Selection of Presidential Electors

- 1 The proposed amendment to the Colorado Constitution:
- eliminates the current system in which the presidential candidate receiving the
 most votes gets all of the state's electoral votes;
- allocates Colorado's electoral votes based on the percentage of votes for each
 presidential candidate; and
 - makes the changes effective for the November 2004 presidential election.

Background

In the United States, the president and vice president are elected using a system called the electoral college. Under this system, each state is allotted electoral votes equal to the number of the state's representatives and senators in the U.S. Congress. The electoral college currently consists of 538 electors from all 50 states and the District of Columbia. Colorado has nine of these electors. In all but two states, the candidate who gets the most votes receives all of the state's electoral votes. A candidate must receive at least 270 electoral votes to win the presidency. If no candidate obtains a majority of electoral votes, the presidency is decided by the U.S. House of Representatives, with each state allotted one vote.

In Colorado, each political party designates nine electors. Electors pledge to support that party's candidate for president and vice president. After each presidential election, electors from the winning party meet at the State Capitol to cast their vote for president and for vice president. All 50 states have a similar process for choosing electors.

Under this proposal, beginning with the November 2004 election, Colorado would allocate its electoral votes according to the percentage of ballots cast for each presidential ticket. Electoral votes would be divided, in whole numbers, among the competing candidates according to the number of votes each candidate receives. For example, if candidate Smith gets 55 percent of the votes and candidate Jones gets 45 percent, then Smith would receive five electoral votes and Jones would receive four.

The proposal also adds procedures and timelines to the state constitution for certifying election results and recounts related to the vote on this proposal.

Arguments For

- 1) This proposal makes Colorado's electoral vote more accurately reflect the statewide vote. Under the current winner-take-all system one candidate automatically gets all of the state's electoral votes, even if he or she doesn't win a majority of votes on election day. Instead, Colorado's electoral votes should reflect all candidates that have widespread support, not just the candidate that gets as little as one more vote than another.
- 2) This proposal may motivate more people to vote since the votes of more Coloradans will be represented in the electoral college. Under the current system, eligible citizens may not bother to participate in elections if they believe that their vote will have no impact on the outcome, especially independent voters not affiliated with one of the two major political parties. The proposal may also encourage greater participation by minor-party candidates who could be more likely to receive electoral votes.

Arguments Against

- 1) Capturing all of the state's electoral votes will be more difficult under the proposal, making Colorado less influential in presidential elections. The current system rewards the most popular candidate and encourages candidates to campaign in the state on issues of importance to Coloradans. In contrast, the proposal reduces the incentive to campaign in Colorado when a candidate might only pick up one or two additional electoral votes.
- 2) By making it easier for minor-party candidates to win electoral votes in Colorado, the proposal could lead to a situation where no candidate wins a majority of the electoral vote nationally. If this happens, the presidency would be determined by the U.S. House of Representatives with each state getting only one vote. This results in smaller states having disproportionate power and further weakens the popular vote by increasing the chance that the U.S. Congress, not the public, will elect the president.

Estimate of Fiscal Impact

This proposal does not significantly affect state or local expenditures.