job applicants to be hired based on a comparison of qualifications. Requires the legislature to determine the methods for comparing applicants.
11 12	Interview Eligibility List	Limits the eligibility list to the three highest scoring applicants.	Increases the eligibility list to six applicants.
13 14 15 16 17 18	Veterans' Preference	Adds preference points to the passing test scores of veterans who served during war time.	Extends the preference to National Guard veterans who served during war time. Requires that all veterans who served during war time be interviewed if scored testing is not used.

19 How will this proposal be implemented? During the 2004 legislative session, a 20 state law was passed that defines procedures and sets limits on issues addressed in this 21 proposal. Most of the law will go into effect only if this proposal is adopted. Among other 22 provisions, the bill prohibits more than 15 exempt officers and employees in any department 23 and changes the laws regulating contracts for services. Table 4 shows the current limits on 24 such contracts and the new requirements.

25

Table 4: Contracts for Services

26	Issue	Current Service Contract Law:	House Bill 04-1373:
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	Service Contracts	Permits contracting only for state government functions not traditionally performed by employees in the state personnel system. Prohibits contracts that eliminate a position within the state personnel system.	Repeals current law pertaining to when the state may use contracts for services. Allows contracts for all state government functions as long as the security of state, local, and national information systems are not compromised. Allows positions to be eliminated as long as employees are moved to new positions within the state personnel system.

	Issue	Current Service Contract Law:	House Bill 04-1373:
1 2	Notice and Appeal	Not addressed in law.	Requires notification of the public and affected employees prior to eliminating jobs in the state personnel system. Allows employees to request a review of the contract by the executive director of the Department of Personnel and Administration and the courts.
3 4 5	Contract Oversight	Requires contract approval by the Department of Personnel and Administration executive director.	Requires approval by the contracting department's executive director.
6 7 8 9	Foreign Contractors	Not addressed in law.	Permits, if the contract maintains quality of service, protects privacy, and discloses work performed outside the United States.

10 Arguments For

1) The constitution needs to be updated to allow the state's workforce to keep pace 12 with the work environment of the 21st Century. The state personnel system has not changed 13 significantly in the past 85 years. This proposal increases the flexibility of the personnel 14 system by eliminating unnecessary detail from the constitution and allowing the legislature 15 to adjust the system to respond to changing circumstances. Colorado is one of only 15 16 states whose personnel system is tied to the state constitution. Requiring a vote of the 17 people every time an aspect of the system becomes outdated or unworkable is inefficient.

18 2) Taxpayer money should be used to hire the best candidate for a job. The current 19 personnel system favors people who are the best test takers, not necessarily the most 20 qualified candidates. This proposal helps ensure that the best candidate is hired by 21 expanding the pool of eligible candidates and allowing a more effective comparison of 22 desired job qualifications.

3) This proposal allows a governor's administration to select about 140 more
individuals who share the governor's values to carry out the administration's policies. The
state personnel system has grown from about 1,000 employees in 1916 to over 31,000 in
2004. However, the ability of a governor and the administration to appoint high-level state
administrators has not changed. With this proposal, future governors will be able to get off
to a quick start on their policy initiatives because senior personnel from past administrations
can be easily replaced.

30 4) The state will spend taxpayer money wisely if it can hire well-qualified
31 employees and improve the use of service contracts, resulting in an efficient personnel
32 system that provides high quality services. Further, all state contracts will continue to be

1 subject to current purchasing, financial, employee conduct, and disclosure requirements.

2 These requirements protect the new system against awarding contracts as political favors.

3 Arguments Against

4 1) This proposal gives governors and their appointees too much power to control 5 state government. Each administration will be given about 140 additional appointments. 6 Also, the governor-appointed executive director of the Department of Personnel and 7 Administration will now have policy-making authority over areas of the personnel system 8 that the State Personnel Board has traditionally overseen. Those areas include hiring, job 9 classifications, compensation, performance standards and voluntary departures. The 10 proposal also allows the legislature to shift further power from the State Personnel Board 11 to the executive director. Making the personnel system subject to annual changes by the legislature could disrupt the personnel system. These changes combined may make the state 12 13 personnel system less predictable and vulnerable to abuse.

2) Comparing applicant qualifications, rather than testing, could be manipulated to
allow state employees to be hired based on their political connections and not on merit.
Testing candidates to determine the best candidate for a job is the most efficient and fair
way to hire employees.

18 3) More contracting with private companies could shift jobs out of Colorado to 19 other states and countries. Also, there is no guarantee that unregulated contract workers 20 will provide services to the state in the most cost-effective manner. State contracts 21 awarded by appointees may lead to abuses if contracts are used as political favors.

4) This proposal could result in more political appointees. More political
appointees in management positions may not lead to better state government. Instead,
institutional knowledge will be lost as experienced senior personnel system employees are
displaced by appointees who may not have the necessary skills to perform the job.

26 Estimate of Fiscal Impact

27 This proposal is not expected to significantly affect state or local expenditures.