Final Draft with Responses

Construction Liability

1 The proposed amendment to the Colorado Constitution:

- prohibits limits, with some exceptions, on a property owner's ability to recover damages when improvements to property are not constructed in a "good and workmanlike manner";
- defines an improvement constructed in a "good and workmanlike manner" as
 an improvement that is suitable for its intended purposes.

7 Background

2

3

4

8 Currently, state law establishes a procedure to recover damages from a construction 9 professional when construction is defective. Under this law, a property owner may sue the 10 responsible construction professional after giving notice and providing an opportunity to 11 fix the defect. Construction professionals include architects, contractors, developers, and others involved in the construction business. If an agreement to fix the defect is not reached 12 13 within 75 days in the case of residential property, or 90 days in the case of commercial 14 property, the property owner may sue the construction professional responsible for the 15 defect.

16 A property owner who sues, and wins, may be reimbursed for the lesser of the 17 following three dollar amounts: 1) the value of the property without the defect, 2) the cost to replace the property, or 3) the reasonable cost to repair the defect. Medical expenses 18 19 resulting from an injury are fully reimbursable. Awards for "pain and suffering" for bodily 20 and personal injury are capped at \$250,000; a property owner cannot be awarded money 21 due to the loss of use of property. In addition, if the owner can show that the construction 22 professional knowingly violated the law that protects consumers from fraud, he or she may 23 be awarded up to an additional \$250,000. Damage awards may also include the costs 24 associated with moving, interest, or legal fees. Under this law, a lawsuit must be filed within 25 two years from the date of discovering the defect or six years from the date the construction 26 occurred.

27 *The proposal.* This proposal creates a new section in the state constitution that 28 affects current law. It removes limitations on the amount of money a property owner can 29 collect in damages, except for punitive damages and lawsuits against governments. It also 30 sets in the state constitution the current time frames for filing a lawsuit. Finally, the 31 proposal could eliminate the current requirement that a property owner and construction 32 professional try to resolve the problem before bringing a lawsuit. In addition to these 33 changes to current law, the proposal affects the types of laws the legislature can pass in the 34 future concerning construction problems.

1 Arguments For

1) The proposal protects property owners by ensuring they can be fully compensated for faulty construction. For the past three years, property owners have been limited in their ability to recover damages. Damages will be determined on a case-by-case basis in a court of law, rather than through a formula that treats all property owners the same. Property owners will again be eligible for reasonable compensation for the pain and suffering caused by a defect.

8 2) The proposal changes a system that favors construction professionals at the 9 expense of property owners. Individual property owners do not have the necessary time 10 or resources to effectively negotiate with large corporations that may be responsible. It 11 creates constitutional standards that safeguard property owners from laws that limit their 12 ability to collect damages.

13 Arguments Against

14 1) The proposal will drive up the cost of insurance for construction professionals 15 and ultimately the cost of housing to consumers. An increase in the number of lawsuits, and 16 the awards that result from those lawsuits, could make insurance costs prohibitive, driving 17 builders out of the market. In the long run, such market consolidation hurts both the 18 construction sector and consumers through higher building prices and fewer choices within 19 the construction industry.

20 2) A process already exists for property owners and construction professionals to 21 resolve construction defect disputes without immediately turning to the courts. The current 22 system also defines damages in a way that is fair to both property owners and construction 23 professionals: It compensates property owners for the actual cost of fixing their property 24 but limits excessive compensation.

25 Estimate of Fiscal Impact

26 This proposal may affect the time devoted to construction-related cases by Colorado 27 courts. If the proposal increases the incentive for property owners to pursue claims, the 28 caseload and the time spent per case may increase. On the other hand, if it increases the 29 incentive for construction professionals to either increase construction quality or settle 30 claims out of court, the time devoted to construction-related cases may decrease. 31 Ultimately, the effect of the proposal on the courts will depend on the number of claims 32 filed, the portion of those claims settled out of court, and the time devoted to each case that 33 goes to trial.