HOUSE JOURNAL

SIXTY-FOURTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

First Regular Session

STATE OF COLORADO

Third Legislative Day

Friday, January 10, 2003

1	Prayer by Representative Stafford.
2 3	The Speaker called the House to order at 9:00 a.m.
4 5	Pledge of Allegiance led by Representative Witwer.
6 7	The roll was called with the following result:
8 9	Present61.
10	ExcusedRepresentatives Boyd, Groff, Pommer, T. Williams4.
11 12 13	The Speaker declared a quorum present.
14	
15 16	On motion of Representative Briggs, the reading of the journal of January 9, 2003, was declared dispensed with and approved as corrected
17	by the Chief Clerk.
18	of the older cieff.
19	
20	
21	CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTIONS
22 23	SJR03-002 by Senator(s) Anderson, Andrews, Fitz-Gerald; also
24	SJR03-002 by Senator(s) Anderson, Andrews, Fitz-Gerald; also Representative(s) Cloer, Johnson R., Garcia, Jahn-
25	Concerning employee salary ranges for the First Regular
26	Session of the Sixty-fourth General Assembly.
27	·
28	(Printed and placed in Member's file.)
29	
30	Amendment No. 1, moved by Representative Cloer.
31 32	Amond angressed joint resolution, nego 2, line 45, strike "G50" and
33	Amend engrossed joint resolution, page 2, line 45, strike "G50" and substitute "G30".
34	substitute G50.
35	Page 3, line 2, strike "H47" and substitute "H51";
36	
37	line 3, strike "H31" and substitute "H45".
38	
39	The amendment was declared passed by viva voce vote.
40 41	Amendment No. 2, moved by Representative Weissmann.
42	Timenament 140. 2, moved by Representative Weissmann.
43	Amend engrossed joint resolution, page 2, strike line 25.

The amendment was declared **lost** by the following roll call vote:

5	YES	11	NO	50	EXCUSED	04	ABSENT	00
6	Berry	N	Groff	Е	McCluskey	N	Sinclair	N
7	Borodkin	Y	Hall	N	McFadyen	N	Smith	N
8	Boyd	E	Harvey	N	Merrifield	N	Spence	N
9	Briggs	N	Hefley	N	Miller	N	Stafford	N
10	Brophy	N	Hodge	Y	Mitchell	N	Stengel	N
11	Butcher	N	Hoppe	N	Paccione	N	Tochtrop	N
12	Cadman	N	Jahn	N	Plant	Y	Veiga	N
13	Clapp	N	Johnson	N	Pommer	E	Vigil	N
14	Cloer	N	Judd	Y	Ragsdale	Y	Weddig	Y
15	Coleman	N	King	N	Rhodes	N	Weissmann	Y
16	Crane	N	Larson	N	Rippy	N	White	N
17	Decker	N	Lee	N	Romanoff	Y	Wiens	N
18	Fairbank	N	Lundberg	N	Rose	N	Williams S.	N
19	Frangas	N	Madden	Y	Salazar	N	Williams T.	E
20	Fritz	N	Marshall	Y	Sanchez	Y	Witwer	N
21	Garcia	N	May	N	Schultheis	N	Young	N
22			•				Speaker	N
23								-

On motion of Representative Cloer, the resolution as amended was **adopted** by the following roll call vote:

YES	59	NO	02	EXCUSED	04	ABSENT	00
Berry	Y	Groff	Е	McCluskey	Y	Sinclair	Y
Borodkin	N	Hall	Y	McFadyen	Y	Smith	Y
Boyd	\mathbf{E}	Harvey	Y	Merrifield	Y	Spence	Y
Briggs	Y	Hefley	Y	Miller	Y	Stafford	Y
Brophy	Y	Hodge	Y	Mitchell	Y	Stengel	Y
Butcher	Y	Hoppe	Y	Paccione	Y	Tochtrop	Y
Cadman	Y	Jahn	Y	Plant	Y	Veiga	Y
Clapp	Y	Johnson	Y	Pommer	E	Vigil	Y
Cloer	Y	Judd	Y	Ragsdale	Y	Weddig	Y
Coleman	Y	King	Y	Rhodes	Y	Weissmann	N
Crane	Y	Larson	Y	Rippy	Y	White	Y
Decker	Y	Lee	Y	Romanoff	Y	Wiens	Y
Fairbank	Y	Lundberg	Y	Rose	Y	Williams S.	Y
Frangas	Y	Madden	Y	Salazar	Y	Williams T.	E
Fritz	Y	Marshall	Y	Sanchez	Y	Witwer	Y
Garcia	Y	May	Y	Schultheis	Y	Young	Y
		•				Speaker	Y

HR03-1003 by Representative(s) Cloer, Johnson R., Garcia, Jahn-Concerning the appointment of officers and employees for the House of Representatives of the Sixty-Fourth General Assembly.

(Printed and placed in Member's file; also printed in House journal, January 8, pages 44-45.)

On motion of Representative Cloer, the resolution was **adopted** by **viva voce** vote.

1 2 3 4 5	<u>HR03-1004</u>	by Representative(s) Cloer, Johnson R., Garcia, Jahn-Concerning payment of employees for pre-session work for the House of Representatives convened in the First Regular Session of the Sixty-fourth General Assembly.
6 7 8	(Printed and January 8, pa	placed in Member's file; also printed in House journal, ge 45.)
9 10 11	On motion of voce vote.	Representative Cloer, the resolution was adopted by viva
12 13 14 15	HR03-1005	by Representative(s) Cloer, Johnson R., Garcia, Jahn-Concerning the chaplain position in the House of Representatives.
16 17 18	(Printed and January 8, pa	placed in Member's file; also printed in House journal, ge 45.)
19 20 21 22	On motion of voce vote.	Representative Cloer, the resolution was adopted by viva
23 24 25 26 27 28 29	HJR03-1004	by Representative(s) King, Spradley, Veiga; also Senator(s) Anderson, Andrews, Fitz-GeraldConcerning a Joint Session of the House of Representatives and the Senate for the purpose of hearing a message from His Excellency, Governor Bill Owens, and appointing a committee to escort the Governor.
30 31 32 33	(Printed and January 9, pa	placed in Member's file; also printed in House journal, ge 50.)
34 35 36	On motion of voce vote.	Representative King, the resolution was adopted by viva
37 38 39 40	Pursuant to T. Williams,	the resolution, the Speaker appointed Representative Hoppe, Miller.
41 42 43 44 45 46	HJR03-1005	by Representative(s) King; also Senator(s) Anderson-Concerning changes to the oversight responsibilities of committees of reference to correspond to changes to the names of the committees of reference in the house of representatives and the senate.
47 48 49	(Printed and January 9, pa	placed in Member's file; also printed in House journal, ges 50-52.)
50 51 52	On motion of voce vote.	Representative King, the resolution was adopted by viva
53 54 55 56	SJR03-003	by Senator(s) Anderson, Andrews, Fitz-Gerald; also Representative(s) King, Spradley, VeigaConcerning a joint session of the Senate and the House of

	Representatives of the Sixty-fourth General Assembly for the inauguration ceremonies.
(Printed and p	laced in Member's file.)
On motion of voce vote.	Representative King, the resolution was adopted by viva
Spradley, T. W	the resolution, the Speaker appointed Representatives Villiams, Veiga to escort the Governor, and Representatives, Jahn to escort the Lieutenant Governor.
	PRINTING REPORT
HB03-1092,	ork reports the following bills have been correctly printed: 1093, 1094, 1095, 1096, 1097, 1098, 1099, 1100, 1101, 104, 1105, 1106.
	INTRODUCTION OF BILLS First Reading
The following indicated:	g bills were read by title and referred to the committees
HB03-1107 Committee on	by Representative(s) Johnson R.; also Senator(s) Johnson SConcerning modifications to the consumer-directed attendant support program. Health, Environment, Welfare, & Institutions
HB03-1108 Committee on	by Representative(s) PaccioneConcerning a standard policy for accepting international baccalaureate diploma students in Colorado institutions of higher education. Education
HB03-1109 Committee on	by Representative(s) Marshall; also Senator(s) Dyer-Concerning the creation of a definition for a juvenile "status offender" for purposes of compliance with federal law. Judiciary
<u>HB03-1110</u>	by Representative(s) StengelConcerning certain moneys that the state owes taxpayers for overpayment of certain taxes.
Committee on Committee on	
	by Representative(s) HarveyConcerning the authority of county governments to prosecute violations of the county's building code. State, Veterans, & Military Affairs
	On motion of voce vote. Pursuant to a Spradley, T. W. Sinclair, King The Chief Cle HB03-1092, 1102, 1103, 1 The following indicated: HB03-1107 Committee on HB03-1109 Committee on HB03-1110 Committee on Comm

			_
1 2 3	<u>HB03</u>	<u>-1112</u>	by Representative(s) McFadyen; also Senator(s) Tapia-Concerning the inadmissability of certain confidential communications by juveniles.
4 5	Comn	nittee on	1 Judiciary
6 7 8	HB03	<u>-1113</u>	by Representative(s) SalazarConcerning the inclusion in a decree for a water right that diverts water from a water division of conditions to mitigate the effects of the
9 10	Comn	nittee or	diversion on the basin of origin. Agriculture, Livestock, & Natural Resources
11 12	HB03	-1114	by Representative(s) Clapp; also Senator(s) Hillman
13 14			Concerning employees in public schools. Education
15 16			
17			INTEROPLICATION OF DECOLUTIONS
18 19			INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS
20 21 22	The fo		g resolutions were read by title and laid over one day under
23 24	HR03	<u>-1006</u>	by Representative(s) SpradleyConcerning the consideration of senate amendments to house bills.
25 26 27	Gener	Be It R al Asse	esolved by the House of Representatives of the Sixty-fourth mbly of the State of Colorado:
28 29 30	amend	That R led to re	tule 36 (d) of the Rules of the House of Representatives is ead:
31 32			36. DISAGREEMENT
33	(4)	To Alec	avent the Hayes shall vets to request a conference the
34 35	(d)	Speake	event the House shall vote to request a conference, the er shall appoint a committee of three members to represent
36 37		Senate	use. No vote on concurring in any amendment made by the to a House bill or on the adoption of a report of a
38		confere	ence committee shall be taken until such amendment or
39		report	shall have been placed on the desk of each member, and
40 41		particu	larly referred to in the calendar, but this rule may be ded during the last three days of session. IN ADDITION:
42		_	and during the last three days of session. It in Billion,
43 44		(1)	NO VOTE ON CONCURRING IN ANY AMENDMENT MADE BY
44			THE SENATE TO A HOUSE BILL SHALL BE TAKEN UNLESS NOTICE OF SUCH ACTION SHALL HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO IN
46			A CALENDAR THAT HAS BEEN PRINTED AND DISTRIBUTED AT
47			LEAST TWENTY-FOUR HOURS BEFORE SUCH VOTE.
48 49		(2)	THE PROVISIONS OF PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION
50		(2)	(d) SHALL BE SUSPENDED DURING THE LAST THREE DAYS OF
51			ANY REGULAR SESSION AND DURING ANY SPECIAL SESSION.
52 53		(3)	THE PROVISIONS OF PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION
54		(-)	(d) SHALL BE SUSPENDED UPON THE NONDEBATABLE
55			ANNOUNCEMENT OF THE MAJORITY LEADER THAT THE
56			VOTE ON CONCURRING IN ANY AMENDMENT MADE TO A

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	HOUSE BILL BY THE SENATE SHOULD OCCUR IN LESS THAN TWENTY-FOUR HOURS BECAUSE OF AN EMERGENCY, AN IMPENDING DEADLINE, OR THE NEED TO COMPLY WITH ANY STATE OR FEDERAL REQUIREMENT, OR IN ORDER TO ADDRESS A STATED PUBLIC POLICY ISSUE.
8 9 10	<u>HR03-1007</u> by Representative(s) SpradleyConcerning the protection of the deliberations of house committees.
11 12 13 14	Be It Resolved by the House of Representatives of the Sixty-fourth General Assembly of the State of Colorado:
15 16 17	That Rule 25 (j) (1) of the Rules of the House of Representatives is amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SUBPARAGRAPH to read:
18 19	25. COMMITTEES
20 21 22 23	(j) All committees of reference, as listed in (a) above, shall observe the following rules of procedure:
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	(1) (H) Protection of committee deliberations - disruptions. In accordance with section 2-2-404, Colorado Revised Statutes, when conducting a legislative hearing or meeting, the chairman of a committee of reference may request that a sergeant-at-arms remove any person who is violating the provisions of section 18-9-110, Colorado Revised Statutes, or who otherwise impedes, disrupts, or hinders the proceeding or endangers any member, officer, or employee of the General Assembly or any member of the public.
38 39 40 41 42	On motion of Representative King, the House adjourned until 10:00 a.m., January 13, 2003.
43 44	JOINT SESSION
45 46 47 48	The Joint Session was called to order by the Speaker of the House, Lola Spradley.
49 50 51	On motion of Senator Anderson, the morning roll call of the Senate was made the roll call of the Joint Session.
52 53 54 55	Present34. ExcusedSenator Tate.

2 3	made the roll call of the Joint Session.
3	D
4	Present61.
5	ExcusedRepresentatives Boyd, Groff, Pommer, Williams T.
6	
7	The Constant of the state of th
8	The Speaker declared a quorum present and as is customary presented the
9	gavel to the President of the Senate to preside over the joint session.
10	Drawidant Andrews requested the Joint Committee commerced of Constant
11 12	President Andrews requested the Joint Committee, composed of Senators Dyer, Gordon, and Representatives Mitchell, Smith, Garcia to escort the
13	Chief Justice to the rostrum.
13 14	Cilier Justice to the rostrum.
15	Chief Sergeant-at-Arms Suman announced the arrival of the Honorable
16	Mary Mullarkey, Chief Justice of the State of Colorado.
17	ividity ividitatively, effect sustice of the state of colorado.
18	The Joint Committee escorted the Chief Justice to the rostrum where she
19	addressed the Joint Session.
20	
21	The Joint Committee escorted the Chief Justice from the Chambers.
22	
23	On motion of Representative King, the message from the Chief Justice
24	was ordered printed in the House Journal.
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	<u></u>
26	
27	ADDRESS BY THE HONORABLE
28	Mary Mullarkey
29 30	Described Andrews Constant Constant Mandrews file (4th Constant Associate
3U 21	President Andrews, Speaker Spradley, Members of the 64 th General Assembly,
31 32	honored guests and friends:
33	It is my pleasure to be here today to discuss with you the current State of the
34	Judiciary and to outline some of our accomplishments as well as some of the
35	challenges we face.
36	chancing of the face.
37	Since I last addressed you in 2001, the terrorist attacks on our country have
38	brought home one of the fundamental differences between the United States and
39	many parts of the world. We are committed to the rule of law. We reject the
40	idea that might makes right. We have divided the powers of government among
41	three co-equal branches. Our courts are places where disputes are peacefully
42	and openly resolved.
43	
44	Colorado's legislative, executive and judicial branches have a long history of
45	partnerships that has empowered each of us to achieve far more than we could
46	individually. Together we have created strong, effective court and probation
47 40	systems that have been recognized across the nation for their excellence and
48 40	innovation.
49 50	Here are a few recent examples:
51	 In 2002, the United States Chamber of Commerce ranked Colorado's civil
52	justice system as one of the top seven in the nation. Our judges were
53	ranked second in the nation for impartiality and sixth for competence.
54	
JT	• Probation officer Meri Miyasaki of Mesa County was recognized by the
55	• Probation officer Meri Miyasaki of Mesa County was recognized by the American Probation and Parole Association as the 2002 Officer of the Year.

1 On motion of Representative King, the morning roll call of the House was

warrants, turning a two to three day turnaround into real time entry.

• Colorado was recognized in both 2001 and 2002 as the number one state for technology in the area of courts and law enforcement.

Some of our successful projects like the Court Improvement Project will never show up on an opinion survey because its beneficiaries are our youngest and most vulnerable court users – dependent and neglected children. But the Supreme Court's Court Improvement Project, Jury Reform Committee and Commission on Families in the Colorado Courts are setting the standard in developing and implementing effective and efficient court procedures.

 Our successes are due, in no small part, to the cooperation and assistance we have received from the Colorado General Assembly and the Governor. The Governor's Civil Justice Reform Committee, which included Justice Bender, Justice Kourlis and Justice Rice along with judges, members of the business and legal communities, and legislators, identified a serious deficiency in the number of judges available to hear cases. With the Governor's strong backing, the General Assembly created 24 new district judgeships over a four-year period. We now have filled 12 new judge positions, with 12 more authorized if funding is available.

Our technological accomplishments have been achieved in partnership with the General Assembly and the various executive branch criminal justice and human service agencies. We have been able to make giant strides in helping Colorado's dependent and neglected children, and families in crisis come to permanency more quickly, less contentiously and more effectively through the court system with the help of legislators who have served on our Court Improvement Project and on the Commission on Families in the Colorado Courts.

Our successes are also due to the dedicated people who staff our courts and probation officers who manage offenders throughout the state. They work hard, sometimes keep impossible hours, and continuously come up with new and better ways of doing business.

Since I last reported to you, we have pilot tested some promising new ways to handle cases that resolve the cases more quickly, cost the parties less, and result in an outcome that is more satisfying to the parties.

Our projects involved both divorce cases and smaller civil cases in which less than \$100,000 is at stake. The key is that the judge or magistrate takes the lead in resolving cases and takes action early in the life of the case before the parties have spent a lot of money and their positions have hardened.

 This faster, "hands on" process produces much better results. Cases are resolved more quickly and at less cost. The parties are more satisfied with the outcome, and the animosity and emotional harm that may be caused by the adversarial process are greatly reduced. In fact, an unexpected good consequence of the simplified divorce process is that more couples reconciled and withdrew their divorce petitions in those cases than in the usual divorce cases.

Today the simplified divorce process is widely used throughout the state. Similarly, we are expanding the use of the expedited procedure for smaller civil cases beyond the borders of the two districts that pioneered it. We are applying some of the lessons we learned from these two pilot projects to the other major area of the courts' responsibility: criminal law.

In two of our largest judicial districts, the 18th (Arapahoe, Douglas, Lincoln and Elbert counties) and the 4 th (El Paso and Teller counties), we are concentrating on improving our processing of criminal cases. Our judges are working closely with all of the players including district attorneys, public defenders, and law enforcement agencies.

There are limits, however, on how much we can do, given the resources we have available. Because of the economic hard times, some offenders on probation may not be adequately supervised in the community, we are flooded with new cases, and we have fewer people to handle them. The lines in the courthouses are growing longer, hours of public access are being reduced, and services are slower. I worry that mistakes will be made, that delays will be unconscionably long, and that ultimately public safety will be compromised.

The Colorado Judicial Branch has two main parts: the court system and probation services. We are authorized for about 2,400 full time employees and 257 judges. About two-thirds of our employees work on the court side of our business and one-third in probation. The state court system includes county and district courts, the court of appeals and the supreme court. Our 257 judges collectively handle about 12,000 cases every week.

When I last reported to you, we had about 600,000 cases filed in the trial courts every year. In the fiscal year 2002, that number grew by slightly less than six percent or more than 34,000 cases. We are continuing to see caseloads increase at a comparable rate in the current fiscal year. The caseload growth reflects two facts: Caseloads tend to increase as our population increases and people tend to file more cases during hard economic times.

The increasing caseload levels and demands upon the courts compounded with declining resources are placing constant strains on our personnel. We have long since passed the point at which the problem can be solved by simply working harder.

When I became the chief justice in 1998, I also became the administrative head of the largest component of the Colorado corrections system – the Judicial Branch's probation unit. Currently, approximately 78,000 people in Colorado convicted of criminal offenses are serving sentences in a variety of different settings including prison, community corrections, youth services, probation or parole. Two-thirds, or more than 52,000, of the 78,000 convicted adults and juveniles have been sentenced to probation and are supervised by probation officers within the Judicial Branch.

Probation provides a viable, effective alternative to incarceration. Persons who are sentenced to probation continue to live, work, or attend school in their communities. They make restitution to their victims, and are given the chance to get their lives back on track and modify their behavior to avoid further criminal conduct. In addition, offenders on probation help pay for part of the cost of their supervision. Three-quarters of adult offenders and two-thirds of juvenile offenders successfully complete their probation sentences.

 Probation is clearly the lowest cost sentencing option available. We supervise two-thirds of the corrections population with only six percent of the state's total corrections budget. Regular probation for one adult costs \$562 per year. All other sentencing alternatives such as parole, community corrections and prison incarceration are significantly more expensive, costing from 10 to 50 times as much as probation. Probation's specialized diversion programs alone saved the

1 2

state 576 prison beds or \$19.6 million in the last fiscal year.

Despite its many advantages, probation is in trouble. There are not enough treatment programs available for substance abusers. The number of cases assigned to each probation officer has increased at the rate of five to eight percent per year. The average caseload for regular probation is now 215 cases per officer, far exceeding the national average of 130 cases. A case level of 215 means an officer can spend only 9.5 hours per year with each offender. Our probation officers are very dedicated and hardworking but we cannot expect them to work miracles. The public needs to have the confidence to know that an offender sentenced to probation will be properly supervised in the community.

Let me now update you on the measures the Judicial Branch has taken to address the current fiscal crisis. Last summer, I ordered a 4 percent reduction in our authorized expenditures. The Judicial Branch's general fund budget is approximately \$177 million, 25 percent of which cannot be touched because of constitutional and statutory restrictions. The cuts must come out of the remaining 75 percent of the budget which mostly funds our employees' salaries. We are now on track to hit a four percent reduction of \$7.1 million by June 30, 2003.

To do so, we instituted a hiring freeze on September 1, 2002, required our employees to take three days off without pay, and delayed the start dates of newly appointed judges by up to six weeks. Our employees have also had to absorb the increased workload created by the freeze and furloughs, and yet they continue to show their dedication to serve the public. Ultimately, we expect the hiring freeze to leave 10 percent (250) of our authorized positions vacant by June 2003.

Further cuts in our budget will require even more drastic steps. To go from the present 4 percent to a 10 percent reduction at this point in the fiscal year would require laying off a minimum of 700 employees. Alternatively, we would be required to close the courts one week per month for the remainder of the fiscal year. The courts would handle only the highest priority cases, we would lose valuable employees, and probation could not adequately protect public safety.

As Chief Justice it is my responsibility to do all I can to keep our courts open and functioning. Rather than further reducing our budget, I believe the public would be better served if court filing fees were raised. Currently, our fees, on average, are lower than those in 45 other states. A 50 percent increase would generate \$7 – 8 million per year and still keep us in the mid-range of filing fees nationwide. This computes to raising filing fees for a district civil case to \$135, county court filings to \$46 and appeals at the supreme court to \$225. The indigent and government agencies would continue to be exempt from paying fees. While I am reluctant to impact court users, I believe the public would be better served by this option than by closing courts.

My staff and I have talked with many of you to explain my fee increase proposal and I would be happy to meet with any of you at your request. Several of you have been receptive to the idea and understand the critical nature of this fee increase proposal to maintain the integrity of the courts. I hope that you will act quickly to raise the fees.

For fiscal year 2004, we have requested a continuation budget. That budget would fund us at the level the General Assembly approved last year with

whatever legislative adjustments are made for salaries and benefits. Frankly, this budget request does not adequately address our needs. However, given the continuing poor financial picture, I think this budget request is appropriate.

One issue for your consideration this session is whether to fund the third installment of six new district court judges. When this legislation was passed, the General Assembly unanimously recognized the need for all 24 of the judges. That need has only increased with passage of time. I recognize, however, that you may need to defer these judges to another fiscal year. We will work with you on that issue.

Finally, as you look at the state's overall financial picture, I suggest that you to pay close attention to Colorado's sentencing structure. Some of this work was started in interim committee over the past two summers. While criminal sentencing is a very important issue to communities and the courts, we have learned that minor changes to sentencing laws can have dramatic impacts on the need for additional bed space. Increasing sentences by mere months can create the need for hundreds of new beds, conversely small decreases in length of stay may help alleviate some of the need to build new prisons. I know that this is a highly controversial issue. However, I believe that by working together, we can develop approaches that will simultaneously protect the public, respect the rights of victims, and alleviate some of the pressure on the state budget. I offer you the expertise of our judges who work with the sentencing laws every day, should you choose to focus on sentencing.

In addition, I urge you to consider probation as a viable sentencing alternative. Colorado's probation system is considered among the best in the nation and I believe we can find solutions given the proper resources.

We in the Judicial Branch face the looming fiscal challenges and escalating case demands with a commitment to the rule of law and in a cooperative spirit with the legislative and executive branches. The courts are critical to our way of life. We cannot make do without them. Adequate funding for courts and the probation system is not a political issue, but rather an issue of access to justice and public safety.

Let us continue the long and honorable tradition of the legislative, executive and judicial branches of Colorado working together to face challenges head-on, developing viable alternatives, and implementing solutions that strengthen the ability of the three branches to serve all Coloradans.

On motion of Senator Anderson, the Joint Session was dissolved.

Approved:

LOLA SPRADLEY, Speaker

5253 Attest:

JUDITH RODRIGUE,Chief Clerk