1st Draft

Construction Liability

1	The proposed amendment to the Colorado Constitution:	
2 3 4	•	prohibits limitations on a property owner's ability to recover damages that result from construction defects on his or her property with certain exceptions;
5 6	•	eliminates the requirement that property owners give notice to builders before suing for damages; and
7	•	provides a minimum time limit for when lawsuits may be filed.

8 Background

9 Under current law, when new construction is defective, a property owner may sue 10 the person responsible for the defect after notifying the responsible party and providing 11 an opportunity to fix the defect. If an agreement to fix the defect is not reached within 75 12 days in the case of residential property, or 90 days in the case of commercial property, the 13 property owner may sue the person responsible for the defect.

Currently, a property owner who sues, and wins, may be reimbursed for: the value of the property without the alleged defect; the cost to replace the property; or the reasonable cost to repair the defect, whichever is less. A property owner may also be awarded up to \$250,000, if the defect results in personal or bodily injury and up to \$250,000 under the Colorado Consumer Protection Act. Damage awards may also include the costs associated with moving, interest, and legal fees. Most construction professionals carry insurance to cover the risks of litigation.

This proposal would eliminate the current process requiring a property owner and construction professional to try and resolve the construction defect prior to bringing a lawsuit. It would also remove limitations on damage awards but allow limits on punitive damages and laws for governmental immunity. The proposal requires that property owners be given at least two years from the date of discovering the defect to file a lawsuit. However, state law may require a property owner to file a lawsuit within six years from the date the construction occurred.

28 Arguments For

1st Draft

1 1) Property owners will no longer be limited in their ability to recover damages 2 related to construction defects. This proposal provides a constitutional right for property 3 owners to sue in the event of faulty construction. It restores fairness to the current 4 system, which allows a construction professional to be responsible for less than the actual 5 damage caused.

6 2) The proposal changes the current system that favors construction professionals at 7 the expense of property owners. Individual property owners do not have the necessary 8 time or resources to effectively negotiate with large corporations that may be responsible 9 for the defects. Property owners should be able to sue to ensure that work is properly 10 completed. Construction professionals can always write disclaimers into their construction 11 contract and should not need a law to protect them from valid claims.

12 Arguments Against

A process already exists for property owners and construction professionals to
resolve construction defect disputes without immediately turning to the courts. The
current system also defines damages in a way that is fair to both owners and builders.
Furthermore, current property owners may find themselves in a position to be sued by
future owners for defects resulting from work done on the property.

The proposal will drive up the cost of insurance for construction professionals and
ultimately the cost of housing to consumers. Any increase in the number of lawsuits could
make insurance costs prohibitive, driving builders out of the market. In the long run, such
market consolidation hurts both the vitality of the construction sector and consumers
through higher building prices and fewer choices within the construction industry.

23 Estimate of Fiscal Impact

The proposal is likely to increase the number of construction defect claims filed with the state courts. However, construction defect cases are not currently tracked and it is difficult to estimate how the proposal will impact case filings or settlements. If the number of construction defect cases increases, the amount of time to process these cases would also increase, thereby increasing associated administrative costs.